



RESOURCES

We have a DVD on the EARTH CHARTER from the Official Source of Indoctrination for \$5 posted in Aust.

Send for a DVD on the Weather manipulation & photocopied up-dates, cost \$7 + ChemTrails (i) \$5 posted in Aust. ALSO (ii) Chem Trails & Maralinga \$5 cost of postage in Aust. only.



We have a DVD about the NWO's Water P.P.P. activities from a third world country. What under-handedness was perpetrated because of the greed for Money. What is to stop the greed & devastation happening here? We already have PPP in Australia. (\$5 posted in Aust.)




GRAHAM STRACHAN'S two books on Globalization from an Australian view point are a must if you really want to stay on top of the Multi-Nationals Greed & Lies.


"22 STEPS TO GLOBAL TYRANNY" ISBN 0-646-37459-1 More indepth book: "GLOBALISATION", ISBN 0-6456-36113-



Available through Nexus Mag. in Aust. \$35



"**Smart Growth**" is fully explained in a DVD by Michael Coffman. (\$5 posted in Aust.) Our Government Internet Sites are the proof of his statements. In fact the whole scenario of **Sustainable Development** is presented in our 3 *Liberty* DVDs which include Coffman's expose. \$12



This DVD gives good explanations of the Scalar Warfare and insights into the Hyper-space Info, but does not cover the threat of Terrorism or the place of RFID technology. \$5 posted in Aust.

* To see Russia in a proper perspective you also need Dr. S. Monteith's DVD on the "**Brotherhood of Darkness**". \$5 posted in Aust.

* Another important DVD by Walter Myers on HAARP & SCALAR \$5 posted in Aust.

Joan Veon Article

The Women's International Media Group, Inc.

(i) CAPITALIZING ON SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT MAKING GOLD OUT OF GREEN



Go for Green



A 15 year review of Agenda 21

Laurie Addison, Australian Researcher

(ii) WATER UPDATE



CAPITALIZING ON SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT - MAKING GOLD OUT OF GREEN

A 15 year review
of Agenda 21

by Joan M. Veon

The Women's International
Media Group, Inc.

Maurice Strong, the secretary-general of the 1992 "Rio Earth Summit" remarked at the close of the meeting, "We have established a new global partnership. You must translate Agenda 21 and the decision that you have taken at the global level into your own national policy and practices. We should consider new taxes, user charges, emission permits, citizen funding all based on the polluter-pays principle. The messages from the children delivered as we opened this assembly this morning, gathered during the 15,000 mile journey of Gaia."

While no reasonable person took serious the idea of citizens paying for using or over-consuming the earth's resources, sustainable development is all about capitalism, according to a meeting recently held at the Royal Institute for International Affairs-RIIA in London. In order to

determine what kind of capitalism, we must consider that the Programme of Action called Agenda 21 which supported the 1992 UN Conference on the Environment and Development, was all about a total re-make and re-design of the world. It was all about who will control the earth resources. Pretty amazing that a global organization would lay stake to the waters, oceans, lakes, forests, birds, animals, earth's land surface, the air we breathe and the sky and space, as well as you and me!

Little by little, the world is being re-organized using capitalism as the global engine to also change the structure of government from government to public-private partnership which is a co-management of government by business. At the heart of this philosophy is Gaia which is paganism and the elimination of the authority and dominion which God the Creator set in place in Genesis 1 and 2.

Let's consider capitalism which is an "ism" like communism, socialism, fascism or Marxism. Capitalism is the ability to take a particu-

NEXT Magazine

Laurie will address:


GLOBAL WARMING & FREE
ENERGY. (intro only here...)

"The Great Global Warming Swindle" reviewed in this magazine on pp. 45 & 54, puts the great CARBON DIOXIDE SWINDLE in it's place. The real aim of **Al Gore's** movie is to do with the environmental movement what the communists could never do - destroy the economy of the western world.

Do YOU trust a politician?

It doesn't have to be that way because the technologies are now available to reduce emissions by 80% and very shortly by almost 100%. Just to kick this article off any doubting Thomas can go on the web to www.lutec.com.au and there you will see the "**Lutec Electricity Magnifier**" which will give an output of 425 watts for every 100 watts going in. This is patented in fifteen countries and pending in seven more. This unit is now proven and finalised and ready for production and screaming for investors or Governments to finance manufacture. Guess what, there isn't a politician in sight! **Are the politicians really serious?** The answer of course is NO because the carbon dioxide scare is doing just what they want it to -


destroying the western world!



LUTEC AUSTRALIA PTY LTD

www.lutec.com.au

www.lutec.com.au/lea_test.htm



Bearden's Motionless
Electronic Generator



The "Earth Charter" was authorised by the Billionaire New Ager, Maurice Strong and the former President of Soviet Russia, Mikhail Gorbachev. The "Earth Charter" cancels God's command in Genesis 1:26 KJB, to take Dominion over the earth and gives mother earth dominion over man. Henceforth any dispute between man and the Environment must be resolved in Favour of the environment. Mankind, being a threat to the environment is therefore expendable.



P.S. WATER SHAME FILES

The last week has continued to be interesting on the water-front. It was reported in the press, Monday July 9th, that there are many rate-payers who are using enormous amounts of water, some up to 32,000 litres per day. For some strange reason the council officers have known about this for quite a few months but have done absolutely nothing about it, not even contacting them to inquire as to why they are using so much or to see if they may have an unknown major leak. Now all of a sudden the Brisbane City Council bureaucrats want greater powers to deal with such offenders but **Cr. Hinchcliffe** said -

"Labor councillors and I are totally opposed to any extreme or over the top powers for council officers.

We've got to prioritize the drought, but without becoming Big Brother or a police state."

However by Thursday July 12th, the water authorities were considering granting inspectors the power to enter properties of persons of ratepayers suspected of breaking water laws. More importantly the article continued -

"Lord Mayor Campbell Newman said of his plans to publicly shame residents who continually breach water restrictions. If you commit some sort of offence and go before a magistrate your details are in the public domain; now conceivably somebody who is a water restriction offenderI suppose they could expect to be treated in the same way."

This is straight out of Soviet Russia! **REMEMBER**, "Closure of the dialogue **"Water for life and security"** - **Mikhail Gorbachev: asked for a worldwide glasnost to resolve water problems."** Again what we are dealing with here is the "CHANGE" process.

The bureaucrats have known for months of the worst cases of water use, up to 32,000 litres per day, but don't even enquire as to why . When it becomes "known" it causes a crisis which can only be resolved by the introduction of draconian measures.



lar commodity and sell it at a profit. But what IF the commodity you are selling is literally "thin air"? The theory of climate change says the earth is warming and we have too much carbon being emitted from the use of oil. The polluter pays principal says that corporations should be taxed for consuming too much of the world's natural oil resources. Who determines how much you should be using and what you should pay? A group of chosen and corporately financed non-governmental organizations: World Wildlife Federation, Greenpeace, IUCN, Sierra Club, Conservation International, Nature Conservancy, Friends of the Earth, and The World Business Council for Sustainable Development.

Fifteen years later, the message at the "Sense and Sustainability Conference," is that "You can make a lot of money from the environment." Posing as Gaia's environmental guardians, these savvy opportunists have changed their mantra and are now singing the praises of capitalism with a transfer of wealth melody.

Of course, they cover their objectives by using Al Gore horror flicks and a continual stream of the most latest studies that says we must do something NOW. Behind their message of despair is one of a transfer of wealth and power to them. This tune began shortly after the United Nations was founded in

1945. Since then, nothing has been the same. The world appears to have more problems than before and it is the United Nations that is touting the environmental agenda. Their solutions to the multitude of new problems that they have found is to push the envelope one step further, and to slowly grab more and more power while transferring wealth at the same time. If they are not Fabian Socialists, they must be using Fabian Socialistic tactics.

Take for example my interview with British MP Colin Challen who has suggested that perhaps each one of us needs a carbon allowance for the amount of energy we can consume. Then when we use too much, we can pay an "allowance" back to the government. He apparently does not like the word "tax" because it is too negative while "allowance" appears to convey that you and I have been given an opportunity by government to emit a certain amount of carbon and when it is used up, we then need some kind of correction. Of course they say it is only to change behavior but how many taxes do you know that have gone away after we learned our lesson?

He explained that like corporations which use too much carbon, individuals should also have the same kind of allotment. When we use up our allotment of energy: gas in the car, oil as result of too many

airplane rides, etc., we won't have any more left on our "card" and will have to buy more from someone who has not used all of their [government] allotment. Great scheme! How brilliantly demonic considering the last time the world was taxed was when Rome ruled. To quote him,

So if you were driving your big SUV and went on foreign holiday's, you would need a lot more and consequently you would have to go to the market which would easily be accessible at Post Office or on the Internet or on your mobile phone. You would have to buy the extra emission to cover your emissions. If you didn't buy the extra units you would still have to pay for the extra carbon because if you would run out and you went to the petrol station and you didn't have a surplus in your account of carbon units you would have to pay a bit more for your petrol and likewise your electricity and gas. Over that period of time you can adjust you can change your vehicles to a hybrid, you can insulate your house, you can do a whole range of those sort of technical fixes to reduce your dependency on carbon intensive energy. You might have more renewables, you may have a mini wind turbine on the roof and solar panels and you could have a heat exchange pump. You may decide to use public transport more. Or have a smaller car which

is what I've done.

When I asked Challen if the Fabian Society helped him with this scheme, he thought for a moment and said, "Well they have their own inputs in the government. They didn't have any involvement on this particular proposal."

Another speaker, Professor Daniel Estees Director of the Yale Center for Environmental Law and Policy, wrote a book that describes the new green opportunities, Green to Gold - How Smart Companies use Environmental Strategy to Innovate, Create Value, and Build Competitive Advantage. Ford Motor, you had better read this book or you will not survive Toyota's rising market share!

When I asked Dr. Estees about his evolutionary thinking with regard to the environment, he told me

I think what we're seeing here is a real sea change in attitudes towards environmental protection, first with regard to how society understands how progress gets made. We are moving away from a model that has really been dominant for 40 years where the government not only sets the standards but is the primary actor in doing the work of figuring out how we're going to protect the environment, what technologies we need, and how to develop and then mandate very specifically to the in-

speeding up of the transformation of our society. You would do well if you bore in mind the last paragraph of Prime Minister Bob Hawke's speech to the

"ONE HUNDREDTH ANNIVERSARY OF THE FABIAN SOCIETY" dinner in 1984.

"For the right moment you must wait, as Fabius did most patiently, when warring against Hannibal, though many censured his delays; but when the time comes you must strike hard, as Fabius did, or your waiting will be in vain and fruitless."

Courier Mail, 26-03-07

STATE TO PREACH ON WATER SKILL

Stuart Sherwin

"Some people might think Queensland is the last place that should offer water advice -- but not **Peter Beattie**.

The Premier has announced that Brisbane's International Water Centre is to open an office in Johannesburg to teach South African students about water management.

With level 5 water restrictions only three weeks away. **Mr Beattie's** office boasted the venture was proof of "*Queensland's growing international status for managing water*".

Opposition water spokesman **Bruce Flegg** said yesterday that

the Smart State should solve its own water crisis before it started lecturing the rest of the world.

"If Queensland is passing on knowledge in relation to water provision, it would be a lesson in what not to do," Dr Flegg said

"Mr Beattie has seized on this as a positive for his Government but the fact is all the advice we will be passing on to South Africa will be everything he ignored. It's a ludicrous situation."

Unlike Brisbane, there are no water restrictions in force in Johannesburg.

Mr Beattie, who is on a two week trip to South Africa, Kenya and Britain, said the IWC was making Brisbane "*a focal point for training and expertise in water resource management*".

(End of Quote)

GOD HELP SOUTH AFRICA!

Just after tuning into "**Radio National 792**" a while back I heard the words...

"scarce water, precious water, sacred water. The mother earth worshipers have now elevated the status of water to that of the cows in India -- "No touch the cows".

The environmental movement is effectively starting the campaign to cut the human race off from our water supplies. This is an excellent example of the "**Earth Charter**" giving the Earth dominion over man.

ing recycled sewerage water has a **demonic** problem! And then of course they also want to add **fluoride** to it as well.

Another dangerous aspect of the water issue is in relation to sustainability. As usual with things NWO, words take on a different meaning to what they used to mean. This is known as a "play on words" or semantics. Under "World Order" the word sustainable means-

"to be able to do or use resources in such a fashion that they will not become exhausted."

In the NWO sustainability means -*"to calculate what quantity of resources is required to keep a person alive compared to what output in products and services will be produced by the person."*

If the output becomes less than input then that person becomes unsustainable. I have noticed lately that things are being valued not in terms of \$s but cost in **"litres of water"**. An article and picture in the paper said the cost of raising a beast for slaughter was 15,000 litres of water. On a T.V. programme it stated that the cost of a dinner party for 8 people was 150,000 litres of water and the cost of a hamburger was 1,500 L. Note the beast only cost 10 hamburgers! How they arrive at such figures would be interesting. It's all been done before.

The Jews in the concentration camps of Nazi Germany could

tell you what sustainable means. Pol Pot in Cambodia emptied the cities, rejecting a materialistic free society. He split the men from the women, chose which ones would be the most productive to keep and shot the rest! You can do that in Asia but to introduce such a thing in the Western World requires a lot of preparation, propaganda, lies and sugar coating. Nobody should be under any illusion, that we have gone down the same road, and the end will be exactly the same too.

Last week I had to fill in the Queensland Water Commission's fifty eight question survey on why we used too much water. I filled it in on line and submitted it. A few days later I received a letter from the council acknowledging that the latest figures showed we had used 136kl less than the maximum average but we still had to fill in the questionnaire.

As time goes by we are witnessing a

Courier Mail 01-08-03



dustry world what they have to do in the way of technology for pollution control. We are shifting down to a model that is based more on economic incentives not command and control mandate. And then this new model it will involve both taxes for harms or charges for emissions that are causing harm as well as perhaps cap and trade pollution allowance systems. We are going to see the private sector taking a leading role in developing technologies.

When I asked him to explain the capitalistic evolution of the environment between 1992 and 2007, he said,

I think we are in a sort of a slow roll revolution in terms of understanding about how best to pursue environmental protection. Agenda 21 is really a valuable compilation of the full spectrum of things that we need to think about in the realm of pollution control and natural resource management. But because it is so comprehensive it's not really an action agenda and frankly it doesn't really serve the same purpose in a world where private markets are going to help drive us toward environmental solutions so I think government setting standards on things like greenhouse emissions, making companies pay a price for the harms they cause, is a critical next step to getting us going on the path toward solving the

climate change problems, getting innovation going, harnessing the entrepreneurial spirit that exists in America and across the world.

In 1995, I asked Maurice Strong to define sustainable development for me at the Gorbachev State of the World Forum and I specifically incorporated the aspect of reducing the population of the earth and the family dependency ratio, he told me,

We want to put [sustainable development] in business terms. It's running Earth, Inc. with depreciation, amortization and maintenance accounts so that we are not really living off of capital. If we continue to equate wealth creation with the liquidation of our natural capital, we will be headed for bankruptcy and that is the direction we are going NOW. We need all the elements you mentioned and more to bring the ecological systems and behavior towards them in line with our economic and social aspirations.

Interestingly, I remember interviewing Dr. Paul Jeffers from The Royal Society for the Protection of the Bird at the 2002 Sustainable Development Summit in Johannesburg. He told me that he and his colleagues have put a value on all of nature worldwide and it totals between \$20T to \$38T while others say that it might be as high as \$51T. So what is really happening here?

In the old days when the explorers discovered various parts of the New World, they planted their flag and said it belonged to the king or queen of their respective country. Do you think it is possible that the United Nations and a small group of very, very powerful insiders have just planted their Agenda 21 flag and are now they are looking for ways to control their booty? Is this possible? Have we become nothing but turnips (since man no longer is sovereign) and now they can tax us for every breathe of air, every shower, every hot cup of tea, every yard we drive in our car, every hour that we have a light bulb on, and every carrot we plant and water?

Secondly, let us consider for a moment the change in government. Public-private partnerships-PPP were alluded to in Agenda 21 and they were spelled out in Habitat II, a global meeting that took place four years later. In an interview I did at the 5 year follow-up to Rio in 1997 in Rio de Janeiro with Dr. Wally N'Dow, former UNEP Director-General, he said with regard to this concept of combining government and business,

In 1976, there were subjects that were taboo. One could not discuss subjects such as the role of the private sector because we were still in the grips of the Cold War, with ideologies contending over what was

capitalist, socialist, what was acceptable in the UN for and what could not be discussed—the private sector and land—and who owns it, how it is managed—these things could not be discussed.

Basically when you merge government and business together you get fascism and that is what public-private partnership is all about: a total re-ordering of government because government at every level is broke and it appears that business has the money and the power. It also appears that business, especially eco-friendly businesses, who are going to make their next trillion dollars on the new green products they have invented are the real saviors of the world. Talk about re-tooling! Over 23 states here in the United States have incorporated public-private partnerships into their modus operandi. They include: Alabama, Arizona, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, Nevada, North Carolina, Oregon, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington, and Wisconsin. What does it mean? It means that government no longer governs, as in the old days. The new and powerful player is business. Every time a public-private partnership is set up—every time a toll road, utility, or public asset is sold, representative government

Lewin said he believed the PL100 World Water fund provided a significant opportunity for retail investors to invest in what represented a very topical and attractive global industry.

The minimum investment in the PL World Water fund is \$20,000, with investors standing to benefit from potential semi-annual distributions.

The PL100 World Water fund
(Courier Mail, May,



will seek quotation on the Australian Stock exchange." (End of Quote)

Needless to say the environmentalists are up to their neck in it and are passionately promoting

the reduction and recycling of water. The Government is determined that we are going to **drink recycled sewerage water** whether we like it or not. Toowoomba had a vote on the issue, and drinking recycled water was staunchly rejected, and yet we keep hearing in the media that the majority of people are in favour of it.

The councils and State Gov't are giving rebates for purchase of water efficient appliances and the purchase and installation of **rain water tanks**. Tanks purchased with rebates are now required to be plumbed into the washing machine and toilet and to have a sign on them stating they do **NOT contain potable water**. Potable water is suitable for drinking. The State and Federal Governments have been making big noises about **Mental Health** in the last few years which, reading between the lines, means agreement with the Gov't. is about having a healthy mind, disagreement with the Gov't. is about having a mental problem. I, on the other hand, would suggest that the biggest mental problem in the world to-day is Socialism - a complete and utterly proven failure in every country that has had the misfortune to experience it. And further more anybody who advocates connecting fresh rain water to the toilet has a mental problem. And again anybody who seriously advocates connecting fresh rainwater to a toilet and drink-

matically because of the huge quantities of water required in their production. **Rates** will no doubt increase significantly because councils no longer own and market the water from which they derived significant revenue.

What it has caused is the **virtual confiscation of the water infrastructure from all councils.** The creation of a body called the WATER COMMISSION in using the infrastructure which Queenslanders own, and it is bringing into place multi billion dollar debt. What was once owned by us, WATER, is to be sold back to us at top price, bringing huge profits to international companies. It's called PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS (PPP) and this equals **Fascism.**

We, the people have no say in what Corporations do so they are not accountable to us.

Another major problem is that if the crisis worsens and towns run out of water, relocation of people may be deemed to be necessary. A little reflection on the possibilities and implications of this should be considered.

Investors invited to dive into new World Water

product.

Mike Taylor

"Australian Investors are being invited to invest in one of our scarcest resources - water - via a new product launched today by Credit Suisse and Equity Trustees In conjunction with lead managers Macquarie Equities and Ord Minnett.

The new fund, the Credit Suisse PL100 World Water Trust, is comprised of 15 stocks involved in water utilities, transportation, filtration and desalination.

Commenting on the launch of the new fund, the head of equities structured products for Credit Suisse in Australia, Michael Clapham, said investors were showing strong demand for capital-protected products that also provide the potential for income and capital growth.

Water is a vital commodity," he said. "We believe investors will

embrace this opportunity to invest in ~the companies that are involved in one of our world's most vital industries - the provision of fresh water." Ord Minnett Asset Management managing director Walter

Retailers queuing to sell us water

Courier Mail 30-07-07

Tuck Thomson

Courier Mail 30-07-07

diminishes because the purpose of business is profit not service. Who will government leaders listen to more? Business with millions and billions of dollars or you and me? We can see the rise in position of big business which now holds their global meetings at every UN meeting. They also now have legal input into the global agenda, be it the United Nations General Assembly, the Group of Eight, the World Trade Organization or the World Bank. Bottom line: the world is involved in global corporate fascism: capitalism, government and business.

Related to this is the fact that at every turn there is a transfer of wealth taking place. As a result of U.S. government policy, the U.S. taxpayer is financing environmental projects around the world—nothing in our Constitution provides for this kind of expense; funding all of the incentives for big business and their new eco-green schemes which we will be forced to buy to be in compliance; and paying for our participation in huge international public-private partnerships with one, two, or ten other countries, NGO's, and corporations. Furthermore, there are various calls by the UN, the Group of Eight and others for the American taxpayer to increase foreign assistance to poor countries. Lastly, the United Nations supports Jacques Chirac's call for a tax on airlines

tickets to eliminate global poverty. This is just one of many other tax schemes planned in the future.

Green is now king. If a corporation does not incorporate green into your company, you will not make it. Speaker after speaker talked of the power of green stakeholders: corporate shareholders, investors, consumers, and activists. Some department stores are now introducing "energy product labels" for their products. Consumers are beginning to ask about the kind of "carbon footprint" a company has that they are interested in investing in or purchasing from. And many major corporations now have a Corporate Social Responsibility-CSR Department. CSR is now the new mantra and right for business to be involved in policy-making.

Stock exchanges are now setting up green indexes with lists of corporations that are eco-friendly. The Dow Jones has one and the Sao Paulo Stock Exchange has their new Corporate Sustainability Index. In other words, if your corporation is not upholding sustainable development, investors will not want to invest in your company and you will be blackballed. The London FTSE has adopted a definition of responsible investment which is the "incorporation into the investment management process (analysis and research) and the on-going asset stewardship of

social, environmental, and corporate governance related to matters.” In the UK the Pension Act of 2000 includes social environment and ethics into its assets while the United Nations has created the UN Principles for Responsible Investment which is a set of principles agreed to by a group of the largest institutional investors. Lastly, Then there are very sophisticated investment firms that are developing and trading in voluntary carbon trading units with the goal of driving a new market and creating liquidity in carbon trading. This must be the new income fund of the 3rd millennium.

With regard to Agenda 21, why has the entire agenda been so secretive? Why didn't the United Nations just “come clean” and tell us of these problems? What were they hiding? With regard to reading any of their programs of action, only an insider could interpret them because the words they use have a different meaning than the normally accepted use of a word. Why so radical? Why take God's place and degrade the position God gave human beings as being dominant over the earth? Only an agenda that would seize control of the world's assets and gather them for a greater human power would do the things that have been done over the last 15 years. This then leads us to the last aspect to consider.

British aristocrat Cecil

Rhodes had a dream of making the world British because in his opinion, “they are the finest race in the world.” According to Georgetown professor, Dr. Carroll Quigley who wrote *The Anglo-American Establishment*, “Rhodes in five previous wills left his fortune to form a secret society, which was to devote itself to the preservation and expansion of the British Empire. This society has been known at various times as Milner's Kindergarten, as the Round Table Group, as the Rhodes crowd, as The Times Crowd, as the All Souls Group, and as the Cliveden set.” He explains that while Rhodes was alive, he was the leader with William T. Stead, Reginald Baliol Brett or Lord Esher (friend and confident of Queen Victoria and the most influential adviser of King Edward VII and King George V), and Alfred Milner. He then describes some of their achievements,

It plotted the Jameson Raid of 1895; it caused the Boer War of 1899-1902; it set up and controls the Rhodes Trust; it created the Union of South Africa in 1906-1910; it has been the most powerful single influence in All Souls, Balliol, and New Colleges at Oxford for more than a generation; it has controlled *The Times* for more than 50 years, with the exception of 1919-1922; it publicized the idea of and the name 'British Commonwealth of

is the most popular migration destination in the world and is growing twice as fast as it's nearest rival in Australia. This makes S.E. Qld. an ideal test case for implementation of Agenda 21.

The transforming of our society into the type of society the NWO is trying to introduce through AGENDA 21 will encounter great resistance. In order to overcome any obstacles they encounter, a strategy called the CHANGE process is employed. It seeks to exploit any natural divisions between people and is best effected by putting people in a group situation (group dynamics) and seeks to manipulate people by bringing about a group consensus in favour of the predetermined outcome the group leader (change agent or facilitator) is trying to achieve. If there are no natural divisions between members of a group (a group around a table or the population of a country) the facilitator will deliberately create a crisis of some sort. In a crisis many people drop their absolute stand and are much easier to bring to a compromise. This process is also raises what is known as “creative tension” which, in it's greatest form is war.

In the last ten years we have had

- the POWER CRISIS,
 - the HEALTH CRISIS,
 - the WATER CRISIS
- and the next one looming

- is the HOUSING CRISIS.

NOTE:

HEGEL (1831) DEVELOPED A SYSTEM TO EXPLAIN CHANGE- CALLED “DIALECTICS”. CHANGE AND PROGRESS ARE SEEN AS MOVING TOGETHER, THESES, ANTITHESIS, AND SYNTHESIS. MARX ACCEPTED HEGEL'S PROCESS OF DIALECTICS. MARX BELIEVED THAT CLASS REFORM IS MAN'S BASIC PRIORITY - THROUGH REVOLUTION (DIALECTICS). GOD DOES NOT TEACH THIS IN THE BIBLE. MARX DEALT WITH THE MATERIAL; CHRISTIAN TEACHING IS THAT THE IMMATERIAL IS MOST IMPORTANT. GOD INTERVENES, HE BRINGS GOOD, AND SOCIAL REFORM HAPPENS THROUGH THE TRANSFORMING OF INDIVIDUAL HUMAN BEINGS, BRINGING THEM INTO HIS LIGHT - AWAY FROM SIN AND DARKNESS.

At Despatch we believe the water crisis has been deliberately created for at least two reasons.

The first reason:

is to bring South East Qld. into conformity with the **World Bank water plan** and it's aim of reducing water consumption to 40 litres of water per person per day. The current target is 140 litres per day.

The second reason:

is that such a crisis with water, upon which society is completely dependent, is causing disruptions and problems possibly causing some companies to close or relocate and big increases in prices.

Similarly **electricity**, and **food prices** are bound to rise dra-




- the State Gov't. can't touch them". **So to say- the rest is history!**

In the last four years since then we have been in a perpetual "drought". The problem with this is we have had rain many times but but for some "strange reason" it never rains in the catchment areas. It is best summed up by one caller who left his comment on national radio, which, close to verbatim, goes like this -

"A couple of years ago I was watching a Japanese Professor on TV who was advocating that the weather was being modified. I had a good laugh at this until I noted that every time it rained, how carefully the storms skillfully negotiated their way around the catchment areas".

We at Despatch are fully conversant with the latest available information on WEATHER WAR-



Courier Mail 19-12-05



Courier Mail 31-07-06

FARE and we agree with the sentiments expressed.

Any body who doubts the concept of **"weather warfare"** needs to do some investigation by reading and viewing the materials offered at the end of this article.

But why would any Government want to do this?

My suggestion is this - Informed readers would be familiar with **"Agenda 21"**- the UNITED NAT-ION'S programme for sustainable living. Santa Cruz, California is the first city in America to come under Agenda 21 and it has woken

up a lot of people to it's dangers because it wasn't hidden, however Agenda 21 is virtually unknown in Australia except for the people in Government and Councils who are very busy on it's implementation. South - East Queensland I have heard

Nations'; it was the chief influence in Lloyd George's war administration in 1917-1919; it had a great deal to do with the formation and management of the League of Nations[now United Nations] and of the system of mandates; it founded the Royal Institute of International Affairs in 1919 and still controls it; it controlled and still controls, to a very considerable extent, the sources and the writing of the history of British Imperial and foreign policy since the Boer War (page 5).

What I am pointing out is the Royal Institute of International Affairs was organized by Lord Robert Cecil, known as Viscount Cecil of Chelwood, along with Lionel Curtis and others. Of those who started the RIIA, Lord Robert Cecil and Lionel Curtis were in key insiders with Cecil Rhodes. When you consider the fact that the whole purpose of RIIA is to bring the world under British control and that the League of Nations, now the United Nations is part of their planning, it causes you to wonder about just who Agenda 21 is for.

My research shows that at the global level, the British Commonwealth with its 53 members has the potential to outvote the single vote of the United States throughout the whole global infrastructure. Furthermore, many of the early environmental organizations were started primarily in Britain: The Na-

ture Conservancy was one of the four official research bodies under the British Privy Council, Prince Philip of Britain and Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands founded the radical World Wildlife Federation and later on in 1982, the World Resources Institute was founded by grants from the Rockefeller Brothers Fund. Prince Charles who is known as the "eco-prince" worked behind the scenes to get Agenda 21 to "go down" in at the Rio Earth Summit and he started a major group of corporations which have been setting up public-private partnerships all over the world. Maurice Strong told me he was in England in 1991 attending a meeting at the World Wildlife Federation with Prince Philip when Prince Charles called him and asked him to jet with him to the secret meeting he was holding in Rio de Janeiro with various country leaders to strategize how to get Agenda 21 to go down.

Bottom line, it appears that all of the assets, including you and me, are going back to the Crown and we are nothing but serfs, paying an allowance back to the government for the right to use any of their resources! Capitalizing on sustainable development does not even come close to the truth. Call it green, call it gold, call it climate warming, the real description of Agenda 21's capitalistic global corporate fascism is feudalism.

JOAN VEON has a number of

- **Books,**
- **DVDS and the**
- **Newsletters**
- **Audio Broadcasts**

available/accessed from her website. She has travelled to over a hundred conferences throughout the world, gathering very important





material. She is not sponsored by a church, but pays her own way from her own earnings, material sold and donations received.

So please support her by at least purchasing her material from her website direct. There is a Newsletter subscription available as well, this report included here is an indication of the quality material in her newsletters.

UN WATCH!"
*Helping you to Connect
 the Global to the Local*

**THE WOMEN'S INTERNATIONAL MEDIA
 Group, Inc. P.O.B. 77, Middletown,
 MD 21769-0077 USA. Fax. 301/371-
 0543**



WATER - THE BIG ISSUE!

CHINESE GOVERNMENT'S WEATHER MODIFICA- TIONS

including their intentions to **modify the weather** during the 2008 Olympic games to keep the rain away. (see website here)

http://english.people.com.cn/200705/21/eng_20070521_376400.html

Back in the March 2003 issue of Despatch magazine I wrote an article,

"WATER - The Coming Big Issue".

Writing now in 2007 even I am surprised at the extent of the long arms of the Government and the profound changes that the WATER ISSUE has enabled them to make in many areas of life.

It was my original intention to write this article and another on the issue of **South - East Queensland implementing the United Nation's Agenda 21.**

However the two issues are inextricably linked together and joined by, with what we at Despatch believe, is a third - **"Weather Warfare"**. Now don't go getting all skeptical yet as I will soon give you the web address for the **"Chinese People's News"** website which outlines the

In the 2003 article I outlined the growing water crisis with particular reference to the agricultural sector because farmers usually get hit the hardest and then showed **Dr. Stanley Monteith's** interpretation of the World Bank's water policy. Dr. Monteith managed to obtain a copy of the 1993 **"World Bank Water Policy"** which is written in the usual vague esoteric language which is designed to leak as little information as possible to those who aren't on a *"need to know"* basis. Armed with a copy of his critique and a copy of my Despatch article, I went to see the Mayor of one of the shires in S.E. Queensland who happens to be an acquaintance-friend of many years. I tried to inform him to watch out because the Government was probably going to move to take control of our water supplies. **"No no no! he said. All the councils from Noosa (in the north) down to the border own their own supplies**