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All around the world, increasingly “socialist” central/local governments and councils, in collusion with the international bankers who fund them, are frantically getting deeper and deeper into unsustainable debt – or worse, are going bankrupt. Harsh austerity measures are being implemented, with drastic cuts in spending, privatization of assets and huge, onerous increases in rates and taxes on property owners – Is all this happening just by chance – or is it a deliberate plot? Is it a global phenomenon? Who is responsible? Where will all this eventually end? Using the small country of New Zealand in the South Pacific as an example, this account attempts to unmask exactly why all this is happening, and provides some unique answers how it may be stopped.

“IN THIS SENSE, THE THEORY OF THE COMMUNISTS MAY BE SUMMED UP IN THE SINGLE SENTENCE: ABOLITION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY.”
Karl Marx - Communist Manifesto chapter 2, 1848

“WE ARE AT PRESENT WORKING DISCREETLY, WITH ALL OUR MIGHT, TO WREST THIS MYSTERIOUS FORCE CALLED SOVEREIGNTY OUT OF THE CLUTCHES OF THE LOCAL NATION STATES OF THE WORLD, AND ALL THE TIME WE ARE DENYING WITH OUR LIPS WHAT WE ARE DOING WITH OUR HANDS.”
Arnold Toynbee – International Affairs, p.809, November 1931
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INTRODUCTION

While this little book is largely based on events and law as it exists in New Zealand, it still has poignant ramifications for the rest of the world because city council rates and taxes are beginning to spiral out of control in almost every country, often leading to bankruptcy. The author has tried to keep the account short and sweet and as simplified as possible for the average reader in what can be a rather extensive and very complex subject. In New Zealand, the biggest local government taxes are called “rates” and these are calculated on the value of each individual property.

At present all around the world countless countries, states, city councils, regional councils, counties, provinces etc. are either in the process of rapidly introducing severe austerity measures on their citizens, (which includes both cutting core spending and dramatically increasing tax impositions), or are continuing to borrow excessively to fund deficits at unsustainable levels prior to going bankrupt. In most cases, where borrowers are defaulting on loans the international bankers are swooping in and then privatizing the assets put up for security to themselves or companies that they control. Most people know what is presently happening in countries like Greece and Ireland now. But they are only the tip of the iceberg. In the US, over 100 cities are expected to go bankrupt or fall off the so-called ‘fiscal cliff’ in 2013 or soon thereafter. [http://moneymorning.com/2012/08/17/fiscal-cliff-2013-will-bury-debt-ridden-u-s-cities/](http://moneymorning.com/2012/08/17/fiscal-cliff-2013-will-bury-debt-ridden-u-s-cities/)

Stockton, in California, is a prime example. The city filed for the largest bankruptcy of any US city in history on June 28, 2012, due to widespread corruption and mismanagement. The council running the city was so inept and self-centered, for years the city council officials’ economic negligence had grown to the point it had even caused its lavish employee pension obligations and liabilities, by themselves, to exceed its annual revenue in property taxes. Almost unbelievably, the revenue being collected was not even enough to fully fund the city’s employee pension scheme alone! But Stockton is not unique.

On July 3, 2012, Mammoth Lakes, California, filed for bankruptcy. This was followed by San Bernardino, California, on July 11, 2012, when it filed for the second largest bankruptcy of any city in US history. Rockland County, New York, expects to follow, as does Scranton, Pennsylvania; Providence, Rhode Island; Detroit, Michigan; Santa Ana, California; Long Beach, California; Costa Mesa, California. The list is growing by the day and just goes on and on. Even the US Federal Government itself and the majority of US States are also rapidly going broke.

In European countries the trend is the same. In Italy, many cities are bordering on bankruptcy including Naples, Palermo in Sicily, Reggio Calabria and Piedmont. Cities and towns in southern Italy, like the US, have been plagued by incompetence, mismanagement, crime, corruption, infiltration by the Mafia, excessive debt, wasteful use of EU funds, and have been ‘cooking the books’ for years.
In San Bernardo, California, in July 2012 the Sheriff’s Department was working with police and the district attorney’s office to investigate criminal activity by city officials accused of falsifying documents that masked the city’s deficit for 13 of the past 16 years. This “fiddling with the books” by councils, local and central government officials and politicians is becoming increasingly common.

In the UK also, homeowners are rebelling against unsustainable rises in council rates/taxes. Some individuals are even electing not to pay any council taxes at all claiming that councils are acting unlawfully. [http://www.neverpayagain.co.uk/counciltaxcourtsscam.htm](http://www.neverpayagain.co.uk/counciltaxcourtsscam.htm)

In the Bahamas, as of December 2012, the middle class is being “taxed into oblivion” by huge “Real Property Tax” bill increases of between 200-500 per cent. Google search: ‘Taxed into oblivion’ from 200-500% real property rise / The Tribune’ or:


The list goes on and on.

So who is ultimately responsible for what is happening? Is this growing global crisis in local government finances happening totally by chance? Or, is it a secret plot being deliberately created? More importantly, where may all this be leading? What are the solutions? Hopefully this little work, as short and imperfect as it is, may in some way help throw some further light on this increasingly global, controversial subject, and help provide some valid solutions.
CHAPTER ONE

A BRIEF HISTORY OF SOCIALISM:
400BC – 2012AD

Over half this book is devoted to this one chapter alone because the author feels it is so important. Without carefully reading this chapter on socialism it is simply impossible to fully understand the rest of this book dealing with council rate rises and taxes leading to full property confiscation.

Many concerned people around the world often wonder why so many central or local government politicians and bureaucrats consistently “just sit back and do nothing” to resolve deep-seated problems facing the national or local community that even any first grade school student could easily fix. This particularly applies to rapidly rising council rates and taxes. There is a reason.

While it may come as a shock for many people, in most cases, these politicians are usually not simply “incompetent” but are deliberately taking the actions they do because of their socialist views or spiritual convictions. Usually it is very difficult to detect. More often than not people with these views can be very subtle, elusive, and extremely hard to properly identify. They will often claim quite openly that they are “good family men and women” having the taxpayer public’s interests at heart, when the very reverse is to be true and behind the scenes they are actively working to implement their own socialist ideology and goals.

It is an undeniable fact that all leading socialists ultimately want to destroy the existing state of religious, social, economic and political order in sovereign countries – before bringing in a “New World Order” socialist dictatorship – with a totalitarian world government, religion, electronic currency and banking system, judiciary, police and army. For this to happen, the old system has to be destroyed first.

There are now literally thousands and thousands of these “socialist” people in all countries across all political spectrums, political parties, central and local government politicians, religious groups, central bankers and business leaders, secretly working behind the scenes to achieve this undemocratic end. Most ordinary members of the general public simply have absolutely no idea this is happening as the global media is totally controlled by a small socialist banking elite who ensure that all the important information about this is largely censored from public view.

The following is just a very brief “history of socialism” in what is otherwise a very complex and deep subject for the average person. The history concentrates on only some of the most powerful socialist groups, how they were formed, their goals, and how they are incorporated into the global socialist organizations in power today. Without a basic understanding of these critical facts it is virtually impossible for anyone to fully appreciate exactly why the current
global political and economic system is being deliberately collapsed, and at a local level, why this is increasingly being manifested in excessive wealth taxes, rate rises and property taxes.

If the global public, especially the middle classes, remain as apathetic and ignorant as they largely are at present, and continue to do virtually nothing to urgently reverse this accelerating destructive trend, in a very short space of time [less than 5 years] all of their real estate possessions, property, homes, farms etc. will be totally confiscated from them – and they will be enslaved under a global, Communist, Soviet police state.

So let’s get started by taking a look at the founder of all socialism – the Greek philosopher Plato.

**Greek philosopher Plato (427-347BC)**

Plato was born at Athens in the second year of the Peloponnesian War. At age 20 he became the disciple of the pagan Greek philosopher Socrates with whom he was a student for 8 years. Along with his mentor, Socrates, and Plato’s foremost student, Aristotle, he largely helped lay the foundations of Western philosophy and science with the political philosophies of Fascism and Communism that we have today.

The founding “bible” of global socialism is a book written by Plato around 380BC entitled, *The Republic*. All socialism, whether it is called, Christian Socialism, Marxism, Communism, Fascism, Nazism, or Fabian Socialism etc. has at its very basis the pagan Greek philosophies of Socrates, Plato and Aristotle, but more especially the writings of Plato.

“Pure” socialism based on Plato’s writing is this: Basically, Plato believed that finally all “democratic” systems of government throughout Greece and the world would one day be abolished and replaced by a pagan, new world order socialist republic and dictatorship ruling a supposedly “classless” society. It would be governed by a racial elite ruling class called “guardians” and headed not by a hereditary constitutional monarch or even a president, but would be led by a World Philosopher King (or Prince). This philosopher king would come from royalty, yet he would not inherit his position like normal monarchs. Because of his devoted love of philosophy, nature, mother earth, environmentalism, philosophical wisdom, compassion, self sacrifice for all humanity, his numerous charities, his devoted love for people of all faiths and nations, his influence in ending all war and conflict, he would finally be “elected” to his position by the “will” of the people of the world. He would become like a spiritual father to everybody, and would be known as the World Teacher, World Savior, Prince of Peace and World Messiah.

**Fascist Rome, London and Washington DC**

The first world empire to adopt many of Plato’s socialist/fascist philosophies was ancient fascist Rome, first headed by Julius Caesar (49BC – 44BC) dictator of the Roman republic. In the Roman republic the power of the state to “at once scourge and decapitate” was symbolized by a bundle of rods bound with the helve of an axe called the **fasces**. In modern times, Hitler’s Nazi fascist socialist Third Reich was based on this old Roman system of government. Hitler deeply
admired the ability of the Roman Empire for its ability to forge a strong and unified state and blamed Christianity for its destruction.

Today the two great fascist socialist world powers are paradoxically both Britain and the United States, headed by the British Sovereign. (Yes, it is difficult to understand how such a monarch who claims to be ‘Protestant Christian’ can become a fascist or socialist). However the facts cannot be disputed.

When the current Sovereign, Queen Elizabeth II, visits the City of London Corporation, (which now controls the commerce and economy of the whole world) she usually travels in her Gold State Coach. To represent her supreme power over world maritime trade and commerce, four Tritones, sons of Neptune, carry her seated in the cabin. To represent her global fascist power the two rear Tritones each carry the Roman fasces, ancient symbol of fascism.

http://www.angelfire.com/realm2/coronation/goldcoach.html

Copies of these two Roman fasces on the Queen’s Gold State Coach are, in turn, now also mounted on the rear wall behind the Speaker’s Rostrum in the US House of Representatives to symbolize that the US Congress is now a fascist government headed by the British Queen.


Just to make sure there is no doubt, the Statue of Freedom crowning the dome of the US Capitol in Washington DC, is standing on a base decorated with Roman fasces and wreaths.


If there is still any doubt or denial that the United States Government is now a fascist dictatorship, socialist state, then do take a peek at:

http://rexcurry.net/fascism=socialism.html

Christian Socialism

The beginning of all socialism in the modern world grew out of an unsuspected vector, apostate Christianity. Called “Christian Socialism,” it grew out of the Clapham Sect in Britain, long before the Jew, Karl Marx ever wrote a word founding Communism. It was a movement that gradually developed in the 1600-1800s, reaching its zenith in the 1800s, by leading Protestants and scholars in the Church of England who wanted to merge the teachings of Greek philosophers like Plato with the teachings of Christianity. Basically many of these Christian Socialists believed that Jesus was the first Socialist, (a Communist).

Leading Christian Socialists in the 1800s were, Frederick D. Maurice (1805-72), a professor of theology at King’s College London, Rev. Charles Kingsley (1819-75) chaplain to Queen Victoria, Brook F. Westcott (1825-1901) a leading Anglican Greek scholar, Fenton John Anthony Hort (1828-1892) a leading Anglican Greek scholar, and James Keir Hardie a trade union leader and lay preacher in the Evangelical Union Church who later was to become the founder of the Independent Labor Party.
Both Westcott and Hort were Communists. They compiled their corrupt *Westcott and Hort* Greek text published in 1881, now translated into the New Testament of virtually all modern Bible versions in English other than the King James Bible version (which was translated from an entirely different Greek text called the *Textus Receptus*). All modern Bibles translated from this corrupted *Westcott and Hort Greek Text* are “Communist books.” Today most professing Christians in the English-speaking world have been deceived by these Communist books produced by these two devious professors. These two evil Communist men substantially altered their underlying Greek text to comply with the pagan teachings of Plato.

James Keir Hardie, who wrote *From Serfdom to Socialism* (1907) stated: “This generation has grown up ignorant of the fact that socialism is as old as the human race ... When the old civilizations were putrefying, the still small voice of Jesus the Communist stole over the earth like a soft refreshing breeze carrying healing wherever it went.”

Almost all of the founders of the original Fabian Society in London were Christian Socialists or lapsed Anglicans who came together in Stewart Headlam’s Guild of St. Matthew, Land Reform Union and Christian Social Union, with over two thousand members in its peak at the time.

By the late 1800s, the Fabian Society, founded in 1884, had taken over much of the movement of Christian Socialism.

**Karl Heinrich Marx (1818-1883)**

Karl Marx was the famous Ashkenazi Jew, German philosopher, revolutionary socialist and founder of Communism. Marx too, like the Fabians, got his socialist ideas from Christian Socialism (both Catholic and Protestant) and the writings of Plato. He actually kept a statue of the supreme Greek god Zeus on his desk. He was also interested in the ideas of the philosophers Immanuel Kant and Voltaire. Marx was brought up a Lutheran Christian being baptized in 1824, around which time he wrote a lot of Christian prose. But after he entered Trier High School in 1830, he started to change dramatically after being influenced by the school’s liberal humanist teachers. In 1835, then seventeen, he began studying Greek philosophy and literature of Plato at the University of Bonn, and this is where he developed his socialist ideas from. At the university, his fellow students gave him a nickname – “Destroy.”

Karl’s mother was a Dutch Jew, from the family that founded the giant company, Philips Electronics, and was great-aunt to Anton and Gerard Philips. Her brother, Marx’s uncle Benjamin Philips (1830-1900), was a wealthy banker and industrialist, upon whom Karl would later often come to rely for loans while he and his family were living in London.

Both Marx and Lenin studied and wrote in the British Museum/Library Reading Room. Marx is buried in Highgate Cemetery in London. Marx published many books during his lifetime, the most notable being *The Communist Manifesto* (1848) and *Das Capital* (1867-1894). He often worked closely with his friend and fellow revolutionary socialist, Friedrich Engels.

Marx wrote in his *Manifesto of the Communist Party*: 
“You must, therefore, confess that by ‘individual’ you mean no other person than the bourgeois, than the middle-class owner of property. This person must indeed, be swept out of the way, and made impossible.” (Published by Progress Publishers, Moscow, 1973 Edition, p.66)

These books written by Marx still form the basis of all Communism, Russia and China being the foremost examples. Marx indirectly has been responsible for the merciless murder of over 100 million people. The great paradox is, while Marx himself was Jewish and his grandfather was a Jewish rabbi, he even vehemently hated his own Jewish people. Marxism is not Jewish. It is Greek paganism. The hallmark of Plato’s philosophy manifested in socialism ultimately creates the desire to murder one’s own family or friends, one’s own countrymen – to destroy everything.

It may come as a surprise for many, but it is a fact, modern socialism and communism was not founded in Germany or Russia – but in Oxford, Cambridge and Protestant London.

One of the greatest myths in modern history is that the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia was a popular uprising of the downtrodden masses against the hated ruling class of the Tsars. The truth is it was financed entirely from outside Russia, mostly from Jewish financiers in Britain and the United States. Jacob Schiff, the head of the New York bank Kuhn, Loeb and Co. provided much of the money from the US and he financed Trotsky’s trip from New York to Russia. In the February 3, 1949 issue of the New York American, Schiff’s grandson, John, was quoted by columnist Cholly Knickerbocker as saying that his grandfather had given about $20 million for the triumph of Communism in Russia.

Trotsky in his book, My Life tells of a British financier, who in 1907 gave him a large loan to be repaid after the overthrow of the Tsar. Over 21 million rubles were spent by Lord Alfred Milner providing finance for the Russian Revolution. Most of the money from London was channeled through Sir George Buchanan, British Ambassador to Russia at the time.

The introduction of Marxism/Communism into both Russia and China was a British Fabian Society “socialist experiment” prior to imposing a similar system of it on the whole world through a global socialist government now manifested in the United Nations Organization.

Communism is not for the worker

One of the great lies of Marxism and Communism (and therefore all socialism) is that its main interest is concern for the “laboring and working classes.” Its slogan, “Workers of the World Unite” can be seen in almost every Communist pamphlet. When the famous Russian exiled writer, Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn, visited the US for the first time in mid-1975 he gave a 90-minute warning speech to American workers and others during which he commenced by saying:
“Most of those present here today are workers. Creative workers. And I myself, having spent many years of my life as a stone cutter, as a foundry-man, as a manual worker, in the name of all who have shared this forced labor with me, like the two Gulag prisoners whom you just saw, and on behalf of those who are doing forced labor in our country, I can start my speech today with the greeting: “Brothers!” “Brothers in Labor.” And not to forget, also, the many honored guests present here tonight, let me add: “Ladies and Gentlemen.”

“... Now, it’s almost impossible to remember or to believe ... For instance, I recently published – had reprinted – a pamphlet from the year 1918. This was a precise record of a meeting of all representatives of the Petrograd factories, that being the city known in our country as the “cradle of the Revolution.” I repeat, this was March 1918 – only four months after the October Revolution – and all the representatives of the Petrograd factories were cursing the Communists, who had deceived them in all of their promises. What is more, not only had they abandoned Petrograd to cold and hunger, themselves having fled from Petrograd to Moscow, but had given orders to machinegun the crowds of workers in the courtyards of the factories who were demanding the election of independent factory committees. Let me remind you, this was March 1918. Scarcely anyone now can recall the crushing of Petrograd strikes in 1921, or the shooting of workers in Kolpino in the same year.”

“... Since that time, the working class has never been able to stand up for its rights, and in distinction from all western countries our working class only receives what they hand out to it. It only gets handouts. It cannot defend its simplest, everyday interests, and the least strike for pay or for better living conditions is viewed as counter-revolutionary... Precisely to those present here I don’t have to explain that in our country, since the Revolution, there’s never been such a thing as a free trade union.”

“...In 1918 and 1919 the Cheka executed, without trial, more than a thousand persons a month! ... At the height of Stalin’s terror in 1937-38, if we divide the number of persons executed by the number of months, we get more than 40,000 persons shot per month.”

Fabian Society

On October 24, 1883, a group of 17 wealthy Christian Socialists and occultists gathered to discuss establishing a “Fellowship of the New Life” based on the writings of scholar Thomas Davidson. Later, the group split into two factions, and on January 4, 1884 one of the factions, a group of 13 individuals, established the Fabian Society.

The society was named by Frank Podmore, a Spiritualist, after Fabius Maximus, the Roman general who defeated Hannibal with carefully calculated, slow, incremental steps. Unlike Marxism and Communism, that promotes brutal force and “revolution” to implement its socialist goals, the Fabian’s aim was to achieve the same general goals, but through cunning, Hegelian dialectics, “gradualism” and consensus.
Soon after, in May 1884, the Marxist writer George Bernard Shaw (1864-1926) joined and it was he who created the society’s coat of arms – a wolf in sheep’s clothing! Eleanor Marx Aveling, Karl Marx’s youngest daughter, also joined for a short period, but later committed suicide.

The philosophy of the society was written in 1887. It read,

- “It (Fabian Society) therefore aims at the reorganization of society by the emancipation of land and industrial Capital from individual and class ownership ... The Society accordingly works for the extinction of private property in land ...”

In 1895, Sidney Webb, a leading member, founded the Fabian Society’s major training and propaganda school, the London School of Economics and Political Science which later became a branch of the University of London.

Among the school’s major contributors were the Rockefeller Foundation, Carnegie United Kingdom Trust, and Mrs. Ernest Elmhirst, the widow of J. P. Morgan partner Willard Straight, who founded the socialist magazine New Republic. Sidney and Beatrice Webb published a book of 1143 pages in defense of Bolshevism. It was entitled, Soviet Communism: A New Civilization.

Leading Fabians founded the Independent Labor Party in 1893 and Labor Party in 1906. The Labor Party constitution was written by Sidney Webb, and borrowed heavily from the founding documents of the Fabian Society.

Since its founding, the Labor Party in Britain has effectively been controlled by members of the Fabian Society and London School of Economics. In Australia and New Zealand the situation is much the same. Since 1997, there have been over 200 Fabian MPs in the British House of Commons, including former prime ministers, Tony Blair and Gordon Brown. There are presently 60 local Fabian Society branches across the UK.

The former New Zealand National Party Prime Minister Sir Rob Muldoon was a Fabian. So too, former New Zealand Marxist Prime Minister Helen Clark’s husband Peter Davis who was educated at the London School of Economics and is a longtime member of the Fabian Society. The current Australian PM Julia Gillard is also a member of the Australian branch of the Fabian Society.

Fabian Tract No.7 ‘Capital and Land’ published in 1904 sets forth some of the society’s goals to abolish the private ownership of land. On the front cover of the tract is written the statement: “For the right moment you must wait, as FABIUS did most patiently when warring against HANNIBAL, though many censured his delays; but when the time comes you must strike hard, as FABIUS did, or your waiting will be in vain, and fruitless.”

Fabians have been tirelessly working to gradually implement their communist goals through local government at least from 1929. Sidney Webb wrote Fabian Tract No. 231 – ‘The Local government Act, 1929 – How to make the best of it.’

One of the first goals of Fabianism is to destroy national sovereignty. Arnold J. Toynbee (1889-1975) a professor of Modern Greek and Byzantine History at King’s College London, a leading
member of the Fabian Society, professor at the London School of Economics, and director of studies at the Royal Institute of International Affairs (now Chatham House) wrote:

“We are at present working discreetly, but with all our might, to wrest this mysterious force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local states of the world. And all the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands.” (“The Trend of International Affairs since the War,” *International Affairs*, November 1931, p.809)

http://anticorruptionsociety.com/international/the-city-world-conquest/


While the Fabian Society directly controls the socialist policies of both the New Zealand and Australian Labor Parties, there are Fabians in all other parties around the world as well. Indeed, Fabians saturate the government bureaucracies in both countries to such an extent that when Labor is not in power there is very little appreciable change in policy direction. This is because public servants, who usually hold their positions for life, have such a huge influence over self-serving politicians who at best are only in power until the next election.

**Rhodes-Milner Round Table**

Another of these leading ‘Christian Socialists’ and students of Plato during the 1800s was an eminent Oxford professor named John Ruskin. His favorite pupil was a young man named Cecil Rhodes, who later became a very wealthy South African diamond baron, founding Rhodesia named after him. Rhodes would later draft up a secret plan based on Plato’s vision in *The Republic* to form a secret society dedicated to return a reformed British Empire to its former glory to finally head a New World Order world government.

In his third Will Rhodes left virtually his entire estate to Freemason Lord Nathan Rothschild as trustee to carry out this devious plan. Rothschild appointed Freemason Alfred Milner to set up this secret society at Oxford which was first called the *Society of the Elect* but later outwardly known as Milner’s Kindergarten, and in 1909 it was renamed the Round Table.

In 1910, *The Round Table Journal: A Quarterly Review of the Politics of the British Empire* was founded by Lord Milner and members of Milner’s Kindergarten (including Lionel Curtis, Philip Kerr and Geoffrey Dawson) to unify the objectives of the group internationally.

By 1915, Round Table groups existed in seven countries, including Britain, South Africa, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, India, and a group in the United States headed by George Louis Beer, Walter Lippmann, Frank Aydelotte, Whitney Shepardson, Thomas W. Lamont, Erwin D. Canham and others.

In 1920, Lionel Curtis founded the *Royal Institute of International Affairs*. A year later, its American branch, the *Council on Foreign Relations*, was founded by Walter Lippmann and others.
Today the Round Table controls the Business Round Table groups in all countries. The current New Zealand Business Round Table was founded in 1985.

http://www.nzbr.org.nz/About+Us.html

Chatham House (formerly called Royal Institute of International Affairs)

In 1920, following a meeting at the previous year’s Paris Peace Conference, a group of Fabians and Round Table members, under the leadership of Lionel Curtis, founded the British Institute of International Affairs. The first chairman was Robert Cecil, while Lionel Curtis served as honorary secretary. Arnold J. Toynbee later became the director. Its American branch, the Council on Foreign Relations, was established the following year. In 1926, upon receipt of its royal charter, its name was changed to the Royal Institute of International Affairs. In 2004 its name was again changed to Chatham House.

Today Chatham House indirectly controls the socialist, “globalist policies” on “international affairs” of the whole world, and has branches in most western countries. Its most powerful global branches in order of seniority are: Bilderberg Group (Europe), Council on Foreign Relations (US) and the Trilateral Commission (US).

The New Zealand branch is called the New Zealand Institute of International Affairs, based at Victoria University, Wellington. The New Zealand branch in turn is affiliated with other branches such as the Australian Institute of International Affairs, Canadian International Council, Japanese Institute of International Affairs, Singapore Institute of International Affairs, Danish Institute of International Affairs, Clingendael Netherlands Institute of International Relations, Norwegian Institute of International Affairs, South African Institute of International Affairs, Swedish Institute of International Affairs, Finnish Institute of International Affairs, Moscow State Institute of International Relations, China Institute of International Relations – to name a few.

Chatham House Rule: All Chatham House meetings are held either “on the record,” or, “under the Chatham House Rule.” The Chatham House Rule was introduced in 1927, but was further refined in 2002.

Since its inception the most important meetings have always been held in secret under this rule. The Rule comes from British Freemasonry, and is designed to bind all meeting participants to absolute secrecy, so that the general public is always kept ignorant of their socialist, globalist conspiracy. Today the rule states:

- “When a meeting, or part thereof, is held under the Chatham House Rule, participants are free to use the information received, but neither the identity nor the affiliation of the speaker(s), nor that of any other participant, may be revealed.”

Council on Foreign Relations

Immediately following the founding of the Royal Institute of International Affairs (now Chatham House) in London in 1920, the US branch called the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) was
founded in 1921 and headquartered in New York. Today it also has a branch in Washington DC. It has the same “New World Order” socialist goals as Chatham House, Bilderberg Group and the Trilateral Commission all founded after it.

The CFR membership is huge, with nearly 4,700 members. The membership includes many of the world’s top business leaders, bankers, university scholars, journalists, lawyers, top government leaders and officials, media leaders, journalists and nonprofit professionals. Mikhail Gorbachev is a member. Lists of some Council on Foreign Relations Members are here: http://www.biblebelievers.org.au/cfra11.htm http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Members_of_the_Council_on_Foreign_Relations

Trilateral Commission

After the Council of Foreign Relations was founded in the US in 1921, it was felt another, more corporate, “big business” venue was needed to help abolish national sovereignty of nations and stimulate global political and economic union particularly between the United States, Russia, Western Europe, Asia and Japan. As the result, a Communist, Zbigniew Brzezinski, approached David Rockefeller, Chairman of the Council of Foreign Relations, with the idea to form such a group and subsequently the Trilateral Commission was established in 1973.

The funding for the Commission came from the Rockefeller Brothers Fund, the Rockefeller Foundation, Ford Foundation and nearly 40 major corporations. Brzezinski, an agent of David Rockefeller, wrote the Commission’s charter and became the first director. Unlike Chatham House, Bilderberg Group and the Council on Foreign Relations, it limits its membership only to about 300 major global business leaders and does not allow government officials to be members.

The ultimate goal of the Trilateral Commission is to abolish the sovereignty of the world’s independent nation states and merge all countries into “regional unions” under the legislative power of the United Nations, and have the whole world owned and run by a “Corporation of Earth,” encompassing a handful of giant, City of London-controlled multinational banks and corporations.

The Commission, as an agent of Chatham House, is also the main political vehicle for consolidating the multinational commercial and banking interests of the City of London through the United States by strengthening the World Bank and IMF powers – so that these organizations can, in the future, oversee the collapse of the existing global monetary order, and replace it with a new electronic global monetary system and currency which the socialist banking elite will control.

New Zealand’s representative on the Trilateral Commission in 2010 was Hugh Fletcher. His wife is Dame Sian Elias, the current Chief Justice of New Zealand. If ever there was a conflict of interest, or betrayal of a nation, she now fills such an ignominious role! (Read more about her later). http://publicintelligence.net/trilateral-commission-complete-membership-list-may-2010/
**Bilderberg Group**

The Bilderberg Group was founded on May 29, 1954, at the Hotel de Bilderberg near Arnhem in the Netherlands by Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands. Second to Chatham House, it is the most influential globalist group in the world and all its meetings are held in secret under the Chatham House Rule. Membership of the group represents a who’s who of the world power elites, mostly from America, Canada, and Western Europe. These individuals include top figures from the EU, European Royalty, Bank of England, US Federal Reserve, multinational banks and corporations, US Council on Foreign Relations, Trilateral Commission, IMF and World Bank. Only about 80 to 100 of some of the world’s most influential individuals usually attend Bilderberg meetings.

The Steering Committee decides who to invite, and in all cases these participants are adherents to One World Order governance run by these top power elites. The Steering Committee Rules are very strict and state: “The invited guests must come alone; no wives, girlfriends, husbands or boyfriends. Personal assistants (meaning security, bodyguards, CIA or other secret service protectors) cannot attend the conference and must eat in a separate hall. (Also) The guests are explicitly forbidden from giving interviews to journalists or divulge anything that goes on in meetings.”

The goals of the Group are broadly the same as those of Chatham House, Council on Foreign Relations and Trilateral Commission. They are:

- Abolition of private property
- Abolition of all rights of inheritance
- Establishment of a one world FASCIST government run by multinational corporations.
- One world central bank and world currency.
- One world company or corporation (City of London Corporation) running the world, with a World Trade Organization, global single marketplace, policed by a one world UN army.
- Confiscation of all privately owned firearms, while at the same time, militarizing the police and secret service in all countries
- Expansion of police state security intelligence monitoring agencies to monitor everyone.
- Transfer some of the powers of arrest from the police to social agencies.
- Centralized, universal, one world religion, law and values.
- A New World Order with no middle class, only Plato’s “Elite Guardians of the State” (themselves of course) and everybody else obedient, mindless “serfs.”
- Global eugenics policy, population control, deliberate plan to reduce the world population by about two thirds.
- International control of education, with all children in childcare centers through to university level totally indoctrinated by the socialist state.
- Remove children from parents, remove parental rights.
- Destroy the family unit and marriage.
- Destroy Christianity and all “fundamentalist” religious groups.
Global control of the media.
Global control of all energy and food resources.
A reformed UN to become the global legislative agency, world Supreme Soviet.
World taxation system.
World fascist legal system and judiciary.
World welfare system with anyone who is considered a non-productive “useless eater” to be exterminated.
The licensing of “everything and everybody” to complete the control of all individual freedom of action: Farmers would need to be licensed to carry out even many of their most basic activities, as would all tradesmen, builders, teachers, health/legal/property professions, drivers, fishermen. Cars, boats, commercial and industrial premises and anything connected to food or energy would have to be strictly licensed. Ultimately, you would need a permit to travel from one town or city to another, to take your kid fishing off a wharf, and woe betide you if the local Council (Soviet) inspectors or secret police catch you not wearing a lifejacket! Severe drink-driving and smoking laws would be first introduced to get the public used to more stringent state intervention against personal rights.
The list just goes on and on!

An outstanding website revealing much more extensive information about the hidden aims of the Bilderberg Group is: http://www.bilderberg.org/

League of Nations

Early proposals were made within a fortnight of the start of World War I by a group known as the ‘Bryce Group’ led by Lord Goldsworthy Lowes Dickinson (1862-1932) and Lord James Bryce (1838-1922). Although the Group was named after Bryce because of his political position, these proposals were largely written and prepared by Lowes Dickinson, a Cambridge professor, homosexual, and leading Greek scholar of Plato. During his life Dickinson wrote a number of books including, The Greek View of Life, After Two Thousand Years: a Dialogue between Plato and a Modern Young Man, Plato and his Dialogues and the Contribution of Ancient Greece to Modern Life. For 15 years he lectured at the Fabian Society’s London School of Economics.

These pagan Platonic ideas written by Dickinson into his proposals were soon after adopted by the British League of Nations Society, founded in 1915. The following year in 1916 Dickinson started a lecture tour in the United States promoting the idea of a League of Nations. In December 1916, Lord Robert Cecil suggested that an official committee be set up with the British and Americans to draft a covenant for the future league. The British committee was finally appointed in February 1918. Further drafts were made by both the British and Americans. Then at the Paris Peace Conference in 1919, a commission was appointed to agree on a final covenant with members from other countries included. Eventually this was approved, and later in 1919 a General Assembly (representing all member states) and an Executive Council (with membership limited to the major powers) and a permanent Secretariat was created.
The League of Nations’ first official meeting was on January 16, 1920. The League lasted for 27 years and was dissolved on April 20, 1946. After the end of World War 2, the United Nations Organization (UN) replaced it and inherited a number of agencies and organizations founded by it.

**United Nations Organization (UN)**

Following on from the *League of Nations Covenant* developed by socialist and Plato scholar Lowes Dickinson, the founding of the Fabian Society and establishment of Marxism in Communist Russia, the UN officially came into existence on October 25, 1945. While not commonly known, the *United Nations Charter* was written up by Alger Hiss, a Soviet/Communist agent and Andrei Gromyko, the Soviet Ambassador to Washington. In the *Daily Telegraph*, August 28, 1958, Gromyko declared that the *United Nations Charter* was copied word for word from the *Communist Manifesto* and the *Soviet Constitution* by the American Marxist Jew, Leon Pavlovsky.

While very few people in the 193 member states that presently are members of the UN may not fully appreciate the fact – from its initial inception the United Nations has always been, and now still is, entirely a full-blown Fabian Socialist/Communist organization.

Socialism is not just atheism, agnosticism, or simply another political “ideology.” It is, in the most profound sense, very definitely a religion. It is specifically based on the state religion of ancient Greece and the teachings of Plato. The chief deity in the ancient Greek religion was Zeus who was worshipped as the supreme sky god, who came down from heaven incarnated as a man to become the father of the gods and savior of the Greek nation. Statues of him always represent him as a “fatherly” man. In Greek his name literally means ‘father’ or ‘father who helps.’ He was called the father of the gods, king of the gods, king of heaven, god of the sky, thunder and lightning, law, order and justice.

To celebrate the fact that Zeus is now also the “father of the United Nations,” a large statue of him welcomes everyone who enters the Main Lobby of the UN Assembly Building in New York City. The statue was presented to the UN by the Greek Government.

The center of worship at the UN headquarters is a religious chapel called the UN Meditation Room. It was meticulously created, personally planned and supervised in every detail of its construction in 1953 by the second Secretary-General of the UN, Dag Hammarskjöld, a Swedish socialist. Its main feature, the only symbol in the room, is a giant six-and-half-ton rectangular cube block of black iron ore, polished on the top and illuminated from above by a single spotlight. It was a gift from the King of Sweden and a Swedish mining company.

When Hammarskjöld installed it he described it as “…a meeting of the light, of the sky, and the earth… it is the altar to the God of all … we want this massive altar to give the impression of something more than temporary…” [http://www.un.org/Depts/dhl/dag/meditationroom.htm](http://www.un.org/Depts/dhl/dag/meditationroom.htm)

In British Israel World Freemasonry, and ancient witchcraft, a stone “black cube” has always represented the pagan “supreme deity.” This cube is sometimes is called the “Stone of
In ancient Greece’s case, this supreme deity was called Zeus. Just as the statue of Zeus in the Main Lobby of the UN building shows Zeus holding up his right arm with his hand holding in his fingers an invisible lightning bolt – so the single spotlight shining on the black stone cube altar in the UN Meditation Room represents the lightning bolt of the sky god, Zeus, thundering down from heaven to illuminate the earth and all mankind with his presence.

In Greek mythology, this thunderbolt was given to Zeus by the Cyclops, a giant with a single eye in the middle of the forehead and was seen as the unsurpassed retributive weapon of divine destruction. In ancient Egypt, this “single eye” was called the “eye of Horus” or “eye of Lucifer.”

For many years the Lucis Trust, founded by occultists in the early 1920s as Lucifer Publishing Company, ran the UN Meditation and Prayer Room. In Latin lucern ferre means ‘light bearer’ and lucis means ‘light.’ Over many years Lucis Trust with its kindred agency, World Goodwill, has been an NGO at the UN.

Right from the very beginning of the creation of the United Nations in 1945, there were firm proposals to create a United Nations Parliamentary Assembly that would eventually allow for the direct election of UN Parliament members by citizens all over the world – to finally create a One World Government and turn the world into a “United Nations World Socialist Republic” – that over two thousand years ago was dreamed about by Plato. Events are now well under way to achieve this end.

**UN Peacekeepers, blue caps & Communist Russia**

In the old Roman Empire, as its dominion increased, the fascist government in Rome used to justify its military aggression by first sending out emissaries on the pretext it only wanted “peace,” before brutally attacking and conquering its enemies. This is what the UN is doing today.

A major goal of socialism has always included this deceptive notion that its primary objective is “world peace” – when the truth is, what it really wants is cruel dictatorship and world dominion.

Consequently, the UN Charter gives the United Nations Security Council the power and responsibility to take collective action to maintain “international peace.” Since 1948 close to 130 nations have contributed military or civilian personnel to UN “peace operations.” This is largely administered through the UN Department of Peacekeeping Operations. At the end of 2010, this department alone had a budget of $7.3 billion with 124,000 active personnel, employed no longer as soldiers (as they really are), but now deceptively called “peacekeepers.”

These “UN peacekeepers” can include soldiers, police officers and to a lesser extent civilian personnel, and are often referred to as “Blue Berets” because of their military light blue berets or helmets.
The term “blue berets” originates from the old USSR, where the Soviet Secret Police (NKVD) in the 1930s and 40s were called “Blue Caps.” These were the police that rounded up accused dissidents for the Gulags. They also formed blocking detachments and conducted anti-guerrilla operations in re-conquered Soviet territories in WW2. The NKVD was renamed the MVD in 1946, and these caps were worn by the police in Russia up to about 1954. http://www.undertheredstar.com/mvd.htm

The UN “peacekeepers” are structured directly from these old Soviet Union “Internal Troops of the Ministry for Internal Affairs” (MVD). In the former USSR, these Russian “Internal Troops” were subordinated to the Internal Affairs Ministries (police) of the respective countries. They were used to support and reinforce the Militsiya, deal with large-scale crowd control, internal armed conflicts, prison security (except in Russia) and safeguarding of highly-important facilities such as nuclear power plants. As such, the force was (AND STILL IS) involved in all conflicts and violent disturbances, both in the former Soviet Union and now in modern Russia. They were actively involved in Stalin’s mass deportations, brutal imprisonments and executions in the First and Second Chechen Wars.

Incredibly, in May and August 2012, some of these Russian MVD Internal Troops were actually sent to the United States to conduct a series of joint US-Russia military training anti-terror exercises in Fort Carson, Colorado. And, as they often do in Russia, to prove they really were harmless “nice guys,” they were mixing with the naïve local community attending a baseball game in Colorado Springs! http://nation.foxnews.com/russia/2012/08/28/russian-troops-trained-us http://fromthetrenchesworldreport.com/russian-troops-infiltrate-usa/18904 http://revolutionradio.org/?p=33394

**Nazi Judges and Lawyers**

It is an inescapable fact that many of the most highly respected professions in a normal, open and free democratic society, under socialism turn into the most despicable, vile human beings ever imaginable. Under Hitler’s Nazi National Socialism the professional group that took first prize for this heinous betrayal was “judges and lawyers.”

Since the end of World War II, many have wondered about the role of lawyers, judges, and law professors in Hitler’s rise to power and the role they continued to play for the duration of the Third Reich. How could persons committed to the rule of law and steeped in fundamental notions of due process and respect for individual dignity become active participants in a cruel regime of lawlessness and unparalleled brutality?

In 1991 a revealing book was published entitled, *Hitler’s Justice: The Courts of the Third Reich*, by Ingo Muller (translated by Deborah Lucas Schneider) addressing this very question. In a review of the book in the same year, Rabbi Yitzchok Breitowitz, assistant professor of law at the University of Maryland commented:

- “… The book reminds us that contrary to the self-serving assertions of postwar jurists, the extent of resistance to the Nazi terror on the part of the legal profession was minuscule. While many jurists were removed and executed
because they were Jewish and other judges resigned in protest over attacks on their pension rights, Muller claims that only one jurist officially protested Nazi injustices and was forced to take early retirement (pp.193-197). Nor is it wholly true that jurists were simply “victims” of their “positivistic” orientation to mechanically follow and apply the law... It is also argued that jurists had no meaningful choice; resisting National Socialism would not only have cost them their careers but their lives as well. It is significant, however, that in one case of recorded resistance, that of Kreyssig’s early retirement was all that was required and if many more judges had truly protested the tenets of Nazism, one wonders whether Hitler, at least in the early years of the Reich, would have had the effrontery to dismiss them.”

• “...While members of the legal profession are not all equally culpable, the message that emerges from Muller’s book is crystal clear. Neither the bar nor the judiciary made any organized attempts to oppose any aspects of Hitler’s regime; the bar and judiciary as bodies heartily endorsed racial exclusionary policies; the number of resisters was extremely small.”

• “...On another level, I would submit that resistance may very well have been effective. Particularly in the early years of the Reich, the 1935-1936 period when Hitler was still consolidating his power, the legitimatization of his degrees by the courts and the legal profession was a crucial element in extending the government’s authority. Each small victory, each incursion into personal liberties without protest enabled Nazism to extend its insidious tentacles further. The policy of appeasement in domestic affairs worked as effectively as it later did in the area of foreign policy with the same disastrous results. Perhaps more so than for any other segment of German society, the judiciary’s abdication of responsibility was not only a personal moral failure but a catastrophe for the world at large. (Again, however, I speak from hindsight – if anything, this should teach us to be vigilant about our own liberties).”

• “...He also reminds us that Nazism was not an anomalous virus coming down from outer space but was part and parcel of the German legal culture that preceded 1933 and continues to have echoes that reverberate in 1991, echoes that have not yet been dissipated or erased.”


Out of a total population of nearly 70 million (69,623,000) people in Germany at the start of World War 2 – only one official protestor! What does this somber historical fact tell us? It reminds us that virtually all members of the legal profession, be they lawyers or judges – cannot ever be trusted. Perhaps this explains why such a high number of lawyers become politicians. Are they, as a group, in the end, nothing more than a highly paid bunch of professional liars – a sophisticated gang of common pickpockets, only interested in one thing – lining their own pockets? History points to this fact being the case.

Conveniently, rarely mentioned by the international legal fraternity, is the fact a number of their hierarchy were tried as criminals at the Judges’ Trial in Nuremberg after the end of World
War II. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Judges’_Trial](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Judges’_Trial) Even at this trial many more should have been indicted but were not.

At the end of World War II, the International Bar Association (IBA) was established in 1947. It in turn is controlled by Temple Bar, named after the Knights Templar, a Masonic secret society in London. All lawyers and judges cannot practice unless they are members of this international criminal network. There are currently more than 45,000 individual lawyers and over 200 bar associations and law societies that are members spanning all continents. Both The New Zealand Bar Association and The New Zealand Law Society are members.

What do these self righteous, hypocritical, upstanding paragons of the law in the free world today really think about the socialist International Criminal Court or World Court? How do they feel about the Communist United Nations Organization? Do they, with their supposed “high level of learning and superior intellect or integrity” ever have the courage or discernment to speak up or warn the general public about what is happening? Rarely, if ever! Will they, in the end, like their aligned legal colleagues of the former Nazi Third Reich – end up in the soon-coming socialist United Nations New World Order – and betray everyone? [http://www.ibanet.org/About_the_IBA.apx](http://www.ibanet.org/About_the_IBA.apx)

Many ordinary, relatively uneducated, working class, simple-minded Germans blindly followed Hitler and they could have a case for not knowing any better. But certainly not the judges or legal profession who were all educated men who knew precisely what they were doing. Could modern-day judges and chief justices become like the infamous Nazi Roland Freisler – the literal embodiment of evil?

Today, with the incredible global power of the internet, there is simply no excuse for anyone in the world to repeat these same mistakes again, as there are numerous, YouTube videos with original Nazi archive footage of the events on the web. Google-search: “Roland Freisler.” [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roland_Freisler](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roland_Freisler)

**Socialist International (1864-2012)**

The **First International** (1864-1876) (sometimes called the International Workingmen’s Association) was founded in Saint Martin’s Hall in London in 1864 by Friedrich Engels, collaborator of Karl Marx. Its first congress was held in 1866 in Geneva. It aimed to unite a variety of left-wing trade unions, socialist groups and communist organizations together with the ultimate goal to bring in a world socialist government.

The **Second International** (1889-1916) that effectively replaced the first was an organization of socialist and labor parties from 20 countries that was formed in Paris on July 14, 1889, later with its headquarters in Brussels, Belgium. It continued the work of the dissolved First International, though excluded the still powerful anarcho-syndicalist movement and unions. It lasted until 1916, when it was dissolved during World War I as the separate national parties that composed it did not maintain a unified front against the war, instead generally supporting their respective nations’ role.
The **Third International** (1919-1943) was founded 1919 immediately after the end of World War I. Two years after forming the Soviet government in Russia, Lenin established the headquarters of the **Third (Com-munist) International** in Moscow. The Communist International (Comintern) for short was known as the “Third” because two other international socialist organizations of workers had previously been set up. Before it was dissolved in May 1943, the Third International was composed of representatives of communist parties in over 50 countries. Theoretically, the Russian Communist Party dominated these 58 communist parties that were members of it because it was the only one that had succeeded in establishing and maintaining political control of a country, especially being a major one. The proclaimed objective of the Third International as set forth in its program was to replace the world capitalist economy with world communism – through force and violence if need be. After the Red army had turned back the tide of Nazi conquest, Russia’s diplomatic and military position was immensely strengthened and the Third International was considered no longer a useful weapon by the Soviet government. Consequently it was dissolved in May 1943 by its executive committee.

The **Socialist International** (1951-present) (the fourth, simply now called the ‘Socialist International’) was formed in London on June 3, 1951, as the successor of all the internationals before it. It is now a huge worldwide association of socialist and labor political parties which seek to establish democratic socialism (Marxism & Communism) over the whole world.

**Today the organization is MASSIVE!** It currently consists of 162 member parties from more than 100 countries. Its headquarters are at Maritime House, Old Town, Clapham, in London. The Secretariat of the organization in London coordinates the activities and initiatives of the International, convenes its meetings, oversees regional committees and conferences, issues statements, press releases and produces its publications.

As at December 2012, there were exactly 50 Socialist International (SI) “member parties in government” around the world. All these are shown on the (SI) website and some of the most well-known ones include Australia, Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Croatia, Denmark, Finland, France, Greece, Iceland, Iraq, Ireland, Jamaica, Lebanon, Netherlands, Northern Ireland, Norway, Pakistan, Palestine, Romania, South Africa, Switzerland, Zimbabwe and Mexico.

Members of the (SI) include such parties as the Meretz Party in Israel, the Democratic Socialists of America (DSA) and Australian Labor Party. There are 29 observer parties. They include the Israel Labor Party and New Zealand Labor Party. A full list is on the (SI) website. [http://www.socialistinternational.org/viewArticle.cfm?ArticlePageID=931](http://www.socialistinternational.org/viewArticle.cfm?ArticlePageID=931)

The Socialist International works relentlessly towards the goal of implementing world Communism through its regional committees; Africa Committee, Committee for Asia and the Pacific, Committee for the CIS, Caucasus and the Black Sea, Committee for Latin America and the Caribbean, Mediterranean Committee, Middle East Committee and the Committee for South Eastern Europe.
The Socialist International is a non-governmental organization with (Category I) consultative status with the United Nations, and works directly with a number of other powerful international organizations. It works with the European Parliament (S&D), Party of European Socialists (PES), Social Democratic Group of the Latin American Parliament and World Labor Zionist Movement.

Helen Clark, the current New Zealand Administrator of the United Nations Development Fund (UNDP), the third highest position in the UN, and Marxist, former Labor Party Prime Minister of New Zealand (1999-2008), in August 2000, not long after she became prime minister, hosted the Socialist International Asia-Pacific Committee Meeting in Wellington, New Zealand. Bob Harvey, the Mayor of Waitakere City and President of the New Zealand Labor Party spoke at the meeting as did the Labor Government Foreign Minister Phil Goff who said, “The Socialist International is not only about democratic rights and socio-economic rights, it is about the solidarity that we as parties and countries can express for those of our comrades at a time when they need that assistance.” Most city councils throughout the world are now being brought under the umbrella of the various United Nations programs and Bob Harvey was the New Zealand local government representative at the United Nations World Summit on Sustainable Development (Rio+10) in Johannesburg in 2002. These local government politicians, contrary to what most people think, are just as socialist and follow the same Communist agenda as their central government bedfellows!

At present, every country throughout the world is being “regionalized,” like those in the former Soviet Union, ready to abolish the nation state, close down national central governments, and rule each country through “local super-councils” by the UN.

While most people in the general population of New Zealand do not have any idea about what these socialist people behind the scenes are really up to – the Labor people at the top certainly do. The present leader of the New Zealand Labor Party, David Shearer, has conducted numerous assignments for the United Nations and International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) in London. The IISS is a British research institute or think tank and claims it is the world’s leading socialist authority on political-military conflict. It has over 2,500 Individual Members, 450 Corporate and Institutional Members from more than 100 countries.

The (IISS) was founded by Labor Party supporter Sir Michael Howard and British Labor MP Denis Healey. Sir Michael Howard worked together with Professor Donald Cameron Watt at the London School of Economics and between them they produced a seminar and much of the IISS program. Denis Healey, the other founder, is a long time Communist. He was a member of the Communist Party 1937-1940, a councilor of the Royal Institute of International Affairs (1948-1960) a councilor for the Institute for Strategic Studies (1958-1961) and was a member of the Fabian Society executive (1954-1961). David Shearer is a Communist.

**The Gorbachev Foundation**

The Gorbachev Foundation was founded in San Francisco, California, by former Soviet leader Mikhail Gorbachev in December 1991 immediately following the breakup of the USSR.
Gorbachev served as General Secretary of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union (1985-1991) and as the last head of state of the Soviet Union from 1988 until its dissolution in 1991.

The Foundation’s headquarters have since been moved to Moscow, and it is now formally called The International Foundation for Socio-Economic and Political Studies. Mikhail Gorbachev is the President.

The Gorbachev Foundation’s main purpose is to implement a Russian-based “Communist” “New World Order” to the world THROUGH DECEPTION – by the “restructuring” all of society through Perestroika (restructuring) and Glasnost (openness), encouraging social reform, international support for the United Nations, and expand globalization to bring in world government. The motto of The Gorbachev Foundation is “Toward a New Civilization.”

Jim Garrison who later became President of the Gorbachev Foundation-USA, in 1985 said:

- “Over the next 20 to 30 years, we are going to end up with world government. It’s inevitable ... We have to empower the United Nations and ... we have to govern and regulate human interaction.”

Here are some quotes from Gorbachev (who is still a dedicated Marxist/Leninist/Communist):

- “Gentlemen, comrades, do not be concerned about all you hear about Glasnost and Perestroika and democracy in the coming years. They are primarily for outward consumption. There will be no significant internal changes in the Soviet Union, other than for cosmetic purposes. Our purpose is to disarm the Americans and let them fall asleep.”
- “In October 1917, we parted with the old world, respecting it once and for all. We are moving toward a new world, a world of Communism. We shall never turn off that road.” (Mikhail “Gorby” Gorbachev, darling of the American Press, November 2, 1987).
- “Further global progress is now possible only through a quest for universal consensus in the movement towards a new world order.”
- “I am a Communist, a convinced Communist! For some that may be a fantasy. But to me it is my main goal.”
- “Jesus was the first socialist, the first to seek a better life for mankind.” (As quoted in The London Daily Telegraph, June 16, 1992).
- “We say perestroika is the renewal of Socialism, but not its dismantling. We say perestroika is revolutionary transformation, the elimination of the deformation of Socialism, but not the restoration of Capitalism. We say perestroika is the revival of creative Marxism, a new awareness of Leninist ideas.” (Quoted in Cal Thomas, “Mikhail Gorbachev Shows His Teeth of Steel.” Conservative Chronicle, January 14, 1991, p.13).

For this, Gorbachev has been showered with awards, including:

- Order of St. Andrew (2011), the highest state decoration of Russia
- Order of Honor (2001)
In October of 2001, the Gorbachev Foundation of North America sponsored a meeting to assist in the transition from capitalism to communism by bringing in a world government called the Conference on Democratic Transition and Consolidation (CDTC) held in Madrid, Spain, which launched the Club of Madrid. Today the Club membership includes about 90 former heads of state from Europe, the Americas, Asia and Africa.

**Club of Madrid**


**Jennifer M. Shipley**, Former National Party Prime Minister of New Zealand (1997-1999) is on the Board of Directors of the Club of Madrid and is Vice-President.

http://www.gfna.net/eventdetail.php?id=24&

Shipley is also on the board of China Construction Bank, the second or third biggest bank in China. In 2010, it was China Construction Bank that agreed to finance May Wang (also known as Hao May) and Jack Chen’s foreign plan to purchase part of New Zealand’s dairy industry by taking over Crafar Farms, a big farming group.

Now what is so deceptive with this example is the fact that the National Party in New Zealand, similar to the Republican Party in the United States, is not generally seen to be a “socialist” one like the Labor or Democrat parties – but it is.

There is an old saying, “**Judge a man by his actions, not by what he says.**” Jenny Shipley’s words may support old Tory ideals and ‘private enterprise,’ but her actions prove she is a Communist.
Parliamentarians for Global Action (PGA)

A similar, but more influential, globalist socialist organization linked to the Club of Madrid is a group called Parliamentarians for Global Action (PGA). It was founded in about 1978 as Parliamentarians for World Order but because of criticism, that name was dropped and replaced with the current one. The main office is based in New York City, close to the United Nations with which it works, while the seat of the PGA Foundation is in The Hague, close to the International Court of Justice, the Hague Academy of International Law and the International Criminal Court with which it works as well.

Today there are over 1000 MPs who are members from about 120 elected parliaments around the globe. The current President of Parliamentarians for Global Action is New Zealand Labor MP for Manukau East, Ross Robertson. The current New Zealand Green Party MP, Dr Kennedy Graham, was Secretary-General of Parliamentarians for Global Action in New York 1989-1994.

Fruit of Socialism: Death and Destruction

The three most well known leading Socialist dictators who have attempted to become Plato’s “philosopher king” or “god-man” in modern times are: Nazi Leader Adolph Hitler, Marxist/Communist leader Joseph Stalin, and Chinese leader Mao Zedong. All were mass murderers. There are many others, but these were the worst. Will man ever learn?

Nelson Mandela

Another Communist leader who is worshipped as a type of Plato’s ‘philosopher king’ and ‘father Zeus’ of his people, yet not in the West recognized as such, is Nelson Mandela. In South Africa, Mandela is known as Madiba, his Xhosa clan name, or as tata which in Xhosa means ‘father.’

During his 1962 trial for terrorism Mandela said this: “We Communist Party members are the most advanced revolutionaries in modern history … the enemy must be wiped out from the face of the earth before a Communist world can be realized.” (The Wanderer, July 1, 1990, p.6)

For this Mandela has been showered with more than 250 awards over four decades, including the 1993 Nobel Peace Prize, Order of St. John by Queen Elizabeth II, and the Presidential Medal of Freedom from George W. Bush. And just to remind everyone he is a great Communist, a huge statue of him was unveiled by Fabian, Gordon Brown, in Parliament Square in London on 29 August 2007 while the United Nations now honors him every year on Mandela Day, 18 July, the date of his birthday. He has also set up the Mandela Rhodes Scholarship to further the secret plans of Cecil Rhodes to bring in a New World Order administered by the Mandela Rhodes Foundation which is a partnership between Nelson Mandela and the Rhodes Trust. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mandela_Rhodes_Scholarship](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mandela_Rhodes_Scholarship)

The Rhodes Trust at the University of Oxford was set up to create scholarships to indoctrinate leading university students from all around the world to work towards gaining positions of power in their respective countries to implement a socialist New World Order. Presently New Zealander, Dr John Hood is Chairman, and another New Zealander, Professor Ngaire Woods, is a trustee, while she is also the Director of the Global Economic Governance Program. There are
Rhodes alumni associations all around the world now including, America, Australia, Canada, Germany, Jamaica, New Zealand and Africa. J. William Fulbright, a Rhodes Scholar, set up the American Fulbright's Program as the American branch of the Rhodes scholarship Program. The Rt. Hon Winston Peters is Chairman of the Fulbright New Zealand Board. A list of notable Rhodes scholars is: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Rhodes_Scholars](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Rhodes_Scholars)

**Hitler (1889-1945)**

The German national socialist Nazi leader Adolph Hitler by most estimates deliberately killed about 12 million people, including victims in concentration camps, civilians and 3 million Russian POWs left to die. He murdered huge numbers of his own people, even many of his supporters who first brought him to power. Like the Greek pagan philosopher Socrates, the mentor of Plato, who drank the hemlock, and committed suicide – so did Hitler.

Like the “iron cube altar of Zeus” in the UN Meditation Room, the greatest Nazi decoration was the Iron Cross. Hitler believed that the future of his New World Order Third Reich rested with children. To Hitler Youth he defiantly said, “The weak must be chiseled away. I want young men and women who can suffer pain. A young German must be as swift as a greyhound, as tough as leather, and as hard as Krupp's steel.” He didn’t have a heart for the virtues of love and compassion for his fellow man - only lies and deceit – brute force of “iron and steel.”

In World War II alone, he was directly or indirectly responsible for the deaths of over 60 million people. Over 2.5 per cent of the total world’s population at the time was wiped out – by the socialism of this single man. And what was the Nazi party's chief symbol? – the **SS-Runen** – “lightning bolt” of Zeus! (The Nazi swastika symbol is made up of two **SS-Runen** placed together). [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nazi_symbolism](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nazi_symbolism)

**Lenin (1870-1924)**

Born Vladimir Ilyich Ulyanov on April 22, 1870, he took the pseudonym of ‘Lenin’ in 1901 following his Siberian exile for attempting to publish an illegal newspaper entitled, *The workers Cause*. He chose his name after the Lena River.

Educated as a lawyer, he became the Marxist leader of the Bolshevik faction of the Russian Social Democratic Labor Party, and took a senior role in orchestrating the October Revolution in 1917. This led to the overthrow of the Russian Provisional Government and the establishment of the Russian Socialist Federative Soviet Republic, the world’s first constitutional socialist state. He was Chairman of the Council of People’s Commissars of the Soviet Union (Premier of the Soviet Union) from 30 December 1922 – 21 January 1924 and Chairman of the Council of People’s Commissars of the Russian SFSR from 8 November 1917 – 21 January 1924 (the day of his death).

Immediately following the Revolution, Lenin quickly proceeded to implement his Marxist socialist reforms, including the transfer of estates and crown lands to workers’ soviets.

While it is true the scale of atrocities undertaken by Stalin were much bigger in comparison to Lenin’s, it was Lenin who set the trend. It was Lenin who gave birth to the concentration camps,
declared the attack against the intelligentsia and clergy and laid the groundwork for the rise of the Soviet totalitarian socialist state. Marx hated private accomplishment and excellence, be it exhibited through independent intellectual thought or achievement, or the creation of wealth by farmers for themselves or anybody else. Although he was one of their circle himself, Lenin paradoxically, deeply despised the Russian intelligentsia. In 1922, he launched a vicious campaign to deport prominent scientists and public figures. In eight years (1917-1925) nearly two million people fled the country and the majority of them never came back.

On 20 December 1917 Lenin established “The Whole-Russian Extraordinary Commission for Combating Counter-Revolution and Sabotage”, the secret service, called the Cheka (Chrezvychaynaya Komissiya – ‘Extraordinary Commission’). From this point the Cheka were given power to censor all the media and control all newspapers that were critical of the régime. Many newspapers were closed, until Pravda (Truth) and Izvestia (The News) were established to give the Soviet government complete monopoly of all news, and control of propaganda and disinformation. Today Pravda and Izvestia are still operating as Communist newspapers. Pravda was officially founded on 5 May, 1912, the anniversary of Karl Marx’s birthday. It is still owned by the Communist Party of the Russian Federation which still controls much of the media and propaganda in Russia, and Boris Komotsky, the editor, has a huge picture of Lenin on the wall behind his office desk. On 19 December 1918, a year after its creation, a resolution was adopted at Lenin’s request that forbade the Bolshevik’s own press from publishing any ‘defamatory articles’ about the Cheka. As Lenin put it, “A Good Communist is also a good Chekist.”

On 17 July 1918, Lenin had the Russian Imperial Royal family shot. In response to Fanya Kaplan’s failed assassination attempt of Lenin on 30 August, 1918, and the successful assassination of the Petrograd Cheka chief Moisei Uritsky, Stalin proposed to Lenin “open and systemic mass terror against those responsible.” Following this Lenin instructed Felix Dzerzhinsky to commence the Red Terror, announced this plan in the 1 September 1918 issue of the Krasnaya Gazeta (Red Gazette).

Following this publication, execution lists were signed by Lenin which authorized the shooting of 25 Tsarist ministers, civil servants, and 765 White Guards in September 1918. By May 1919 there were some 16,000 enemies of the people in the Tsarist katorga labor camps and by September 1921 the number of prisoners had risen to 70,000. In 1921, Lenin expanded the Cheka’s discretionary death-penalty powers. Estimates for the total number of people brutally killed in the Red Terror under Lenin range from 50,000 to over a million. Soviet Russia under Lenin was the first country in the world that legalized homosexuality and abortion.

The great indictment against Lenin’s Marxist success in helping build the Soviet Union in promoting his reign of terror with the deliberate policy to destroy free thought and speech to create ignorance in the working classes and general masses – is revealed in his death – where over 100 million have lined up day after day for nearly 90 years to respect his mummified body in his tomb in Red Square. But more than any other, he laid the groundwork for an even bigger socialist monster – Stalin.
Stalin (1879-1953)

When Joseph Stalin was born in Gori in the Caucasus, a Russian colony, few could have ever suspected he would rise to become one of the twentieth century’s most ruthless socialist dictators, or mass murderers in history. His birth name was Josef Vissarionovich Dzhugashvili. After being indoctrinated by the writings of Karl Marx, in 1912 he changed his name to “Stalin,” from the Russian word ‘stal,’ meaning “steel.”

R. J. Rummel, Professor Emeritus at the University of Hawaii, who has studied the subject comprehensively in depth, claims the total number of deaths attributable to Stalin by most sources at about 20 million is a gross understatement, and is far below the true figure. He wrote a book, Legal Politics, and in it calculates that the Soviet Communist regime, 1917-1987, murdered about 62 million people, around 55 million of them citizens. As for Stalin, Rummel calculates that he murdered about 43 million citizens and foreigners. To put these figures in context, Rummel explains that the annual risk of a person under Soviet rule being murdered by the regime was 1 out of 222. At the same time the annual risk of anyone in the world dying from war was 1 out of 5,556. Ref. Google: “How Many Did Stalin Really Murder?”

http://www.distributedrepublic.net/archives/2006/05/01/how-many-did-stalin-really-...

Marxism is demonic. Nobody killed more of his friends than did Stalin. Those that helped bring him to power in the 1920s, like the mild-mannered economist Bukharin, were ruthlessly discarded and mercilessly shot. All of the surviving members of the Lenin-era, except Stalin and Trotsky, were tried. By the end of the final trial Stalin had arrested and executed almost every important living Bolshevik from the Revolution. Of 1,966 delegates to the party congress in 1934, 1108 were arrested. Of 139 members of the Central Committee, 98 were arrested. Three out of five Soviet marshals, and several thousand of the Red Army officers were arrested or shot. Even Leon Trotsky, who was living in exile abroad, did not escape. Stalin had him brutally assassinated by a Soviet agent in Mexico in 1940.

Socialism hates independence, but loves dependence and interdependence. Traditionally this is why the “independent” middle class farmers have been among the biggest targets. In the Ukraine these farmers were called kulaks. In the process of collectivization, Stalin had 30,000 kulaks executed directly, mostly shot on the spot. About 2 million were forcibly deported to the Far North and Siberia. They were called “enemies of the people.” The destruction of the kulak farmers triggered the Ukraine famine, during which another 3 to 5 million peasants died of starvation. Stalin was an evil beast, a monster. The suffering he caused is almost unimaginable.

Yet he is still respected today in Russia as one of the greatest leaders in Russian history. Time magazine put Stalin on its cover 11 times.

There is a reason for Russian obliviousness. Every family had not only victims but perpetrators. Stanford historian Norman Naimark states, “A vast network of state organizations had to be mobilized to seize and kill that many people. Tens of thousands were accomplices.” He continues, “How much can you move on? Can you put it in your past? How is a national identity
formed when a central part of it is crime? ...Without a full examination of the past, it’s too easy for it to happen again.”

Like Hitler’s holocaust, in 1947 Stalin launched a vicious anti-Semitic campaign. Just prior to his death in 1953 he was secretly planning to brutally exterminate all of the Jews from the Soviet Union.


Statues of Stalin look just the same as the statue of Zeus in the UN – with his right arm raised, in his hand holding an invisible thunderbolt. Stalin said,


**Mao Zedong (1893-1976)**

Mao Zedong (commonly referred to as “Chairman Mao”) was the Marxist founding father of the People’s Republic of China from its establishment in 1949. He governed the country as Chairman of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China from 1943 to 1969. Much worse than both Hitler and Stalin, Mao Zedong was without a doubt the greatest mass murderer of the 20th century.

According to the authoritative *Black Book of Communism*, an estimated 65 million Chinese died as the result of Mao’s repeated, merciless attempts to create a new “socialist” China. Anyone who got in his way was done away with – by merciless torture, execution, imprisonment or forced famine.

For Mao, the No.1 enemy was the intellectual, from professors to school teachers. The so-called Great Helmsman reveled in his blood-letting, boasting, “What’s so unusual about Emperor Shih Huang of the China Dynasty? He had buried alive 460 scholars only, but we have buried alive 46,000 scholars.” Mao was referring to a major “accomplishment” of the Great Cultural Revolution, which from 1966-1976 transformed China into a great House of Fear.

Like Stalin, Mao hated independent, middle class “rich” farmers. The most inhumane example of Mao’s contempt for human life came when he ordered the collectivization of China’s agriculture under the ironic slogan, the “Great Leap Forward.” A deadly combination of lies about grain production, disastrous farming methods and misdistribution of food produced the worst famine in human history. Deaths from hunger reached more than 50 per cent in some Chinese villages. The total number of dead from 1959 to 1961 was between 30 million and 40 million – the population of California.

Mao rounded up all his perceived enemies, expanding the *laogai*, a system of 1000 forced labor camps throughout China. Over 50 million Chinese passed through this Chinese version of the
Soviet gulag. Another 20 million died as the result of primitive living conditions and 14-hour work days.

Like all socialist leaders who outwardly claim to represent ordinary working class citizens, but don’t. He cared little for peasants and during the worst famine ever, actually suggesting they eat leaves while he sold their produce abroad to partly give the impression that China was thriving.

http://www.heritage.org/research/commentary/2010/02/the-legacy-of-mao-zedong-is-...

And yet, ironically, Mao Zedong still remains today, 36 years after his death, the most honored figure in the Chinese Communist Party.

At one end of historic Tiananmen Square is Mao’s mausoleum, visited daily by large, respectful, worshipful crowds. At the other end of the square is a giant portrait of Mao above the entrance to the Forbidden City, the favorite site of visitors, Chinese and foreign. While to their credit some Chinese people have identified him for the mass murderer and thug he was, most of the Chinese mindless masses still haven’t. He is still worshipped by millions as a god and father in China – just like the ancient Greeks revered father Zeus, and modern day socialists revere Zeus at the UN Headquarters.

As late as 2009, an enormous new statue of Mao, over 100 feet tall, was under construction in Changsha, Hunan province, China.

In fact, statues of Mao are still standing all around China. They usually look just the same as many of those of Stalin, holding his right arm upwards in the air, just the same as the giant statue of Zeus in the Main Lobby of the UN Headquarters.

Yet still, today, in the spirit of Mao, China’s present rulers continue to oppress intellectuals and other dissidents at an alarming rate, such as human rights activist Liu Xiaobo – while the Western nations turn a blind eye to the problem – trade with China, and allow Chinese companies to buy up their privatized state assets, as if there will be no retribution in the future.

Communist European Union

Currently, of the founding five veto-wielding Permanent Members of the UN Security Council, Russia and France are both Socialist, China is Communist, Britain and the United States both are Fabian Socialists or Fascist. Yet most people throughout the Western world think everything is fine and the United Nations is an admirable, “peace-loving” organization. It is not. It is Socialist.

The European Union is now totally socialist controlled from the Fabian Society in London. German-born Gisala Stuart, the Labour MP for Birmingham Edgbaston since 1997, and member of the House of Commons Foreign Affairs Select Committee, was one of the two House of Commons’ Representatives on the European Convention and a member of the Presidium of the Convention on the Future of Europe. The Presidium was the drafting body that created the draft Constitution for Europe. In her revealing book, The Making of Europe’s Constitution, published in December 2003 by the Fabian Society, pp.20-21, Gisela writes:
“In the early months, the Presidium members would meet in a small room in the Justus Lipsius Building some fifteen minute walk from the European Parliament. Attendance was limited to the thirteen members, the Secretary General Sir John Kerr, his deputy and the press officer. Sir John Kerr, a former Permanent Secretary of the British Foreign Office, conducted the proceedings inside the Presidium and in the plenary sessions of the Convention with deft diplomatic skill as might be expected from someone who John Major called ‘Machiavelli’ in his autobiography...

On several occasions, we would retreat to the Val Duchess – a small palace used by the Belgian foreign minister. It was at one of the dinners at Val Duchess that the skeleton of the draft constitution was given to members of the presidium in sealed brown envelops the weekend before the public presentation. We were not allowed to take the documents away with us. Just precisely who drafted the skeleton, and when, is still unclear to me, but I gather much of the work was done by Valery Giscard d’Estaing and Sir John Kerr over the summer. There was little time for informed discussion, and even less scope for changes to be made.”

Just as Britain controls events in the EU, so it controls the United Nations Organization through the Commonwealth Office and Secretariat through its 54-nation voting block the Commonwealth of Nations.

It is difficult to understand how such relatively free and open parliamentary democracies, like those in Commonwealth countries, or republics like the United States can so quickly convert to police state dictatorships. But this is what is gradually happening.

The two main forms of Socialism

There are basically two major forms of Socialism. The first is Marxism and Communism. These gain power through open “revolution” and brutal force. They openly walk in, knock your front door down, take your house, shoot the farmers, then they confiscate anything of any value and have everything all largely owned by the State.

The second, however, Fascism and Fabian Socialism, is very much more deceptive and cunning. These forms gain power through “gradualism,” mind-control and consensus. They only gradually take over the media and infiltrate every organ of government. They gradually drive small business out of business, drive small farmers off their farms, corporatize agriculture, and “privatize” everything to a handful of giant multinational companies and banks. Then these multinational companies and banks are controlled by the state. This is what is happening now.

Presently, all the world’s major companies and banks either directly or indirectly are controlled by the Twelve Great Livery Companies in Guildhall, City of London Corporation. http://www.london-footprints.co.uk/artliverycos.htm It is inevitable, if the global population doesn’t wake up, in the future they will be directed and controlled by a United Nations Communist Soviet government. The ways of getting there are different, but the goals are the same – a global socialist dictatorship and police state – in the end – death and destruction.
Fabian Socialism is by far the most insidious of all forms of socialism because, as it is a mixture of all types, and grows so slowly, it is almost imperceptible to identify in its early stages. Few there are who see what is coming before it’s too late.

The two great lies of socialism: “peace and democracy”

Just two great lies repeated over and over again are used by Socialists to deceive everybody to bring them to power – “PEACE” and “DEMOCRACY.” These two words literally saturate all the writings of modern socialists whether found in the works of Marx, Engels, Lenin, Labor parties, globalist organizations or the UN. But history proves, once in full power, the first thing all socialist dictators do is the very opposite of what they have outwardly previously claimed, as they usually start by ruthlessly murdering all of the opposition.

The most fertile breeding ground and growing environment for socialism is extreme capitalism during a rising inflationary depression – where the people at the top, bankers, company executives, legal profession, judiciary, senior public servants, political leaders, continue to pay themselves huge incomes, while at the other extreme – most ordinary working class citizens are either thrown out of work, or struggling on low wages with rapidly rising prices on meager family incomes. While Fabian Socialism prefers “gradualism,” when the conditions are right, it can accelerate at an extremely fast pace, and this is what is beginning to happen now – with its epicenter in London.

Marx often said, “The last capitalist we hang shall be the one who sold us the rope.” Just as it was the inflation during the 1920s and Great Depression from 1929 that brought the Nazis and Adolph Hitler to power – so it will be again if ever a global socialist dictator comes to power.

Altar of Zeus (Pergamon Altar)

One of the greatest pagan monuments in Asia Minor before and during the time of Christ was the Temple of Zeus, located 800 feet up a mountain on one of the terraces of the acropolis at Pergamon in Turkey. It was built in the second century BC, and included the giant Altar of Zeus. Saint John in the book of Revelation in the Bible refers to this altar as “Satan’s seat” (Revelation 2:12-13 KJV).

The Altar of Zeus was a huge landmark, measuring 35.64 meters wide and 33.4 meters deep, and was a stunning spectacle which could be seen from many miles away, similar to the acropolis in Athens. In the first century BC, Pergamos was a thriving city, but after countless wars and natural disasters, the temples of Pergamos lay in ruins. By the mid-19th century, the once great city was desolate and was barely a memory. In 1878 the German engineer and archaeologist Carl Humann began official excavations which lasted to 1886. Stone by stone, the altar was excavated and taken to its own museum in Berlin in 1901 which was replaced by a bigger one in 1930, now known as the Pergamon Museum named after the Altar. Interestingly, the Ishtar Gate and Processional Way from the pagan sanctuary in ancient Babylon are also now residing in the museum, but the two giant, man-headed, horned, lion/bull statues that represented the Babylonian sun-god, Marduk, (Greek – Zeus) that protected the Ishtar Gate
and entrance to the Babylonian Temple appropriately now reside in the British Museum in London.

The Altar of Zeus largely gave Hitler his evil inspiration to murder his opponents and the Jews. Hitler’s architect, Albert Speer, used the Pergamon Altar as his model to create the colossal grandstand at the Nazi rally grounds in Nuremberg. It became known as the Zeppelintribüne. Albert Speer built Hitler’s podium in the middle of the grandstand modeled on the Altar of Zeus, exactly where the bronze statue of Zeus (in the form of a man or bull) once stood. It is from this very spot that Hitler mesmerized the crowds. From 1933 to 1938 literally hundreds of thousands of people gathered at the Zeppelin field in Nuremberg every September for the Reichsparteitag, or Nazi Party Congress, to worship their socialist god-like figure, the incarnation of Zeus, the father and savior of the German people.

It was on this very spot, on the evening of September 15, 1935, that Hitler announced the Nuremberg Laws, to begin the marginalization process of the Jewish people, which led to the Holocaust. Like all socialists, Hitler was very cunning. He did not say, “I am going to put Jewish people and others we don’t like into gas chambers and incinerate them.” What he did first was to GRADUALLY MARGINALIZE them. However, later, it was also from this same spot that Hitler first openly used the phrase “Final Solution” in public, which is now more often than not called the Holocaust ‘burnt offering.’ In AD92, just four years before Saint John wrote the book of Revelation, the faithful Christian martyr, Antipas, was slain on this very Altar of Zeus in Pergamos (Revelation 2:13).

At the end of WW2, in 1948, under Stalin the Soviets took the Pergamon Altar from the Pergamon Museum to Leningrad, but it was later returned in 1958. After the death of Lenin in 1924, a granite mausoleum modeled on the Pergamon Altar was completed in 1930 for his embalmed body, now called Lenin’s Mausoleum or Lenin’s Tomb. It is situated in Red Square in the very center of Moscow.

In late December 2012, with the support of President Vladimir Putin, since the tomb had not seen any major work for 80 years or so, the Kremlin announced major repairs would be done to the mausoleum and preservation of Lenin’s body. Still thousands and thousands of people wait in lines to visit the tomb every year. Officially more than 10 million people visited Lenin’s tomb between 1924 and 1972. Stalin’s embalmed body shared a place next to Lenin’s, from the time of his death in 1953 until October 31, 1961, when Stalin’s was removed as part of de-Stalinization and then buried in the Kremlin Wall Necropolis outside the walls of the Kremlin.

**Zeus and Ceres**

The two main Greek/Roman deities that represent the spiritual inspiration of the United Nations are Zeus and Ceres.

While Zeus (Roman equivalent – Jupiter) is the main pagan deity of the religion of socialism domiciled in the United Nations, his special consort is the Roman Mother-earth ‘cereal’ goddess, Ceres (Greek name – Demeter). As the old Roman goddess of agriculture, she is now
To symbolize the fact that she now heads the FAO organization, the FAO’s chief award is the *Ceres Medal*. Helen Clark, the former New Zealand PM, received hers on December 16, 2003. While these people often may claim they are either ‘agnostics’ or ‘atheists’ and have no religion at all. The irrefutable fact is they are extremely religious people who worship both Greek and Roman gods.

In ancient Rome, Ceres was worshipped on April 19th every year during a special feast called the Cerialia. At the conclusion of this feast, there was a special sacrifice where a pregnant cow or pig was sacrificed, and then with its young, burned whole. This sacrifice was called the **HOLOCAUST** or ‘burnt offering.’

During the Second World War, Adolph Hitler changed the normal German celebration day of Socialism on May 1st (May Day – named after the pagan Greek Mother-earth goddess Maia) to April 19th the Roman Cerialia, named after the Roman equivalent Ceres. It was precisely at 9 a.m. in the morning of Jewish Passover, April 19th, 1943, the exact time that the Cerialia would have been sacrificed in ancient Rome that he sent his Nazi executioners in to burn the Jewish Warsaw ghetto to the ground. Using tanks with flame-throwers, “a whole burnt offering of a pregnant cow,” they proceeded forth to burn men, women and children – a Holocaust sacrifice to Ceres.

More recently, again, at 9 a.m. in the morning of April 19th, 1993, exactly fifty years to the day, the US Government burned the Waco, Texas, Branch Davidian Complex to the ground, using tanks with flame-throwers, burning men, women and children. This time, not by an evil, Nazi leader, but directly ordered by the President and Attorney General of the United States. That very evening, President Clinton had the effrontery to open the Holocaust Memorial Museum in Washington DC.

Again, even more recently, at precisely 9 a.m. in the morning of April 19th, 1995, the Federal Building in Oklahoma City exploded and crashed to the ground killing many people. America’s Kids Day Care Center was located in one of the lower floors. The President claimed “The bombing was an attack by false patriots on innocent children.”

Will the United States now go on to re-enact Nero’s burning of Rome, or Hitler’s burning of the old Nazi Reichstag Building? Are these Cerialia sacrifices a symptom of a much deeper pervading evil in the US Government administration today? Is the fascist United States of America government dominating the rest of the world, now beginning to follow the socialist policies of the Nazi leader?

In 2002, a giant square in Moscow was redeveloped and renamed the *Square of Europe*. It includes 48 columns flying the flags of European countries, a building of glass, and a fountain surrounding a huge, shining stainless steel, abstract sculpture called *The Abduction of Europe*. There is another similar statue to this outside the EU building in Brussels. Sometimes it is called *A Woman riding the Beast or The Rape of Europa*. 

http://www.unmultimedia.org/s/photo/detail/123/0123245.html
In this myth of *The Abduction of Europa*, in Greek mythology, Zeus is transformed into a shining white bull. While the beautiful goddess, Europa, was quietly gathering flowers one day she saw the white bull. She was so enamored by him she caressed his flanks, and eventually got onto his back. He then decided to seduce her, and ran into the sea and raped her. He then revealed his true identity, elevated her to become the Queen of Heaven, the first queen of Crete, while he himself became the head of the Greek Pantheon and with his powerful thunderbolt, cruelly ruled over the world.

Is not Zeus, now based at the United Nations headquarters, reincarnated through the myth of *The Abduction of Europa* in the very centre of Moscow, through socialism, now doing this to the whole world?

**Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR)**

Most people in the West do not understand what the term “Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR)” really means. In Russian, the word “soviet” simply means ‘council’ and the word “sovietnik” means ‘councilor.’

“Soviet Communism” is simply “government through a union of local, district, cantonal, county and regional councils (soviet).”

Now we are getting closer to the real truth! Why councils all around the world are being merged into “supra-councils,” countries are being “regionalized,” and why more and more central government activities are being either privatized or transferred to local government councils!

During the Russian Revolution of 1917, the main slogan of the Bolsheviks was “All power to the soviets.” At first these soviets (“councils”) were called Councils of Workmen’s and Soldiers’ Deputies. With village and factory soviets (councils) as a base, there arose a vast pyramid of district, cantonal, county and regional soviets, each with its executive soviet. Over and above these stood the All Russian Soviet Congress, which appointed an All-Russian Central Executive Committee of not more than 200 members, which in turn chose the Soviet of People’s Commissaries – the Ministry. Beginning with a minimum of three and a maximum of 50 members for smaller communities, the maximum for town soviets was fixed at a 1,000 members. The Soviet system was seen as an alternative to parliamentary systems for administering republican governments.

The Congress of Soviets was the nominal core of the Union government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) officially formed in December 1922.

Later, in the USSR, local governmental bodies were named “soviet” (soviet: ‘council’) with the adjective indicating of the administrative level, customarily abbreviated: gorsovet (gorodskoy sovet: city council), raysovet/raisovet (rayonny sovet: raion council), selovet (sel’sky sovet: rural council), possovet (poselkovy sovet: settlement council).
It was this socialist system, based on “gradually” granting more and more power to local government “soviets” (councils) that led up to the creation of the Supreme Soviet of the Soviet Union established in 1948. In theory, it represented local people through local soviets (councils).

In practice, however, it was far from the case – as Lenin had described soviet rule as “nothing else than the organized form of the dictatorship of the proletariat.” Lenin actually created a code of rules governing “soviet elections” naming those who were disqualified to vote:

- “Those who employ others for profit, those who live on incomes not derived from their own work – interest on capital, industrial enterprises or landed property; private business men, agents, middlemen; monks and priests of all denominations; ex-employees of the old police services and members of the Romanov dynasty; lunatics and criminals.”

The USSR was disbanded in 1991 following the radical reforms implemented by Boris Yeltsin, and Mikhail Gorbachev, the last Chairman/President of the Presidium of the Supreme Soviet of the USSR. Following the fall of the Soviet Union, the Commonwealth of Independent States was established on 21 December 1991, made up of most of the former Soviet republics. This new organization is modeled on the powerful, “voluntary” association of 54-nation member British-controlled Commonwealth of Nations. Shortly afterwards, the Government of the Russian Federation was established in 1993 to rule Russia which still remains today based at the Russian White House, Moscow.

The Commonwealth of Independent States was founded in 1991 with a total of 11 former Soviet countries participating and by 1993 this had increased to 15. But since that time some states experienced a change in government that have withdrawn. Currently there are 9 member states including Russia.

**Eurasian Union**

In October 2011, the Prime Minister of Russia, Vladimir Putin, announced a new concept first proposed in 1994 by President of Kazakhstan, Nursultan Nazarbayev at Moscow University, to effectively supersede the Commonwealth of Independent States by establishing the Eurasian Union. On 18 November 2011, the Presidents of Belarus, Kazakhstan and Russia signed an agreement, setting a target of establishing the Eurasian Union by 2015. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eurasian_Union](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eurasian_Union)

Now the brainchild of Vladimir Putin, if realized, the new Eurasian Union, modeled on the “Communist” European Union, would comprise states which were part of the former Soviet Union including: Russia, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan. Later it would be expanded to include: Finland, Hungary, the Czech Republic, Bulgaria, People’s Republic of China and Mongolia. This would incorporate them into a MASSIVE common socialistic state body headed by Russia, and in Vladimir Putin’s words, build upon the “best values of the Soviet Union.” Already the Customs Union of Belarus, Kazakhstan and Russia has brought partial economic unity between the states, and a Collective Security Treaty Organization has been
established to restore a Russian-dominated union. On 1 January 2012, a further agreement was signed between Russia, Kazakhstan and Belarus establishing the Eurasian Economic Commission, the supranational governing body of the Eurasian Economic Space, in preparation to expand the Eurasian Union.

In December 2012, while attending the Organization for security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) conference in Dublin, US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton commented on Vladimir Putin’s Russian proposals for creating a Eurasian Union comprising Russia and other former Soviet republics by bluntly describing the plan as a “move to re-Sovietize the region.” She further went on to say that the United States is “trying to figure out effective ways to slow down or prevent it.” [http://www.worldpoliticsreview.com/articles/print/12569](http://www.worldpoliticsreview.com/articles/print/12569)

**Socialist Regionalization of the World**

All of the countries in the world are being “regionalized.” First, all countries are being gradually united together into the “10 economic blocks” or “regional groups” of countries following the Club of Rome’s plan, “Regionalized and Adaptive model of the Global World System” which was published on 17 September 1973. Founded in 1968, the Club of Rome was sponsored by the Rockefeller and Ford foundations. This plan proposed to unite the entire world under 10 major economic regions or continental unions similar to the European Union to form a single world government under a reformed UN. The regions proposed are: North America, Western Europe, Eastern Europe, Japan, Rest of Developed World, Latin America, Middle East, Rest of Africa, South and Southeast Asia and China. The same plan was published in a Club of Rome book, *Mankind at the Turning Point*. Presently there are three intergovernmental continental unions operating, the European Union, African Union and Union of South American Nations. Other proposed continental unions are: Asia Cooperation Dialogue, ASEAN, Central American Integration System, Central Asian Union, Eurasian Union, North American Union, Pacific Union, Organization of Eastern Caribbean States, Cooperation Council for the Arab States of the Gulf, Arab Union and Community of Latin American and Caribbean States. Most of these proposed unions are in the process of being formed, and if this is ever completed, will effectively abolish all independent, sovereign nation states of the world ready to become part of the World Soviet.

Second, within all these countries themselves, governments are frantically being pressured to gradually divest themselves of their normal responsibilities and transfer these to local government “super regional councils” (soviets) ready to close down and abolish the national central government. This process includes the merger and amalgamation of all state departments, such as police, hospitals, welfare agencies, schools etc. that more and more will be turned into self-funding businesses that charge for their services. It involves closing down smaller schools, hospitals, police stations and replacing them with much bigger “soviet” unitary structures of governance. This also includes the “privatization” of all each county’s strategic national state assets to multinational banks and corporations. This cunning strategy, which is being gradually implemented to destroy the power of the central national government in each country, is commonly referred to as “Devolution” by the Queen and Fabian Society. In truth it is the sovietization of the entire country.
Already, the EU **Committee of the Regions**, established in 1994, based in Brussels, is “regionalizing” every country in the European Union. As the result, England for all practical purposes has been gradually abolished, as the 48 counties have been divided up into nine separate regions of the EU, plus Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland.  
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Committee_of_the_Regions

The whole structure is supposed to be the EU’s assembly of local and regional representatives that provides sub-national authorities with a direct voice within the EU’s institutional framework. But it is based on the deceptive old Communist USSR soviet system of government. While giving the illusion that local regional councils (soviets) or local “parliamentary assemblies” have representation in the European Parliament, the local communities have become virtually powerless. This is because the European Parliament is only a “talking shop,” as the City of London Corporation now rules it, as a separate region (soviet) by itself within the Union. This is primarily because all of the commissioners are appointed (not elected) to the European Commission by City of London-controlled business leaders and bankers in their respective countries. It is the European Commission that controls the EU – not the EU Parliament!

**Sovietization of The Bahamas**

Another good example of the “sovietization” of a western country presently is The Bahamas. The Commonwealth of The Bahamas is a British ‘Commonwealth of Nations’ member country consisting of more than 3,000 islands in the Atlantic Ocean southeast of the US state of Florida. It has the third highest GDP per capita in the Americas, following the United States and Canada, and it is one of a number of global tax havens for giant Anglo/American-controlled international banks.

For a complete list of the current 117 international banks using the Bahamas as a tax haven see;  
http://www.offshore-library-com/banking/bahamas/page_2

Many of these biggest multinational banks are also the same banks that own the US Federal Reserve, and hold the foreign debt of all countries, including The Bahamas itself.

There are just three main strategic changes that are first being made to any country before it is transformed into a full Communist state. First, the country is deliberately plunged into debt. Second, the country’s local government is regionalized into super-regional councils (soviets) and the major responsibilities of central government are gradually transferred to these, ready to close the central government down. Third, using the excuse of the country’s excessive indebtedness and inability to pay its way, severe austerity measures are rapidly introduced levying extortionate property taxes on private property owners with the goal to have everything owned by the state, or privatized to a handful of giant foreign banks and corporations that can be controlled by a world government state.

Although a British ‘Commonwealth of Nations’ country with a parliamentary democracy, presently The Bahamas national debt is spiraling out of control like most countries’, and is
expected to exceed $5 billion by mid-2013 in a country of only 354,000 people. Some call it increasingly a ‘horror show’ now that the Prime Minister Perry Christie has unveiled a projected $550 million GFS deficit for the 2012-2013 fiscal year. Together with the $504 million deficit for the 2011-2012 fiscal year this means that the Government has had to borrow more than $1 billion in just two years to cover both its recurrent and capital deficits. To supposedly rectify this serious situation that has deliberately been created by the Government in collusion with the bankers, the Government is now increasing Real Property Taxes on the middle class from between 200-500 per cent. The aim of course is to confiscate their property altogether and then introduce a full-blown Communist state ultimately ruled by the UN.

The “Sovietization” of The Bahamas began in 1996 when the Bahamian Parliament passed the ‘Local Government Act’ to facilitate the establishment of Family Island Administrators, Local Government Districts, Local District Councilors, and Local Town Committees for the separate island communities. The overall goal of the act was to allow the various elected leaders to govern and oversee the affairs of their respective district “soviets” without the interference of central government.

Today, in total, there are 38 districts now responsible for their own finances (soviets), with elections being held every five years. These districts are governed by 110 Councilors and 281 Town Committee members. If the Government succeeds in taxing the middle class into oblivion as it is attempting to do now, it will end up confiscating their properties altogether, and the 38 district “soviets” will later be given representation at the “Supreme Soviet” at the UN, which will then become the “World United Soviet Socialist Republic.”

**Sovietization of the United States**

Based on The Bahamas and the Soviet EU model, the United States of America is planned also to soon be abolished and divided up into 10 or 12 supra-regions under the North American Union. But there is a major stumbling block that has to be overcome first. The US Declaration of Independence, US Constitution and Bill of Rights have to be abolished before the transfer can take place.

**The United States of America now is the ONLY country left in the world that, theoretically at least, has any true form of democracy and “government by the people.”** America is, in a sense, ‘the last tough nut left to crack.’ Massive changes are soon to take place, starting with the collapse of the US dollar that will bring this transfer to quickly pass.

One of the most outstanding, perceptive, American authors and speakers on the subject warning about the current “sovietization” of the United States is Charlotte T. Iserbyt. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charlotte_Thomson_Iserbyt](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charlotte_Thomson_Iserbyt) Her following interview is excellent: [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ezTIYd5UFRY](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ezTIYd5UFRY) Another, perceptive American author on the subject was the late Joan Veon, much of whose excellent work can still be found on the internet.

**Declaration of Independence:** Commonly known as the Fourth of July, Independence Day is the “national day” of the United States. It is a federal holiday that each year commemorates the
adoption of the Declaration of Independence on July 4, 1776, declaring independence from the Kingdom of Great Britain. Most Americans celebrate the event with fireworks, parades, baseball games, barbecues, picnics, carnivals, concerts, family reunions, political speeches and ceremonies. On this great day, patriotic songs are sung across the country such as the Star-Spangled Banner, God Bless America, America the Beautiful, My Country, ‘Tis of Thee, This Land is Your Land, and Stars and Stripes Forever.

The Declaration of Independence gave Americans the right to be ruled by “We, the people,” and not by the hereditary monarchy and dictatorship from Britain that preceded it. In a large part the document was responsible for creating the prosperity and liberties Americans have today.

While most Americans now through “custom and tradition” celebrate the Independence Day holiday, most now have never even read the document itself and sadly have forgotten about its true meaning and WARNINGS. The document is all about the “tyranny of the British King.” The following are a few extracts taken from the United States Declaration of Independence “Indictment:” [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_Declaration_of_Independence](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_Declaration_of_Independence)

- “Such has been the patient sufferance of these Colonies; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to alter their former Systems of Government. The history of the present King of Great Britain is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States. To prove this, let Facts be submitted to a candid world.
- He has refused his Assent to Laws, the most wholesome and necessary for the public good.
- He has forbidden his Governors to pass Laws of immediate and pressing importance, unless suspended in their operation till his Assent should be obtained; and when so suspended, he has utterly neglected to attend to them.
- He has refused to pass other Laws for the accommodation of large districts of people, unless those people would relinquish the right of Representation in the Legislature, a right inestimable to them and formidable to tyrants only.
- He has called together legislative bodies at places unusual, uncomfortable, and distant from the depository of their Public Records, for the sole purpose of fatiguing them into compliance with his measures.
- He has dissolved Representative Houses repeatedly, for opposing with manly firmness of his invasions on the rights of the people...
- He has obstructed the Administration of Justice by refusing his Assent to Laws for establishing Judiciary Powers.
- He has made Judges dependent on his Will alone for the tenure of their offices, and the amount and payment of their salaries.
- He has erected a multitude of New Offices, and sent hither swarms of Officers to harass our people and eat out their substance.
- He has kept among us, in times of peace, Standing Armies without the Consent of our legislatures.
- He has affected to render the Military independent of and superior to the Civil power...
For quartering large bodies of armed troops among us:

For protecting them, by a mock Trial from punishment for any Murders which they should commit on the Inhabitants of these States:

For cutting off our Trade with all parts of the world:

For imposing taxes on us without our Consent:

For depriving us in many cases, of the benefit of Trial by Jury:

For transporting us beyond Seas to be tried for pretended offences.”

If one reads the full text of the United States Declaration of Independence, the “tyranny” of the “King of Great Britain” referred to by the US founding fathers has now been replaced by the “tyranny” of the British Sovereign’s control of the United Nations and its associated global bodies, militarily – through NATO and UN Peacekeepers, through trade – the World Trade Organization, and through justice – the International Criminal Court in The Hague.

Second Amendment to the United States Constitution: Following the Declaration of Independence adopted on July 4 1776, the US Bill of Rights including the Second Amendment was adopted on December 15, 1791. The Second Amendment protects the right of the people to keep and bear arms, and to use that arm to deter tyrannical government, repel invasion, suppress insurrection, facilitate the natural right of self-defense, participate in law enforcement and enable the people to organize a militia system to repel tyrannical government (i.e., particularly that of the King of Great Britain mentioned in the Declaration of Independence).

The Second Amendment is not about keeping firearms for rabbit shooting or duck-hunting. It goes back to the English Bill of Rights 1689 which includes language protecting the right of Protestants against disarmament by the Crown, (especially Catholic) because many English Sovereigns previously had first confiscated firearms from the public before becoming tyrants.

It is the Declaration of Independence and Second Amendment to the US Constitution that British socialists/globalists hate the most because while American patriots are legally armed with firearms it makes the nation far more difficult to suppress and takeover – unlike the case in all other nations.

Burning of Washington DC: Movie Amerika (TV mini-series)

In the middle of February 1987, the most controversial British propaganda film ever broadcast in the United States was a $40 million, ABC-TV, 14½ hour mini-series called Amerika. It was beamed over seven nights between 9-11pm, on 15 February to 22 February to the naïve, gullible American public. This incredible film, though largely forgotten about now, enacted the long-held British Fabian Society’s Anglo/Soviet communist plot to destroy the United States of America, divide it up into 12 soviet-styled “administrative areas’ under a north American Union so that it reverts back to what it was before the Declaration of Independence – just another ‘leper colony’ of the Sovereign of Britain.

A map in the film actually shows what these administrative areas are going to be. As a symbolic gesture of the destruction of America, most of the members of Congress are brutally murdered
in the House of Representatives during a terrorist attack led by the Russians, after they refuse to disband their legislative body before the US Capitol building is burned.

The film forecast that this would happen during a national, and probably a global, state of emergency and period of financial and political chaos in which the US dollar specifically would be targeted by British bankers to become almost valueless – Americans in the film are seen actually burning their worthless currency in the streets in protest – while the whole nation was turned into a war zone, the stock market and financial system was deliberately crashed – the country was plagued by dire food shortages, fuel rationing, riots, electricity blackouts, lawlessness, mass unemployment and everything else that goes with it.

In the film, under the imposition of strict martial law, led by a specialist UN appointed Russian general and colonel, under directions from a Central Committee in Moscow (who want to nuke the Americans to teach them a lesson) – leading American political traitors prostitute themselves to these foreign, Russian socialist powers (who are really secret British agents) and assist them in destroying the country and setting up the new administration.

Two of the leading characters in the film are Colonel Andrei Denisov (played by Irish-born New Zealand actor Sam Neill) and Devin Milford (played by Kris Kristofferson). Sam Neill is a supporter of the New Zealand Labor Party and his great-great-grandfather actually helped the British to burn the US White House, Capitol, Treasury and other government buildings down on August 24, 1814, in the War of 1812.

Kris Kristofferson is a former Rhodes Scholar dedicated to bring in a New World Order. Donald Wrye, the executive producer, director, and sole writer of Amerika is a Fabian Socialist and Marxist.

Communist Wrye had such contempt for Americans, he actually had the audacity to name one of his leading characters in the film, Andrei Denisov (played by Sam Neill), after the same living Russian, Andrey I. Denisov, who graduated in 1974 from the Moscow State Institute of International Relations (MGIMO) founded in 1944 (the Russian sub-branch of the Royal Institute of International Affairs, now Chatham House in London). Sergy Krylov, one of the Communist founding fathers of the (MGIMO) was one of the leading authors of the UN Charter.

Andrey I. Denisov, by the way, was the Deputy Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Russian Federation from December 2001 to July 2004 and Russian Ambassador to the United Nations 2004-2006. If that is not bad enough, Mr. Denisov actually chaired the UN’s “Counter-Terrorism Committee” in 2004-2005 based in New York City and is now working closely with the Organization of American States (OAS) that is soon going to be used to destroy the United States, by merging Canada, USA and Mexico into a federation of states presently proposed to be called the North American Union.

Mr. Denisov is now also pushing for the implementation of the massive Eurasian Union and Eurasian Economic Community to be controlled from Moscow currently being promoted by Vladimir Putin. He spoke about it, with other topics, in his speech at the Opening of the 11th
http://www.mid.ru/brp_4.nsf/newsline0/3FEF48B1980462A544257AC400293E26

In the movie, a decade after its defeat, America is occupied by a United Nations peacekeeping force, the United Nations Special Service Unit (UNSSU), an allegory of Nazi Germany. UNSSU troops periodically engage in combined arms “training exercises” which are destructive in nature and intimidate the local population. All those Americans who engage in dissent are stripped of their privileges and forthwith sent to exile camps. Where they are ridiculed and mistreated by the Soviets and their mindless fellow citizens who have given in to the new system. Association with the exiles is strictly prohibited. Travel between districts is heavily restricted. Production quotas are imposed, foodstuffs rationed, with the surplus thought to be shipped to the Soviet Union.

The flag of the occupation is UN blue with crossed American and Soviet flags. The old flag is outlawed as is the old national anthem, ‘The Star-Spangled Banner.’ Lincoln Week replaces the national holiday on the Fourth of July with both Lincoln and Lenin displayed on red banners. A new Pledge of Allegiance is given to those prisoners released from the American-gulags which states, “I pledge my allegiance to the community of American, Soviet, and United Nations of the World, and to the principle for which it stands – a nation, indivisible with others of the Earth, joined in peace, and justice for all.”

Because Americans had become so apathetic, and had developed an unwillingness to defend their basic freedoms, after the relatively “bloodless takeover” by the Soviet Union, a Soviet official observes that their plans for conquering the United States has succeeded far beyond their wildest dreams. “It (the Soviet socialist takeover) worked because you lost your country before we ever got here,” says the Soviet leader. “You had political freedom, but you lost your passion … How could we not win?”

Finally, the movie ends with Devin Milford’s son demonstrating the full extent of Soviet indoctrination on the nation of New Amerika:

- “We are the voice of the new generation. We are the voice of the new people. The destructive ways of the past are gone. We will replace them with our vision for the future. The Party will lead us to the new age. There have been those who have tried to stop the new age. They are the corrupt reminder of the past. They have tried to confuse us with the idea that the old America was a good country. We know that lie. History teaches us that lie.
- We are grateful to our Soviet brothers who saved the world from destruction, and we can now join them in a world of socialist brotherhood. Everyone will go to school, everyone will have a job, everyone will be equal. No one will exploit or be exploited, and all those who oppose this wonderful vision will be crushed.”

At the time this movie showed, over 100 million Americans watched it. At the time, just prior to it being shown, ABC president John B. Sias said the movie had generated more controversy and viewer response than any other ABC program in history.
Do consider that this insidious plan was written into a movie produced over 25 years ago! Tragically now the sovietization of America is now almost complete, while most Americans are still soundly asleep and have largely forgotten about the movie or have become so apathetic, they still have no knowledge this has so brazenly happened in front of their eyes.

If a traveler visits Washington DC today he will come upon a large bronze statue near Massachusetts Avenue and 11th Street NW of the famous Irish statesman and philosopher Edmund Burke (1729-1797) who in 1770, is reputed to have said:

- *“The only thing necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do nothing.”*

In a sense, his warning was not only meant for Americans. It was meant for all of us in other countries too. But it does still have a special significance for all Americans because it was presented to the people of America in 1922 by Sir Charles Cheers Wakefield Baronet, formerly Lord Mayor of London.

Interestingly, in a sense, what is happening now on a global scale, but much more subtly, as outlined in the movie *Amerika*, is a type of “reenactment” of the War of 1812 between the forces of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland and those of the United States of America.

At the time, when British troops had been ordered to “destroy and lay waste,” set fire to all public buildings in Washington DC on August 24, 1814. Rear Admiral Sir George Cockburn reportedly actually stood on the House Speaker’s chair, and asked, “Shall this harbor of Yankee democracy be burned?” And it was. But the US is not the only country being “sovietized.”

Even New Zealand, as part of the Commonwealth of Nations, in the South Pacific provides another good example of a country being sovietized. What is happening is global.

**“Sovietization” of Local Government in New Zealand**

In New Zealand, the blatant UN “Sovietization” of the entire country was implemented by the Hon. Dr. Michael Bassett, Minister of Local Government, through the *Local Government Amendment Act (No.2)* in 1989. This radical act reduced more than 600 different local authorities throughout the country to just 94 entities: 13 regional councils, 74 district or city councils and seven special authorities. This new structure of local government deftly created by the act divided the whole country up into 14 “Soviet-styled” regions governed by 13 regional councils and a district council (with the Gisborne Region being unique in that its district council was also to become the regional council for that area).

Under the 1989 Act, the district and city councils were designed to continue to provide essential services such as water supply, roads, sewage disposal and rubbish collection (which would all ultimately be privatized or transferred into Public-Private-Partnerships (PPPs) which would finally end up being foreign-owned and controlled) – and the regional councils (soviet) would take over many of the responsibilities performed in the past by many special purpose authorities formerly carried out by such agencies as catchment boards, harbor boards, pest and noxious plant authorities, environmental agencies and so on.
Once these smaller councils and agencies are merged into bigger local government “super city” or “super council” (soviet) groups they will number about ten for the entire country. Of course, they will not be called “soviets,” but “Regional Development Agencies” or “Parliamentary Assemblies” or something similar to that. Once these are formed the country with its central government is ready to be abolished, turned into a republic, and then these local “soviets” are ready to represent themselves at the reformed UN General Assembly, that has become the United Nations “Supreme Soviet” – and then the whole world will be ruled by the new, “United Nations Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.”

Already the 193 member states of the United Nations are unofficially divided into United Nations Regional Groups called “Regional Organizations (ROs).” There are five regional groups: The African Group, with 54 member states; the Asia-Pacific Group, with 53 member states; the Eastern European Group with 23 member states; the Latin American Group and Caribbean Group (GRULAC), with 33 member states and the Western European and Others Group (WEOG), with 28 member states, plus 1 member state as observer.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/regional_organization

Only time will tell if this devious plan will ever be fully implemented, however it is moving fast. But it does help to know about it, and realize why it is so important to oppose any unreasonable demands of Socialist councils (soviets) in imposing excessive rate rises and taxes, even at a local level, because in the end, as night follows day, they are just the beginning of the rot and will lead to full property confiscation.

Even worse, they foreshadow a much greater pervading evil lurking in the background – the destructive philosophies of Marx with a full-blown global Soviet police state thrown into the bargain – culminating in yet another Holocaust.

Fabian Socialism is a big, vast, deep, subtle, complex subject. Very few people fully understand it. Because it is implemented on a country so “gradually” most people, even leading intellectuals, including even doctors, lawyers, university professors, PhDs – almost everybody – do not correctly identify it until it is too late. It is so insidious the tragic fact is that the vast majority of people never ever come to understand what has ultimately happened.

As imperfect as it is, hopefully this brief history has helped simplify socialism, and set the context to provide a fuller appreciation about just how important it is to actively bring our “Socialist” councils to task right now – to successfully limit their excessive increases in rates/taxes to an acceptable level.

In the end, if we all just apathetically carry on as normal now, remain as ignorant as most people are, say nothing and do nothing, irrespective of the country in which we live, within the next five years or so, say by about 2018 or sooner – probably by our own acquiescence we will have had our properties confiscated, all our liberties and freedoms taken away, the future for our grandchildren completely destroyed – and we all will be living in a global soviet police state that even Plato or Stalin could never, in their wildest dreams ever have believed possible.
CHAPTER TWO

COUNCIL CRIMINALS, FABIAN SOCIETY, TAXES & RATES RISES

In New Zealand, as in other countries, the trend is much the same. But unlike California, for example, that has Proposition 13 (1978) (officially named the People’s Initiative to Limit Property Taxation) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/California_Proposition_13_(1978) to limit taxes on residents’ real estate and limit tax rises to 2% per year, in New Zealand, council debt is simply spiraling out of control. Outrageously high property taxes (called rates) are dramatically being pushed up by councils simultaneously to service that unsustainable rising debt. www.theworkbootcouncillor.net.nz/assets/.../Council-debt-spirals.pdf

As at August 14, 2011, the 10 worst indebted councils for ‘net debt per person’ in New Zealand were:

1. Kaipara District Council
2. Waitomo District Council
3. Queenstown Lakes District Council
4. Western Bay of Plenty District Council
5. Tauranga City Council
6. Tasman District Council
7. Dunedin City Council
8. Hamilton City Council
9. Buller District Council
10. Auckland Council

Auckland Council, Fabian Socialism & Debt Bondage

Auckland Council provides a good example of what is happening in New Zealand. Auckland is New Zealand’s biggest city with nearly 1.4 million residents containing 31% of the country’s population. From 1989 to 2010 Auckland was governed by several separate city and district councils. Following a Royal Commission on Auckland Governance set up in 2007, recommendations were made to unify all the separate councils into a “super city” based on Australia’s model, Brisbane. In October 2010, Manukau City Mayor Len Brown was elected mayor of the new amalgamated Auckland Council. He is a lawyer and member of the socialist New Zealand Labor Party controlled by the British Fabian Society whose founding coat of arms is literally “a wolf in sheep’s clothing,” so it shouldn’t come as too much of a surprise to see what direction this new mayor is taking. http://www.sunray22b.net/fabian_window.htm

Ps: The Labor Party’s policies are formulated and controlled by the socialist Fabian Society in London and its training arm, the London School of Economics and Political Science. The first goal of the Society is to abolish or confiscate all Freehold property and re-introduce Communism by the back door. http://omegatimes.com/article.php?intid=1017 Oliver Letwin,
a City of London Jew, wrote a book in 1988 while he was head of the International Privatization Unit of N. M. Rothschild & Sons Ltd entitled, *Privatizing the World*. This book is now the “bible” of world bankers to privatize and take over all the assets of the world. This includes, ultimately, the confiscation of ordinary working people’s homes and farms through excessive rate rises and taxes. Letwin’s father, William Letwin, is a Marxist and Emeritus Professor at the London School of Economics.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oliver_Letwin

http://www.barnesandnoble.com/w/privatising-the-world-oliver-letwin/1004528948

Fabian Socialists are not only resident in the NZ Labor Party, they saturate central and local government bureaucracies in all countries and other political parties as well. Contrary to the generally accepted view, the late Sir Rob Muldoon, New Zealand National Party Prime Minister, for a time head of the IMF, was a Fabian Socialist, and he alone was responsible for first deliberately getting New Zealand into the high level of debt it has. A basic tenet of Marxism, Communism, or Fabian Socialism is to destroy the financial system first, before introducing a new, supposedly “classless” “utopian” financial, political and religious order.

To the year ending 30th June 2012, under Brown, Auckland City’s debt had gone up $1 billion alone. The debt had escalated at a rate of nearly $3 million per day, rising in the 12 month period from $4 billion to $5 billion. On top of this, the new socialist Mayor Len Brown plans to triple the total council debt this decade and has lifted the debt ceiling to enable this to be achieved.

An article in *The New Zealand Herald*, November 27 2012, pg. A13, by Bernard Orsman entitled, “*Council duo attack rail link spend – C&R ticket divided on Mayor Brown’s $2.86 billion policy, with some comparing it to a ‘black hole of Calcutta’*” described how two C&R councilors, George Wood and Dick Quax claimed that Brown’s proposed central city rail project, if implemented, would soak up 80 per cent of the city’s public transport budget and cost ratepayers around $461 million over the next three years when 90 per cent of Aucklanders do not even work or live in the CBD.

In another striking article about the Auckland Council in *The New Zealand Herald*, December 18, 2012, p.A13, by Bernard Orsman entitled, “*City wages bill balloons*” the author writes:

- “Staff numbers have risen by 840 in the past year and wages have gone from a forecast $513 million to $670 million in the first two years of the Super City… “The mayor likes to give the impression that he’s reduced staff numbers but the reality is we’re seeing the numbers creep up,” Mr. Brewer said. The Super City began in November 2010 with 7478 staff and 729 vacancies – an allowance of 8207 staff. This was about 1200 fewer staff from the 9430 of the former eight councils. By the end of June last year, staff numbers at the Auckland Council and seven council bodies was 7200. A year later the figure was 8040… The transition agency that set up the Super City predicted the council wages bill would fall from $604 million to $513 million as a result of reducing staff numbers.
Instead, the wages bill was $670 million in the last financial year – representing nearly half the revenue from rates.”

The extravagant rail project alone (without any costs blowout as is often the case with such large developments) combined with other ‘Alice in Wonderland’ Auckland Super City projects that are largely all designed to be financed by borrowing or selling municipal bonds is going to entirely suffocate the city with debt and exponentially cause taxes and rates to unsustainably rise dramatically to service that debt. The Council’s incredible half billion plus dollar blowout on its new $576 million computer system simply defies the imagination. While the rail project’s funding is expected to be serviced by greatly increasing regional tolls and petrol taxes, under the terms of the Local Government Borrowing Act 2011 / New Zealand Local Government Funding Agency Ltd Guarantee – all ratepayers are personally liable through their rates demand to service this debt.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Brown’s planned massive tripling of Auckland Council debt within ten years eventuates, as now looks increasingly more likely, it will inevitably rapidly turn all Auckland property-owners through this process of “debt bondage” into nothing more than simple “serfs” or “debt-slaves”. This is because the City’s rating tax demand will need to triple simply to fund that extra debt, while in the majority of cases, most residents’ incomes are anticipated to rise at best imperceptibly.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>While most people are unaware of the fact, under New Zealand criminal law, this deliberate action by anyone of forcing another person without their consent into a system of “debt bondage” into a “serf” is [still] a serious criminal offence. This will be explained later.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are two common reasons why councils go broke. The leading reason is excessive debt, and the other is they usually end up paying themselves and their employees outrageously high salaries. It is easy to spend other peoples’ hard-earned money on oneself.

Like the extravagant salaries and benefits paid to employees by Stockton City Council in California that went bankrupt earlier in 2012, according to Auckland City’s own 2011/12 Annual Report, 1165 staff now earn more than $100,000 with 564 of those staff working in council-controlled organizations. Of the 1165 employees, 123 now earn over $200,000. This is in stark contrast to many of the city’s ratepayers, such old age pensioners or those on lower wages, who are barely able to survive and most are presently struggling to meet even basic living costs each week.

This extreme mismanagement, self-serving interest and gross negligence by councils, councilors, council chief executives and their staff is not just happening by accident or chance, but is being carefully orchestrated by the perpetrators with the full knowledge of what they do.

The truth is, what they are doing is they are quickly driving their city residents and rate/tax payers into a system of “debt-bondage” which is still a serious criminal offence in New Zealand (and in most other countries throughout the world as well).
Although to date nobody in New Zealand has ever been charged for this offence, things are about to change, and this is no better highlighted by the charade now taking place with another highly indebted council in New Zealand – Kaipara District Council.

Kaipara District Council

Kaipara District Council is probably the worst criminal offender at the present time that on a per capita ratepayer basis in New Zealand has seriously mismanaged its finances. It currently faces an excessive debt burden of about $100 million. Much of the debt arose from a blowout from the construction of a controversial wastewater scheme. It is only a small council and that amount of debt equates to about $7184 for every ratepayer. Earlier in 2012 the Council officially proposed a massive 31% average rate/tax rise, but many property-owners were shocked to discover they were required to pay much more than that when they finally received their rate/tax demands. They were further shocked to learn that this year’s rate rise was barely even servicing just the interest on the Council debt, and other massive rate/tax rises in future years are yet to come.

| One of the worst examples of Kaipara’s increased rate demands was that of former world cup cricketer Warren Scott. He was aghast at facing a 1343% rates rise on Riverside Holiday Park where the council estimated his rates would rise from $6316 last year to $84,850 in 2012. Can you believe the arrogance and contempt at even sending out a rate increase demand of this magnitude? But Kaipara District Council did. |

Basically, what these oppressive COUNCIL rate demands are subtly doing is they are turning all of the property-owners under this Council into modern-day “serfs” through “debt-bondage” to the Council and the criminal bankers who fund it. Ultimately, of course, the bankers are the final recipients of the rates liability imposed.

The bankers are equally as guilty as the Council. This is because an accepted condition in banking prior to approving any loan is to obtain a certified CASH-FLOW FORECAST from the borrower to ensure that the loan interest and principal repayments can in normal conditions be safely serviced. The banks that loaned Kaipara District Council this unserviceable debt, at a minimum, must have received from the Council a Cash-flow Forecast report and known at first glance that the existing total Rates Income (taxes) of the Council was seriously insufficient to service the loans in the first place. In the New Zealand Crimes Act 1961, this action of deliberately driving a person or company into “serfdom” or “debt bondage” by loaning a person more money than they can reasonably be expected to repay or service is a serious criminal offence.

While what they are doing may be perfectly legal under the Local Government Act 2002, the Local Government Borrowing Act 2011, and Companies Act 1993, this is a serious CRIMINAL OFFENCE under both the New Zealand Crimes Act 1961 Section 98, and also the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which the author will deal with in greater detail later.

The New Zealand Crimes Act 1961 takes precedence over all these other New Zealand “Local Government” Acts.
Since the new rates demands were sent out, according to news reports, more than 2500 people have rallied against the Kaipara District Council’s outrageous rate/tax increases and about 1100 people have since refused to pay their rates altogether. The elected councilors resigned (effectively were sacked) in August 2012 to make way for government-appointed commissioners. A ratepayers’ group has accused the council of acting illegally while it carried out Mangawhai’s wastewater scheme, and are seeking redress for this through the courts.

Understandably, in a report dated 20 November 2012, by Melanie Taylor about the Kaipara Citizens & Ratepayers Association (KCRA) Special Meeting on 17th November, 2012, she reported that the members resolved to try to get the commissioners to agree to the capping of all rates increases to a “community approved level.” But to the utter surprise of ratepayers, to date the commissioners on behalf of the council, government and bankers are still insisting that the outrageous new rate demands will still have to be paid. All that the Government and Minister of Local Government has done over this issue to date is just sit back, do nothing, and watch the situation. [http://kcra.org.nz/News.cfm?NewsID=854&NewsCatID=95&disp=detail](http://kcra.org.nz/News.cfm?NewsID=854&NewsCatID=95&disp=detail)

If the commissioners do not agree to the capping of the rates to a “community approved level,” the Ratepayers Association said it plans to proceed with the active promotion of a full, district wide rates strike. If this eventuates and continues for an extended period, it will probably bankrupt the council, and the foreign bankers that hold the council debt will likely initiate proceedings to put the Council into receivership, privatize and sell the council assets, which previously had been owned and paid for over a hundred years by New Zealand pioneers, hardworking residents and ratepayers of the region. Then the situation could become almost equally as bad, if not worse.

To appreciate what is happening one needs to take a brief look at the history of these criminal banks involved.
Already, for example, the same two criminal City of London Corporation foreign-controlled banks that hold the bulk of Kaipara District Council’s debt, ANZ Bank and BNZ Bank, that also have mortgages (the word ‘mortgage’ was created by French Jews brought to London by William the Conqueror in 1066, literally mort ‘death’ and gage ‘bond’) over the titles of many of the ratepayer strikers’ farms and properties are, without the owners’ consent, colluding with the Council to service the debt. Many of the striking ratepayers have been shocked to discover that the Council is claiming unpaid rates from banks that hold mortgages over their properties, and the banks are taking the money from their customer accounts without their consent then adding the cost plus a penalty fee to the customer’s mortgage. In the BNZ bank’s case, the penalty fee is $35, but the ASB Bank is charging $110. These banks are ruthlessly binding the citizens and ratepayers to guarantee and service the Council debt, and they will stop at nothing to ensure it is repaid. To appreciate what is happening one needs to understand a little about these criminal banks, their history, and how they operate.

The “big four” banks that control most of the wealth in both Australia and New Zealand now are; Commonwealth Bank (Commbank), National Australia Bank (NAB), Westpac Banking Corporation (Westpac) and Australia & New Zealand Banking Group (ANZ). All of these duplicitous banks have “cross-shareholdings” in each other, and for all intents and purposes operate as ONE GIANT BANKING CARTEL through each country’s local bankers’ association. These associations in turn are headed by the Institute of International Bankers in the US which is ultimately controlled by the British Sovereign’s richest banking families through The Worshipful Company of International Bankers in the City of London Corporation.

It is the leading representatives of this giant cartel of banking associations headed by The Worshipful Company of International Bankers on behalf of the British Sovereign that control the World Bank, International Monetary Fund, United States Federal Reserve, European Bank for Reconstruction & Development, European Central Bank, and Bank for International Settlements (that controls all the reserve banks of the world) in Switzerland and so on.

These powerful banks, with their foreign shareholding bank owners secretly “pulling the strings” lurking behind the scenes, duplicitously control every key sector of the economies of both Australia and New Zealand. They also have an enormous power influencing the actions of central and local government politicians and bureaucrats. The Commonwealth Bank owns the Auckland Savings Bank. National Australia Bank owns the Bank of New Zealand (BNZ).
The ANZ Bank, headquartered in Melbourne, is the 4th largest bank in Australia. It is largely controlled by Hong Kong Shanghai Banking Corporation (HSBC) based in London, big New York banks such as JP Morgan and Citicorp, themselves in most cases controlled from the City of London. A list of the top twenty shareholders to 31 October 2010 is here:


The ANZ Bank has cross-shareholdings in all the big Australian banks, including National Australia Bank (which owns the BNZ), the 17th largest bank in the world, also headquartered in Melbourne, controlled by Hong Kong Shanghai Banking Corporation (HSBC) based in London, JP Morgan Chase and Citicorp in New York. http://www.spankourbank.com.au/nab-top-twenty-shareholders JP Morgan, incidentally, is also not controlled from New York, but London. The top twenty shareholders presently are: http://finance.yahoo.com/q/mh?s=JPM

Hong Kong Shanghai Banking Corporation (HSBC) was founded by a Scottish banker Sir Thomas Sutherland in Hong Kong on 3 March 1865. He was also appointed managing director of P & O shipping company in 1872. Today HSBC is part of HSBC Holdings plc and is now the biggest bank in the world by market capitalization, with 68,700 employees in 2010. It is now a company, but it started off in 1865 in Hong Kong and Shanghai as a Body Corporate, just like our local city councils! HSBC has served as the world’s dirtiest bank, number one drug laundering agency and criminal cartel since its inception as a repository for British Crown opium proceeds accrued during the first (1839-42) and second (1856-60) opium wars with China.

Lord Shelbourne launched the Chinese opium trade in 1783 with Scottish merchants from the East India Company which emerged from the slave-trading Levant Company. The British Empire has never died, as the flag of the East India Company was used as the basis for the American ‘Stars and Stripes.’ The 1777 Stars & Stripes flag with its 13 stripes with its arrangement of 13 stars was used as the basis for the EU flag. The flag, like the arrangement of the original 13-stars on the old American flag, was created by Arsène Heitz a devout Roman Catholic and Paul Lévy in 1955 to represent the twelve-star halo of the Virgin Mary, the Queen of Heaven mentioned in the book of Revelation. Lévy, a Holocaust survivor, was an Austrian Jew who worked for the BBC. In 1950 he joined the staff of Winston Churchill’s newly established Council of Europe and became the first Chief of its department of Culture.

Thomas Sutherland wanted a bank operating on “sound Scottish banking principles” of course. During the Vietnam War, HSBC laundered most of the CIA heroin proceeds. Today HSBC is the biggest, criminal, extortionist, drug laundering, racketeering syndicate on earth.


Incidentally, another giant British bank that was set up alongside HSBC around the same period to launder opium drug proceeds in China and Hong Kong was the Chartered Bank of India, Australia and China, (founded in London in 1851) now called Standard Chartered Bank.

The current Jewish British Prime Minister, David Cameron’s great-great grandfather, Emile Levita, served on the Board. Even today, the bank still issues bank notes in Hong Kong. Until
recently, Standard Chartered Bank was the main criminal foreign bank laundering most of the illicit opium drug money in Afghanistan that now produces about 90% of the world’s opium. On 15 September 2012, it transferred its operations in Afghanistan to *Afghanistan International Bank (AIB)*.


Many of these major shareholders in JP Morgan are “nominees” or secret “street companies” that hold shares on behalf of others so it is extremely difficult to tell exactly who owns what. For example, BlackRock Institutional Trust Company, N.A., a major shareholder in the US of JP Morgan, is largely owned by Barclays in London.

JP Morgan was originally founded as George Peabody & Co. and registered in London. Junius Spencer Morgan (the father of J.P. Morgan) became a partner of Peabody’s in 1864 when the name of Peabody’s firm was changed to Peabody, Morgan & Co. The former giant UK merchant bank Morgan Grenfell (now part of Deutsche Bank, the biggest bank in Germany now controlled from London), JP Morgan Chase and investment bank Morgan Stanley all trace their roots back to George Peabody & Co. in London.

George Peabody was originally a Massachusetts trader, but after he went to London, his banking firm became the American agent of N.M. Rothschild & Sons. By the early 1900s JP Morgan owned the majority of all US steelworks and railroads and controlled a large proportion of the entire US economy. Remember, this was way back in the early 1900s.

JP Morgan is also a major shareholder of the US Federal Reserve which is privately owned, prints all US dollars and then loans them at interest to the US government and American public.

National Australia Bank which is controlled by HSBC, JP Morgan and Citicorp owns the BNZ Bank that with the ANZ Bank together hold the debt of Kaipara District Council, that are indirectly extorting the excessive rates off Kaipara District Council ratepayers.

All of Australia’s and New Zealand’s big banks are indirectly controlled by a handful of New York banks, in turn controlled by a handful of rich families in City of London Corporation Jewry.

**Revolving Door Politics: Unholy alliance between bankers and politicians**

While the general public vote politicians into office most politicians usually end up in the ‘pockets’ of bankers not serving the people they outwardly claim to represent at all. The metaphor of a ‘revolving door’ in banking is usually used to describe compliant politicians and civil servants who serve big money interests through ‘legalized bribery’ while in office. Usually they switch jobs from working as lawmakers, to being lobbyists then on retiring accept high paying jobs with major banks or corporations in the private sector that they have all along behind the scenes been working with. [http://www.legalizedbribery.com](http://www.legalizedbribery.com) This pernicious system saturates politics in virtually all countries. It is rife in both Australia and New Zealand.
One needs to appreciate this before one can properly understand why certain elected officials so regularly treacherously act against the public interest they claim to protect.

**Macquarie Bank**, Australia’s largest investment bank, provides a good example of one of the corrupt banks in Australia and New Zealand running a ‘revolving door’ policy for compliant politicians (usually to get them to legislate to privatize state assets or set up public/private partnerships for the banks to control). Macquarie Bank was founded in 1969 as the Australian subsidiary of British merchant bank Hill Samuel. Originally this bank was founded by a City of London Jew, Marcus Samuel in 1832 as Shell Import and Trading Company, which today is Royal Dutch Shell controlled by the Rothschild and Samuel families in London. A relative, Sir Herbert Samuel, founded the BBC. Later, the merchant banking arm purchased Philip Hill, Higginson, Erlangers Ltd in 1965 when it was renamed Hill Samuel. Later in the 1980’s Hill Samuel in the UK merged with the TSB Group, which in turn merged with Lloyds Bank in 1995.

On 12 September 2012, ABC News ran a story, ‘*Macquarie facing claims of rubbery loan figures.*’ The article outlined how it was common for banks, including Macquarie bank, to “fabricate income, assets and property valuations to get loans across the line. And when those loans fall through, it is borrowers who lose everything.” The article continued, “Australian Broker magazine surveyed more than 200 mortgage brokers in the wake of the ‘7.30’ expose last month on fraudulent lending, and 85 per cent said banks encouraged fraud during credit booms.”

Macquarie Bank funded the state of Victoria’s Tasman Institute, which wrote Premier of Victoria, Jeff Kennett’s $30 billion electricity privatization plans from which Macquarie made hundreds of millions of dollars in fees and funded consortiums buying the assets. When Premier Kennett gained office (1992-1999) he immediately, with his new State Treasurer Alan Stockdale, instituted a vicious budget-cutting and privatization program he called ‘Kennett Revolution” as the state government was $33 billion in debt, running a budget deficit of $2.2 billion. Ostensibly to resolve this debt, some 50,000 public servants were retrenched between 1992 and 1995. Government funding for schools was cut, with 350 public schools closed and 7,000 teaching jobs removed. 16,000 public transport workers were sacked and state-owned services and utilities were privatized. As a result of the ‘Kennett Revolution,’ the largest public protest in Melbourne since the Vietnam War occurred on 10 November 1992 with an estimated 100,000 people attending. Kennett was undeterred. After the reforms, Kennett’s Treasurer, Alan Stockdale, was then given a plum job at Macquarie bank.

So too, Bob Carr, Premier (1995-2005) of New South Wales; Max Moore-Wilton, former Secretary of the Australian Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet to PM John Howard; Graeme Samuel, Chairman of the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (2003-present); Warwick Smith, former Australian Federal Cabinet Minister and many others. A former CEO of Macquarie is Allan Moss. His wife, Irene Moss, has held positions including solicitor of the Supreme Court of New South Wales and High Court of Australia, NSW Ombudsman from 1995 and from 1999 to 2004 and was the Commissioner of the Independent Commission Against Corruption.
Knighthood and Chief Justices

Both British and Vatican “knighthoods” are modeled on the equestrian order (Latin ‘ordo equester’) in ancient Rome. Members were usually wealthy landowners or businessmen who loyally supported Caesar and the senate, and were the lower of the two aristocratic classes, the top class being the senators. The knights *equo public*, numbered several thousand and greatly outnumbered men of senatorial rank in an empire population of about 70 million. Knights monopolized political, military and economic power in the empire. They controlled the major offices of state, command of all military units and ownership of most of the empire’s arable land. Under Nero (ruled 54-68AD), most of the major commercial enterprises in Rome and half of all land in Africa proconsularis province was owned by just 6 senators.

Everyone who becomes a knight under the British Sovereign goes through a special Masonic, witchcraft ceremony called the ‘*accolade.*’ The term *accolade* comes the old equestrian order in ancient Rome which means in Latin *ad to’ collum* ‘neck’. Literally accolade means ‘to the neck’ and when all knights are “dubbed” by the Monarch or their representative with a sword on the right or left shoulder, the candidate agrees to “bring their neck” (like an ox) under the yoke and control of the Sovereign – and not the people! This includes even non-British subjects like Americans Sir George H. W. Bush and Sir Henry Kissinger and hundreds of others who have been knighted.

In New Zealand, all Chief Justices, (there are no exceptions), have always been knights and the current incumbent, who is a Jewish woman, Sian Elias, is a Dame. In the British Empire, and now under the Commonwealth of Nations, in New Zealand the general public are deceived into thinking that they are living in a “parliamentary democracy” ruled by their “elected representatives,” when the real truth is they are living in a full-blown oligarchy – a totalitarian dictatorship. To use the current Chief Justice of New Zealand as an example:

**Chief Justice Dame Sian Seerpoohi Elias** (born in London to a Welsh mother and Armenian Jewish father), [http://tedcar.wordpress.com/2012/12/05/sian-elias-the-armenian-chief-justice-of-nz/](http://tedcar.wordpress.com/2012/12/05/sian-elias-the-armenian-chief-justice-of-nz/)

On 17 May 1999, Dame Sian Elias, the current Chief Justice of New Zealand, was appointed to her position by National Party PM Jenny Shipley, now on the Board of China Construction Bank. Elias’s husband, Hugh Fletcher, is a former CEO of Fletcher Challenge and Chairman of the New Zealand Business Roundtable. Not only that, in 2002 he was appointed to the Board of the Reserve Bank of New Zealand and reappointed in 2007. He is also Chairman of the Board of Directors of IAG (NZ) and Director of Fletcher Building and Vector Limited. In 2007 he was appointed to the Board of Insurance Australia Group. He has also been the New Zealand Representative on the Trilateral Commission based in Washington DC. The Trilateral Commission was founded by David Rockefeller (a major shareholder in JP Morgan Chase) in July 1973 to assist the global banking elite to bring in a “New World Order,” destroy national sovereignty, introduce a world currency, religion and world government.

The Commission works closely with the Council of Foreign Relations in the US and the Royal Institute of International Affairs (now called Chatham House) in London to achieve this end.
In New Zealand, as Chief Justice, Sian Elias’s position is an important one. Not only is she the most senior member of the judiciary, she is the presiding judge of the Supreme Court and also acts as the Administrator of the Government (acting Governor-General) when the Governor-General is absent. The order of power is: Queen Elizabeth II, Governor-General, Chief Justice and then the Prime Minister. This is why the Chief Justice is paid more than the Prime Minister.

When you have an unelected Chief Justice like this, married to a husband with such wide, conflicting, vested business and banking interests, representing New Zealand on the Trilateral Commission founded by David Rockefeller dedicated to destroying the sovereignty of the country – who at the same time heads the Justice System of New Zealand – what justice is there? What you have in reality is a full-blown “Kangaroo Court” and political dictatorship! Mind you, this British-based charade has been going on for hundreds of years in all commonwealth countries.

The “King’s Jews”

The “King’s Jews” was a specific term applied to Jewish bankers and money lenders who were first brought to England by William the Conqueror in 1066AD. From the beginning, English Jews held a unique place within society in that they “belonged” to the king. Occasionally they would be subject to the barony but this was extremely rare. Within only 100 years of first arriving in Britain from France they had almost entirely mort-gaged or purchased the whole country for themselves, although their wealth was still ultimately the king’s. Chief among these greedy Jewish financiers at the time was Aaron of Lincoln (b.1125 – d.1189). [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aaron_of_Lincoln](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aaron_of_Lincoln)

When he died he was believed to have been the wealthiest man in 12th century Britain, with his wealth exceeding that of the king. He gained his wealth by advancing money on land, corn, armor and houses. He also loaned money to counts, archbishops, bishops, abbots and towns (just like banks do today by loaning money to city councils). In this way he acquired a huge stranglehold over commerce and properties scattered throughout the eastern and southern counties of England. When he died in 1189 his entire estate, naturally, was taken by the Crown.

Kings would tax the Jews and special levies were imposed on them called tallages. Faced with these tallages, in order to meet their debts to the king, the Jewish financiers would abruptly call in many of the debts owed to them, creating a huge amount of suffering and hardship for borrowers. It was this system that largely created the hostile feelings against the Jews. Under Henry II, not long before he died, Aaron of Lincoln’s last tallage was £60,000. It was so extreme it amounted to a fourth of all Jewish assets. It was so large, after he died a separate division of the King’s Exchequer was constituted called “Aaron’s Exchequer.”

In 1190, while Richard I (Lion-heart) (reigned 1189-1199) was away fighting a Crusade for the Pope, there was a serious economic downturn in England and many debtors couldn’t service their loans. Subsequently, Richard de Malbis, a major debtor of Aaron of Lincoln, led an attack on the family of Aaron’s late agent in York and other Jewish financiers in the hope to kill the bankers and destroy the loan records. The Jews ended up committing suicide atop Clifford’s Tower and the records were all burned.
While Jewish people today generally claim this tragic event was a “massacre” caused by “anti-Semitism,” this is only partly true. The real truth is the Jews themselves were in a large part to blame because they often ruthlessly called in debt, charged usurious interest rates, and extorted money off the poor peasants, Barony and land owners who really couldn’t afford to pay. The real culprit, of course, wasn’t the Jews at all. It was the tyrannical English king.

Understandably, when Richard I returned from the Crusades, he was furious at what had been done to his Jews, because ultimately the loans and debts written off and destroyed were payable to him. Soon after, by about 1199, he prompted the establishment of Archae (registry of bonds) and exchequer of the Jews. Consequently, to this very day, all mortgages, bonds etc. throughout the world are registered either directly or indirectly under the jurisdiction of Jewish-controlled banks domiciled in the City of London Corporation under the watchful eye of the British Sovereign.

After Richard I died in 1199, King John succeeded him. He reigned between 1199 and 1216. He was a tyrant. He increased the tallages on the Jews and these oppressive taxes had a severe knock on effect, massively upsetting the barons, serfs and peasants. Finally, because of this severe taxation from the King and his leading subordinates, the Jewish bankers, the Magna Carta (Great Charter) claiming “justice for all” was signed under duress by King John on 15 June, 1215. Interestingly, this charter is the only English charter to contain clauses expressly dealing with Jews (Clauses 10 and 11).

The Magna Carta 1215 still forms much of the basis of all English law in the Commonwealth of Nations and the United States of America.

After King John died, the people’s hostility against the Jews fermented and gradually increased until the reign of King Edward I when, on 18 July 1290, under The Edict of Expulsion every professing Jew in England was ordered out of the Realm, forever. About 16,000 Jews fled, and none dared return until 400 hundred years later under Oliver Cromwell in 1656, and have remained in the UK to the present day.

It should be pointed out that after all the Jews were expelled from England in 1290, successive kings, their “Christian” banking agents and tax collectors were as equally tyrannical as the Jews that had ruled before them.

In 1377 King Richard II introduced a medieval poll tax, supposedly to finance military campaigns overseas. It was followed by a second in 1379, then a third. The poll tax fell particularly hard on serfs, peasants, and married women, who were taxed separately from their husbands regardless of their income or employment status. A huge revolt ensued and today it is known as the Peasants’ Revolt or Great Rising of 1381. While the revolt failed, it did show that peasants were capable of wreaking havoc and changing British politics. This marked the turning point and ending of serfdom throughout England.

History shows that rarely do leaders ever learn. Six hundred years later Margaret Thatcher was thrown out of office for introducing a Community Charge (or Poll Tax) in 1989. Up until this point UK citizens paid for local council services under a system like New Zealand known as
‘rates.’ Under this system, rates (taxes) are paid based upon the value of a person’s property. Thatcher’s new Community Charge changed this to the number of people living in a household. It shifted the burden of tax from the better off in society to the poor. By 1991 several million people hadn’t paid and as the result VAT had to be put up by 2.5%, which remains to this day.

Founded by the Romans in AD71 under the name of Eboracum, York became the capital of the Roman province of Britannia Inferior. In the Middle Ages York grew as a major trading center and was the main town of the North of England and See of the Archbishop of York from AD735. It was England’s largest shire in area, and hence was, after the City of London, England’s second main Jewish banking center. Because of this, the title ‘Duke of York’ has usually been given to the second son of the English monarch, who is second in line in succession to the English throne. The title was first created in 1385, just four years after the Peasants’ Revolt in 1381, to Edmund of Langley, the 4th surviving son of Edward III.

New York City today is named after York in England. Originally the city was founded in 1624 as a trading post by colonists of the Dutch Republic and named New Amsterdam in 1626. However, when the city came under British control in 1664, it was renamed New York after King Charles II of England granted the lands to his brother, James Stuart, the Duke of York, (the second son of Charles I). The first Jews who came to New Amsterdam in 1654 were linked to the Jewish stockholders in the Dutch West India Company.

In 1664 a large British fleet forced the Dutch to surrender without firing a shot, and all the residents who remained were required to sign an oath of allegiance to the English Crown, and the city was renamed New York. Gradually more and more Jews immigrated to New York from England and Europe, supported by British banks and companies, and it became the most powerful foreign colonial financial center controlled by the City of London, and the biggest in America, as it remains today.

While the Declaration of Independence in 1776 gave Americans “political independence” from the tyranny of the English king, most of the big British banks and companies had already been well established and were well on the way to controlling the finances of the nation, as they still do today. This is why London is the foremost Jewish banking capital of the world, and why New York is a close second. It is from this humble background that the giant Anglo/American global banks and banking families arose that dominate the world today.

**Revolving Door politicians: Rothschild, Samuel, Oppenheimer and Rockefeller:**

The Rothschild and Samuel family connection with Macquarie and Lloyds is interesting. Lord Peter Levene, a City of London Jew, former Vice Chairman of Deutsche Bank, and recent Chairman of Lloyds, was preeminent in arranging the insurance payout policy for Christchurch, New Zealand, earthquake claims. Former NZ PM, the Rt. Hon. Dame Jenny Shipley, following her resignation from politics, in November 2007 was given a plum position as Independent Non-Executive Director with Lord Peter Levene on the Board of China Construction Bank (CCB), controlled from London. In 2011 CCB was the second biggest bank in the world by market capitalization. It has over 13,000 domestic branches alone in China! The big British banks control China’s banking system as well, and CCB is a member of the Global ATM Alliance.
including: Barclays, Bank of America, BNP Paribas, Deutsche Bank, Santander Serfin, Scotiabank and Westpac.

Former New Zealand National Party Minister of Justice, Minister of Commerce and Minister of State-Owned Enterprises, Simon Power, stepped down from politics in late 2011 and in January 2012 he became the head of Westpac Private Bank. This division of Westpac Bank deals only with clients who have an annual income in excess of $250,000 and investments of $2 million or more. This division of Westpac is not interested in dealing with ordinary working class members of the general public at all. When Power announced in March 2011 he would stand down from Parliament after the November 26, 2011 election, even former Bank of America Jewish banker, New Zealand PM John Key, said he was “stunned and flabbergasted” – now that’s saying something! The NZ Weekend Herald December 8, 2012, p.B13, actually ran an article about Power’s job at Westpac entitled, “Power banking on change: The formerly upwardly mobile cabinet minister is now having to follow regulations he was in charge of setting.”

Here is just another quick example illustrating what is happening. Two of the most powerful Jewish names in the City of London are the Rothschild and the Oppenheimer banking families.

**Bathurst Resources Limited**, that is presently quietly buying up all of New Zealand’s precious high quality coal resources in the West Coast of New Zealand’s South Island, on December 9, 2010, announced it had signed a *Memorandum of Understanding* with Stemcor Australia Pty Ltd to help provide funding for the development, and subsequently sell the Buller Project coal production overseas in the future.

Stemcor Australia Pty Ltd exports most of Australia’s huge coal production as well. It just happens to be a subsidiary of Stemcor Holdings Ltd, personally headed by Ralph Oppenheimer, the private family firm of the Oppenheimer’s in London. In New Zealand right now, just one family is taking over the control of the entire coal wealth of the country, while the gullible New Zealand public just sit back and wonder what is happening!

Because the entire media in the country is indirectly heavily censored and controlled by these same banking pirates, the public never hear about what is really happening. This same parasitical banking family controls a whole host of giant global conglomerates including Anglo American, which in turn controls the majority of the US coal production as well. They control about two thirds of the wealth of South Africa, and with the Rothschild’s, most of the mining companies in Australia too.

So isn’t it about time ordinary hard working people and members of the general public, (city council ratepayers now included!), who are being increasingly enslaved and pauperized by these pathological economic blood-suckers, and the duplicitous international banks that they fraudulently control, altogether rise up and take back some measure of control?

It is no use relying on self-serving politicians to bring about any meaningful change, who, in most cases, have already prostituted themselves to the bankers involved, and are more interested in lining their own hip pockets or like common bed-bugs, are more interested in jumping from one political party to the other.
Modern banks born out of the slave trade

It is an indisputable fact, all of these giant, parasitical Anglo/American global banks that control the banks in both Australia and New Zealand today, (and therefore the public and private debt of both countries), were born out of the 19th century SLAVE TRADE.

The slave trade had for centuries its headquarters in Venice until the seventeenth century when London took over control, and subsequently later Newport became the capital of the slave trade in the US.

In December 2006 Bank of America Corp., JP Morgan Chase & Co., CSX Corp. and 12 other US companies were accused in the US Court of Appeals in Chicago for allegedly concealing their involvement in the 19th century American slave trade.

Several global banks started off as slave profiteering firms before growing into the multinational behemoths they are today. The chief American vermin in the rat pack list, however, is JP Morgan. In 2005 JP Morgan actually apologized for the activities of two of its predecessors in Louisiana – Citizens Bank and Canal Bank that had been heavily involved in various slave profiteering programs including the mortgaging of slaves to slave owners. In response to this, JP Morgan recently set up a $5 million scholarship fund for black students studying in Louisiana. http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=21070001&sid=aRCQp48f6z2k

Aetna, another giant financial company, grew rich through writing insurance policies on slaves. Lehman Brothers started off as a brotherhood of slave dealers and profiteers. In 2005 Lehman also apologized for its predecessors’ links to slavery.

Bank of America was built off the blood and sweat of Black slaves in Africa, North and South America. The City of London Corporation which now controls virtually every major bank in the world grew rich out of its vast profits from the slave trade. Slaves were even bought and sold on the London Stock Market. After the Bank of England was established in 1694, it largely funded the slave voyages making London the slave trade capital of the world.

Alexander and David Barclay were Quaker slave traders who operated out of the West Indies and founded Barclay’s Bank on slave trade profits. Sir Francis Baring, whose family eventually founded Barings Bank, got rich out of profits from the slave trade. The National Gallery in London was founded on an art collection of pictures given to it by John Julius Angerton, which he built up with money from the slave trade. This included his activities as one of the underwriters of Lloyds of London that insured slave voyages. The list goes on and on. http://www.thenewblackmagazine.com/view.aspx?index=730

New Zealand “big four” foreign banks’ extortionist profits

According to the Bank for International Settlements, the Australian parents of the “big four” New Zealand banks, ANZ, ASB, BNZ and Westpac, are the developed world’s most profitable banks as measured by pre-tax profit as a percentage of total assets. These big four New Zealand banks in 2012 posted combined annual net profits after tax of NZ$3.2 billion, a rise of 12% over last year, with record profits from ANZ, ASB and Westpac.
On 5th December, 2012, New Zealand business analyst Bernard Hickey told RadioLIVE’s Marcus Lush that New Zealand’s banks returned 19% on their equity last year, which was even higher than their Australian parents on 15%. Global banks are around 10%.” While these banking leeches continue to accumulate excessive, vast profits, at the expense of charging the New Zealand public exorbitant bank fees and interest margins on loans and securities, they are gradually sucking the whole economy dry and are pauperizing the general population.

In October 2012, ANZ Bank (NZ) delivered a record profit of NZ$1.37 billion. These figures often understated the true profit for foreign-controlled companies and banks, because much of their interest and costs on foreign borrowing and funding is often exaggerated when repatriated to the parent bank as an expense prior to completing their annual accounts. Most of these banks’ enormous profits are not being reinvested in New Zealand but are being repatriated to their foreign parents and shareholders. This is the real reason why New Zealand’s economic performance is declining so quickly. It has nothing to do at all with what the mainstream media puppets deceptively claim, “New Zealanders are not working hard enough” or “New Zealanders are living beyond their means and must save more.” Working people have had absolutely nothing to do with the major financial decisions that the government has made with leading members of the business community and foreign bankers. It is these leaders that have created the mess. Not the man-in-the-street, who wouldn’t know what a covered bond or government security was if he saw one. Let those who have created the mess pay for the mess – not the general public!

These big, global, foreign “parent” banks just “print” or create credit out of thin air and then on-loan these funds to their subsidiaries, who then loan them out on mortgage to their naïve local clients at interest. Simplified, that is why these banks are making such enormous profits. It also explains how these banks are effectively taking over the assets of the entire world through a subtle system of “debt-bondage” and mort-gage – literally “death-bondage”.

So let’s put these “outrageous profits” into context using a shining example: Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited. This company is one of New Zealand’s biggest companies, is a multinational dairy co-operative owned by almost 10,500 New Zealand farmers. The company is responsible for approximately 30% of all the world’s entire dairy exports, and directly employs about 15,000 people and it contributes a large proportion of wealth for the New Zealand economy. Yet for the 2011/2012 year, Fonterra’s net profit was only NZ$624 million on total revenue of NZ$19.8 billion. This was less than half ANZ’s New Zealand 2012 net profit after tax! Fonterra also publicly advised its debt gearing ratio fell to 39.1 per cent from 41.8 per cent, so it is clearly indebted to the bankers itself as well.

The New Zealand Weekend Herald December 8, 2012, p. B13, reported; “Commonwealth Bank of Australia is the first overseas investor to disclose a substantial holding in units of the Fonterra shareholders’ Fund that debuted a week ago. Funds associated with Australia’s biggest lender hold 7.4 per cent of the units, according to a substantial security notice…” Commonwealth Bank, headquartered in Sydney, that also owns New Zealand’s Auckland Savings Bank, is controlled by JP Morgan Chase. Just to mention one of the directors. Harrison Young, on the Board of Directors since February 2007, has been Chairman of Morgan Stanley
Australia, CEO of China International Capital Corporation, Senior Officer of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation in Washington DC, and until May 2012 was a Director of the Bank of England.

What is happening, without hardly a squeak of complaint from the incredibly naïve, ignorant New Zealand public, is that all of New Zealand’s dairy farmers are being deviously disenfranchised of their control of this giant co-operative dairy company. If this process is not stopped, it is inevitable that in just a very short period of time farmers will be converted into simple peasants and serfs by the bankers as they previously were in feudal England under the “king’s Jews” and the tyrannical English Monarch.


While these duplicitous foreign-controlled banks often regularly sponsor a few charitable organizations or the local rescue helicopter to deceive the simple minded into thinking that they are actually working for the local community and public good. The truth is they contribute very little to the physical wealth and economy of the nation, but are foreign parasites ruthlessly sucking the economic life-blood out of the entire country at an enormous rate – while a large proportion of the general population of the country are struggling day to day to even meet basic living costs.

In The New Zealand Herald, December 15, 2012, economic writer Brian Gaynor wrote an article entitled, Foreign ownership shortchanging locals and stated:

- “The release of the Deloitte/ Management Top 200 list tells us a great deal about corporate ownership in New Zealand. The list shows that...a majority of our largest companies are overseas-owned and relatively few are listed on the domestic market. The breakdown of the largest 200 companies – measured in revenue terms – is as follows: 102 of the top 200 companies are at least 50 per cent overseas owned and are not listed on the NZX. 48 are listed on the NZX but 14 of these are at least 50 per cent offshore-controlled... Extensive overseas ownership also has a negative impact on the country’s balance of payments because the earnings of these companies are an outflow as far as these statistics are concerned.
- Twenty-three New Zealand-based companies reported a net profit after tax of more than $100 million in the latest year, according to the Deloitte/Management survey. Ten of these, with net earnings after tax of $3.9 billion, are 100 per cent overseas owned. Thus these 10 companies contribute 39 per cent of the country’s balance of payments deficit of $10.1 billion...”

All this is happening with the full support of all political parties and the treacherous business leadership of the country, and yet, many of these highly paid parasites have the effrontery to claim “all New Zealanders must work harder” and in the future drastic “austerity measures” must be placed on health, education and national superannuation (age pensions) which they say are now unaffordable and the qualification age must rise to 67 and be means-tested.” Peter Davis, the socialist husband of former Labor Marxist PM Helen Clark, behind the scenes at the New Zealand branch of the Fabian Society, is actually proposing that the Labor Party, if it ever
gets back into power, increase the qualification age for pensions to 70, and that all applicants be means tested up to age 75! http://www.fabians.org.nz/ then ‘click’ on ‘Resources.’

Hegelian Dialect (Socialist mind-control)

It is important to be aware of a powerful psychological technique as old as politics itself, called Hegelian dialectic that has always been used by socialists to mind control the masses to bring about a change in people’s thinking. It is a three-step psychological process generally called Thesis, Antithesis and Synthesis. Based on Plato’s dialogues written nearly 400 years before the time of Christ, the technique was adopted by the German philosophers Immanuel Kant (1724-1804), then later Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel (1770-1831) and this is why it is usually in more modern times ascribed to the German philosopher Hegel. Karl Marx, then later the British Fabian Society and Soviet Russia, all adopted the Hegelian dialectical system, which is now included in all socialist strategy and propaganda around the world.

The first step (thesis) is to create a problem. The second step (antithesis) is to generate opposition to the problem. The third step (synthesis) is to offer the solution to the problem, which you have been planning all along. That is why most socialist governments around the world are either multi-party coalitions or have a left versus right “two party” system where the political party in control (thesis) and the other (antithesis) in “opposition” are usually secretly funded by the same people behind the scenes, working for the same goals, to bring about a common synthesis between the two – while making the public feel that they have some form of representation which is usually not the case at all.

Hegelian dialectics have many uses. Sometimes they are simply referred to as “problem, reaction, solution.” You want to abolish firearms from the general public, but they at first will not agree to do so. You first make it exceedingly easy for the public to buy all sorts of military-style firearms (Thesis). You then arrange for 20 or 30 children to be mercilessly gunned down at school by those same firearms, showing as much about the event on TV as possible (antithesis). You then get the public simpletons so emotionally distraught and wound up, they then cry out for you to abolish all of the firearms. It is as simple as that. Governments do it all the time.

In New Zealand it was the socialist Labor Party in 1984 under Finance Minister Sir Roger Douglas, based on his 1993 book, Unfinished Business that first introduced the “privatization” of the country’s state assets. Douglas has also been an advisor on privatization to various other countries as well including Russia, South America, Middle East and Israel. Now, in 2013, the New Zealand Labor Party, all of a sudden, has the insolence to say it opposes privatization – while the current treacherous National (Tory-Republican) Party governing the country that previously opposed it, under Jewish banker PM John Key, proposes to privatize the balance of New Zealand’s major state energy assets to foreigners in the next 12 months. Irrespective of what they may say, the fact is most these politicians, whether they claim to be left-wing or right-wing, in their actions they reveal that they are Communists? Think about it?

Could it be the complete destruction of the “middle classes” and transformation of New Zealand into a full Communist state is very much closer than most people realize?
CHAPTER FOUR

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 2002 &
LOCAL GOVERNMENT BORROWING ACT 2011

While under the Local Government Act 2002 and Local Government Borrowing Act 2011, councils may claim their actions are perfectly legal, in fact, their actions may be totally illegal under the Crimes Act 1961, this being the superior legislation.

Many ratepayers quite correctly identify the “fundamental dishonesty of councilors” but cannot quite understand how the councils can arrogantly claim “we are doing nothing illegal.” Hopefully this chapter will illuminate a few legal points. The following excerpt from a website illustrates how many concerned, thinking people feel, but can’t quite put their finger on the laws required to bring the perpetrators to task:

**KaiparaConcerns**  [http://www.kaiparaconscerns.co.nz/](http://www.kaiparaconscerns.co.nz/) ran an article entitled, ‘Joel Cayford Sums It Up’ (27 October 2012) and said:

- “In his latest blog *Why Mangawhai is in the shit* Joel Cayford offers an insight into how the true costs of the Ecocare debacle were disguised in the various annual and long-term plans. What is startling is the fundamental dishonesty of the Councilors, the Council staff and consultants who were responsible for the misleading information.
- There are many company directors in jail for lesser offences. Ratepayers wondering whether to pay any further rates really need to consider the fact they are victims of a very serious rort that John Key and his government would prefer to ignore.
- The blog warns of massive increases in rates for the future including the capital charges that are being delayed until next year. The lower than anticipated rise for this year (though massive by any other standard) is just the sweetener to suck ratepayers in. As Joel Cayford says about ratepayers; “They have been given little warning that KDC now wants more. Much, much more.”
- It is also mind-boggling how the Office of the Auditor-General, which audited Council’s accounts and plans (through Audit NZ), failed to pick up all the discrepancies and the subterfuge for so many years.
- The OAG is holding an inquiry into its own competence in carrying out the audit. Will it point its finger to itself?”

So what do the laws relating to local government actually say?

**Local Government Act 2002**

The *Local Government Act 2002* provides the primary legislation under which all local government in New Zealand must operate.
Subpart 4 – Borrowing and Security of the *Local Government Act 2002* was deceptively drafted by the government in collusion with the international banks to ensure that all property owning ratepayers are liable, without their consent, through their rates demands, to service all local government debt.

**Section 115 Rates as security** of the *Local Government Act 2002* gives the local authority or receiver the power to assess and collect in each financial year a rate *[author’s emphasis – irrespective of how criminal or onerous on ratepayers this might be]* from all property owners to recover sufficient funds to meet the repayments of all the local authority’s commitments in respect of a loan *[author’s note - to the international bankers of course, irrespective of how oppressive the demand is]*.

Early in 1994, submissions were called for on the Department of Internal Affairs plans to repeal the 1956 Local Authority Loans Act for new borrowing powers to be placed in the 1974 Local Government Act. Up to 1994, most local government borrowing had to be approved by the Local Authorities Loans Board, which ensured that all long-term borrowing by councils for a capital work or asset had to be secured by future rates revenue.

Since some loans were exempted from the control and monitoring of the Board, such as redemption loans, loans up to $50 million for local authorities with populations more than 20,000, it was proposed that the Local Authority Loans Board should effectively become redundant, and new laws should be implemented which would allow local authorities to manage their financial costs and risks themselves with details of their proposed borrowing programs included in their annual plans.

By 1997 councils were allowed to borrow up to 15% of their city’s land value. By June 1997, for example, Manukau City Council’s debt was 11.5% of the city’s land value. Gradually the controls limiting the maximum amount of money councils could borrow were removed culminating in the *Local Government Borrowing Act 2011*.

**Local Government Act 2002 Amendment Bill 2012**

The *Local Government Act 2002 Amendment Bill 2012* was passed into law by Parliament on 29 November, 2012. It was supported by the National Party, Act and United Future parties.

Most of the councils throughout the country, in their submissions on the Bill, understandably, vehemently opposed it.

The Bill was the brainchild of former Local Government Minister Nick Smith who introduced it with claims local authority spending, debt levels and rates hikes in New Zealand were getting out of control. His claim, *[correctly in this author’s opinion]* alleges, as councils have consistently spent up largely on excessive wage and salary increases for themselves and their staff over the past 10 years or so, and have dramatically increased expenditure on activities outside their core areas of responsibility and service, a new focus for councils had to be
implemented. The Bill aimed to reduce council red tape and compliance costs, lower council debt and minimize rates increases.

The Bill introduced a new “purpose statement” for local government. This new purpose is “to meet the current and future needs of communities for good quality local infrastructure, local public services, and performance of regulatory functions in a way that is most cost effective for households and businesses” with financial prudential requirements.

The Bill provides for a mechanism for Crown assistance and intervention in the affairs of individual councils allowing central government intervention at an early stage during “a failure by the local authority to demonstrate prudent management of its revenues, expenses, assets, liabilities, investments, or general financial dealings in terms of any parameters or benchmarks prescribed by regulations made under Section 259(1) (dc). This section of the Bill provides a new regulation-making power to authorize the Governor-General, to make regulations that will prescribe parameters or benchmarks for assessing whether a local authority is prudently managing its revenues, expenses, assets, liabilities, investments and general financial dealings.

This Bill would seem to be “very promising” and “a breath of fresh air” for rate payers in its current form. However, there has been a lot of criticism of the Bill as well and some it may be justified. Understandably, whether rightly or wrongly, the president of Local Government NZ, Lawrence Yule, said it was unlikely to achieve the Government’s objectives. The new legislation’s purpose clause would leave councils more open to judicial review of their decisions. “The costs associated with judicial reviews will fall to ratepayers to meet but accountability for such outcomes will rest with the Government.”

Only time will tell if this new amendment Bill will work. Whether it will satisfactorily suppress and control rapidly rising local government rates and taxes is yet to be seen. In the mean time, the public must be prepared to take matters [legally and peacefully] into their own hands before the “debt bondage” being cast upon them gets entirely out of control and becomes terminal leading ultimately, to confiscation of their properties altogether.

Local Government Borrowing Act 2011

This Act [http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/2011/0077/latest/DLM3620704.html](http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/2011/0077/latest/DLM3620704.html) effectively turned all councils into corporations that can borrow from whom and as much as they wish. Whether the Local Government Act 2002 Amendment Bill 2012 will effectively control this is yet to be seen. Already, the Council appoints the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) who alone heads the council corporation under not local government but company law (Companies Act 1993). It is the CEO who has the real power, not the council, which operates as a body corporate.

The Local Government Borrowing Act 2011 created the NZ Local Government Funding Agency Ltd (LGFA) which was incorporated on 1 December 2011.

The LGFA is owned by 18 Local Authority Councils and the Crown. It is a Council Controlled Organization (CCO) operating under the Local Government Act 2002. The LGFA’s main purpose
is to consolidate the borrowing powers of councils and enable them to borrow yet even more from the international bankers on the security of the rate/tax cash-flow imposition on all ratepayers.

The debts of the funding agency ARE SPECIFICALLY NOT GUARANTEED by the Crown, (Local Government Borrowing Act 2011 Section 16) which means that all ratepayers in the end are liable to pay the agency’s debts should it fail.

Go to the NZ Local Government Funding Agency website http://www.lgfa.co.nz then click on link “Guarantee” which has the criminal bankers’ GUARANTEE AND INDEMNITY agreement listed that all councils that borrow off the agency must sign. All councils that borrow through the Agency commit their “rates annual income” to servicing this Agency’s debt. Section 16:9 Annual Rates Income of the Guarantee stipulates that each council Guarantor must report its Annual Rates Income and annual financial statements to the Agency Security Trustee as required to check that the council’s total rates income is sufficient to service the debt.

By 5 September 2012, the LGFA had raised $NZ1.055 billion through issuing bonds through six tenders largely purchased by international banks and financial institutions. Ultimately, these huge loans being borrowed by the LGFA that issues the bonds must be serviced and indirectly guaranteed by all New Zealand ratepayers. This incredible double-dealing, deceitful charade has been carefully planned and initiated behind the scenes largely in secret without the public’s and ratepayers’ knowledge or consent. This is a crime under the Crimes Act 1961 as will be shown later.

Section 43 Certain members indemnified of the Local Government Act 2002 attempts to give all local government members indemnity against costs and damages for any civil liability arising from any action brought by a third party if the member was acting in good faith. Members are not indemnified against criminal action, but costs arising from any successfully defended criminal action relating to acts or omissions in his or her capacity as a member are indemnified.

Because of Section 43, many councilors, commissioners, executives, and employees of councils and council controlled organizations erroneously believe that they can almost “get away with blue murder” because they are protected by Section 43. But this is absolutely not the case at all as they have to comply with the Crimes Act 1961, just the same as everybody else.

It is the Crimes Act 1961 which these treacherous bankers, with their lawyers, council lawyers and negligent government lawyers, have largely forgotten about in the drafting of these highly complex, oppressive local government / banking laws. So let’s take a look at the most important one that relates to this rapidly increasing controversial taxing and rating oppression by councils and bankers.
CHAPTER FIVE

BACKGROUND: CRIMES ACT 1961
SECTION 98 – DEALING IN SLAVES

John Henry Newton (July 24, 1725 – December 21, 1807) was born in Wapping, London. He was the son of a shipmaster. Before he was saved as a Christian he was a heathen of the worst type possessing the most exceedingly filthy language one could ever imagine. He became a slave-ship captain who was miraculously converted through a violent storm during which he thought his ship would sink and he would be lost. He arose from this life-changing experience to become the Christian inspiration for countless millions around the world by his amazing life and prolific hymn-writing. He wrote the hymn *Amazing Grace*, the most widely sung hymn in human history. The original first verse of this hymn, based on his experience in his sinking ship, reads:

“Amazing grace! (how sweet the sound),
That sav’d a wretch like me!
I once was lost, but now am found, Was blind, but now I see”

It was the Negro slaves in the United States that most perpetuated this moving hymn to become what it is today. John Henry Newton also was the evangelical Christian mentor of William Wilberforce, the evangelical Christian politician and philanthropist who was largely responsible of freeing the modern world from slavery to this day.

William Wilberforce (1759-1833) was born in Yorkshire. He was the 19th century hero of most Protestant Christian missionaries around the world, including those living in Australia and New Zealand. He was the eminent leader of the movement to abolish the slave trade. Through his tireless effort and inspiration beginning in 1787, and after a lifetime of incessant lobbying to abolish slavery, he was responsible for the passing of the *Slave Trade Act 1807* (the Act abolished the slave trade but not slavery itself), the *Slave Trade Act 1824* and while he was nearing his death, the British Whig government introduced the *Bill for the Abolition of Slavery* in May 1833, formally saluting him in the process. He died on 29th July, 1833, and one month later the House of Lords passed the *Slavery Abolition Act* which abolished slavery in most of the British Empire from August 1834.

William Wilberforce, indirectly, was responsible for the abolition of slavery in the United States as set out in the *Thirteenth Amendment* to the *US Constitution* adopted on December 6, 1865.

In Australia, Samuel Marsden had actually received his appointment as assistant chaplain to the convict colony of New South Wales directly through William Wilberforce’s recommendation.

When Samuel Marsden brought the first group of missionaries from the Church Missionary Society (CMS) in Australia to New Zealand in 1814, the first two Vice Presidents of the CMS
were William Wilberforce and Charles Grant. The CMS Mission House in Kerikeri, completed in 1822, is now New Zealand’s oldest building.

Evangelical Archbishop of Canterbury John Bird Sumner’s mother, Hannah Bird, was a first cousin of William Wilberforce. Archbishop John Bird Sumner was president of the Canterbury Association that founded the province of Canterbury and city of Christchurch in New Zealand that recently was struck by a number of severe earthquakes.

In Australia, the British Slave Trade Act 1824 made slavery a criminal offence and continued largely unaltered up to 1999. In 1999, the Criminal Code (Slavery and sexual servitude) Act was introduced and inserted a new chapter 8 – offences against humanity and related offences into the Commonwealth Criminal Code. There have been a number of court cases involving sexual servitude in recent years in Australia under this Act. These complex cases generally have involved allegations that persons have kept other human being as slaves mainly in brothels for the purpose of sexual exploitation. To date only one case has resulted in a conviction and the brothel owner was sentenced to over 6 years imprisonment in Victoria. The main issue has been the credibility of the persons who make accusations of slavery, because the complainants are frequently illegal non citizens. In 2005, as the result of Australia’s ratification of the Rome Statute the insertion of various new slavery related offences including an offence called “debt bondage” in relation to “oppressive contracts” was inserted.

In New Zealand, the Crimes Act 1961 Section 98 was also developed from the British Slave Trade Act 1824 promulgated by William Wilberforce along similar lines to that of Australia.

These laws derived from the Slave Trade Act 1824 have since been paradoxically written into the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights.
CHAPTER SIX

UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

While the United Nations is essentially a “Communist” organization, largely operating under socialist law, there are, surprisingly, still some elements of Common Law and Biblical Law incorporated into its “international” documents. Since most countries have now ratified the UN Charter and its related documents, these international UN laws now also affect the local laws in the countries that have ratified it.

The *Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)* is the original, comprehensive international statement of human rights. It was adopted by resolution of the United Nations General Assembly in 1948, also signed by New Zealand together with other countries at the time. As amazing as it may seem, it originates directly from the Christian work of William Wilberforce creator of the British *Slave Trade Act 1824*, which was redeveloped to produce the *Slavery Convention* of the *League of Nations* in 1926.

Subsequently, the UN *Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery (1956)* was designed to “intensify national as well as international efforts towards the abolition of slavery” and outlaw specific slavery-like practices, including: debt bondage, serfdom and other specific practices related to women and children including forced and servile marriage. The definitions are identical, but a little wider and more specific than those that exist in the New Zealand Crimes Act 1961 Section 98. [http://www.antislavery.org.au/international-laws.html](http://www.antislavery.org.au/international-laws.html)

Virtually all Western countries have now ratified these UN documents, so this means that most governments now are bound to uphold these laws.

To protect and promote all human rights around the world, the UN also established *The Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR)*. New Zealand has made a special commitment to support the work of the OHCHR and other key UN organizations in upholding the declaration through such legislation as the New Zealand *Human Rights Act 1993*. 
CHAPTER SEVEN

NZ CRIMES ACT 1961 SECTION 98:
TEXT AND DEFINITIONS


Section 98 of the Crimes Act 1961 is not widely known or understood by the majority of the general public, nor even the senior banking or legal fraternity in New Zealand at all because, as far as this author is aware, nobody has ever been charged in New Zealand yet for offences under this section. Yet it is one of the most profound, powerful pieces of legislation ever written. It was largely drafted from the United Kingdom Slave Trade Act 1824 and there is a notation of this in a footnote of Section 98 (2) comparing it to the old UK legislation.

Section 9 of the Slave Trade Act 1824 created a death penalty for any person convicted in dealing in slaves, but in the New Zealand Crimes Act 1961 Section 98 the maximum penalty now is 14 years imprisonment. It is still considered a serious offence with a penalty equal to treason, espionage, piracy, perjury, sexual conduct with a child under 12, arson and wounding with intent.

Section 98 (1), (d) (e) of the New Zealand Crimes Act 1961 states:

- “Every one is liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 14 years who, within or outside New Zealand ... 
- (d) induces any person to sell, let, or give himself, or any other person dependent on him or in his charge, as a slave; or
- (e) in any case not covered by paragraph (d), induces any person to sell, let, give any other person into debt-bondage or serfdom; or”

Section 98 (2) states:

- “For the purposes of this section –
  debt-bondage means the status or condition arising from a pledge by a debtor of his personal services, or of the personal services of any person under his control, as security for a debt, if the value of those services, as reasonably assessed, is not applied towards the liquidation of the debt or if the length and nature of those services are not limited and defined
  serfdom means the status or condition of a tenant who is by any law, custom or agreement bound to live and labour on land belonging to another person and to render some determinate service to that other person, whether for reward or not, and who is not free to change that status or condition slave includes, without limitation, a person subject to debt-bondage or serfdom.”
These two definitions, debt-bondage and serfdom, are re-written word for word into the UNITED NATIONS *Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery (1956.)*

This effectively means that if a person commits an offence under the New Zealand Crimes Act 1961 Section 98, they are also committing an offence under the United Nations Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery (1956). So it makes it very difficult for a corrupt government to substantially change or abolish this national law in its own Crimes Act, while it at the same time is a member of the United Nations. Interesting isn’t it?
CHAPTER EIGHT

FREEHOLD PROPERTY RATE/TAXPAYERS’ LEGAL POSITION IN RELATION TO THE NZ CRIMES ACT 1961 SECTION 98

1. Crown: Simplified, in most Commonwealth countries, including New Zealand, under the law, the British Sovereign (at present Queen Elizabeth II) owns all of the land through what is called The Crown’s right of eminent domain. The basis of all land law in New Zealand is that all land is owned by the Crown which has the allodial estate (or allodium), viz. the absolute or paramount ownership obtained by discovery, conquest or cession. All a subject can ever be is “a tenant or holder of an estate or interest in land.” The Crown’s superior right is reflected in the doctrine of eminent domain under which the Crown may “take” “private” land for a public work etc. (Ref. Introduction to New Zealand Law 4th Edition by J.A.B. O’Keefe and W.L. Farrands, p.436)

2. Fee simple: The highest ownership interest possible that a person as a “tenant” of the Crown can have in real property is called Fee simple, which is the “rights of possession” only. When a person or company buys a “freehold” property he purchases the Fee simple only and legally does not own the property at all. He is legally just a tenant.

3. Title: When a person purchases a freehold property Fee Simple (i.e., the rights of possession) he is usually given a formal document called the Title that often serves as evidence of ownership during the conveyance from one person to another. The Title is a legal term for “a bundle of rights” in a piece of property in which a party may own either a legal interest or an equitable interest. The Title does not constitute ownership. The rights in the “bundle” may be separated and held by different parties, and both the Fee simple “possession rights” and Title “bundle of rights” may be transferred independently of each other. When a solicitor executes a “Title search” for a client who wishes to purchase a particular property he is checking to see if any mortgage, lien, caveat, drainage easement, water right, mining right or other interest in the property has been registered against it that may detrimentally affect the property’s value or impede the sale unless it is lifted or settled. Since Queen Elizabeth II, through the Crown’s right of eminent domain legally owns the property, and the Fee simple “rights of possession” can only be held by the purchaser/possessor, banks can only register their mortgage interest in the property on the Title. Since the Fee Simple can be sold separately from the Title, in certain instances, where the Fee simple “rights of possession” can be sold off independently by someone prior to raising a mortgage registered against the Title, all that a bank can be left with is a worthless piece of paper! The “timing” of such an action is considered critical, because it has to meet a criteria under the law called “First in Time – First in right.”

4. Person: The term “person” in New Zealand law includes an individual, firm, company, corporation, unincorporated body of persons, organization or trust, and any government agency or authority, in each case whether or not having separate legal
personality. Note: This special legal definition is actually written into the *Guarantee and Indemnity* document in Section 1.3 that all councils sign when borrowing from the NZ Local Government Funding Agency Ltd (LGFA).

The *Crimes Act 1961 Section 98* states: “every one is liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 14 years who, within or outside New Zealand, - ... (e) in any case not covered by paragraph (d), induces any person to sell, let, give any other person into debt-bondage or serfdom; or” (2) For the purposes of this section –

**debt-bondage** means the status or condition arising from a pledge by a debtor (*i.e.* Council on behalf of ratepayers to the bankers) of his personal services, or the personal services of any person under his control (*i.e.* Hard-working ratepayers paying rates to service the Council debt), as security (*Local Government Act 2002 Section 115 Rates as security and also the Rates demands of ratepayers through the NZ Local Government Funding Agency Ltd’s Guarantee signed by councils*) for a debt (*borrowed by Councils from the banks direct or through the NZ Local Government Funding Agency Ltd*), if the value of those services (*rates paid to Council*), as reasonably assessed, *(Let a High Court Jury decide)* is not applied towards the liquidation of the debt or if the length and nature of those services are not limited and defined *(the length and nature of ratepayers’ rates have never been “limited or defined”)*

**serfdom** means the status or condition of a tenant (*all Freehold property owners in New Zealand are legal “tenants” of Queen Elizabeth II under the law*) who is by any law, custom or agreement bound to live and labour on land belonging to another person (*i.e. Queen Elizabeth II*) and to render some determinate service to that other person *(taxes to the Crown and rates to the Council)*, whether for reward or not, and who is not free to change that status or condition *(all ratepayers are not free to change their status or condition)* slave includes, without limitation, a person subject to debt-bondage or serfdom.

Note: As previously mentioned, the term ‘mort-gage’ literally means ‘death-bond’. A ‘death bond’ is a ‘debt-bond.’ The courts in the West including the US, Australia and New Zealand, generally are very lenient on sentencing leading bankers and business people for the fraud and related misery they often inflict on others. However, financial crimes in China are looked upon with much more gravity, with such criminals often being executed. As a director of China Construction Bank (CCB), the Rt. Hon. Dame Jenny Shipley should know! Wang Liming, a former accounting officer of CCB in Henan with others stole 20 million Yuan ($2.4 million in US currency) using fraudulent documents – he was executed. Miao Ping, an accomplice in the same case, was also executed. In another case, Wang Xiang, was executed for stealing 20 million Yuan from CCB. While this author does support radical change in making bankers and civil servants much more accountable for what they do, and favors much stronger penalties for serious financial crimes, she does not support the death penalty like China. However, some people genuinely do!  [http://www.zerohedge.com/news/guest-post-should-corrupt-bankers-face-death-penalty](http://www.zerohedge.com/news/guest-post-should-corrupt-bankers-face-death-penalty)
CHAPTER NINE

CONCLUSION: LIBERTY OR SERFDOM?

In the 1700s when God violently spoke to John Henry Newton surrounded by suffering black slaves bound in chains on his near sinking ship, Newton dedicated the rest of his life to write compassionate prose to help free those from slavery that he had formerly helped to persecute. Amazingly he succeeded. But he never could have imagined in his wildest dreams that in little more than 200 years, some of the very heirs of those who he had helped liberate from this vile oppression – would be gradually enslaved – and once again, thrown into a tempestuous sea of debt-bondage and serfdom by a most unexpected, implacable enemy and tyrant – their local, democratically elected, modern day city council.

The question arises with our foremost example; Kaipara District Council; Are 31% rate/tax rises acceptable? Should the perpetrators of these levels of rate rises be charged for committing serious criminal offences? Or, are these levels of rises in the current depressed global economic environment fair, reasonable or acceptable?

According to news reports, Kaipara ratepayer and retired lawyer Clive Boonham expects the rates/taxes demand on his one-bedroom beach-front bach (holiday home) with a sleep-out to climb from $4978 to $10,074 per year. Is this acceptable? Former world cup cricketer Warren Scott faces a 1343% rates rise this year for his holiday park going up from $6316 to $84,850. http://www.nzherald.co.nz/news/print.cfm?objectid=10807032 Is this acceptable? More importantly, are these onerous rate rises driving many ratepayers and property owners into “debt bondage” or converting them into common “serfs” as outlined in the New Zealand Crimes Act 1961 Section 98? Or, once again, are these rate rises fair, reasonable and acceptable?

As previously mentioned, the great English Peasants’ Revolt of 1381 or as it is sometimes called by historians, the “Great Rising of 1381,” arose from such an oppressive, unequal, unfair system of “local government taxation” imposed on serfs and peasants throughout England. While at the time, the revolt itself failed, it marked the end of serfdom in all medieval England. Could history be repeating itself – now?

Will the new Local Government Act 2002 Amendment Bill 2012 realistically, effectively and expeditiously correct these deep-seated problems?

Why global bond markets herald soon-coming rising interest rates & chaos

It is now quite well known in high banking circles as 2013 begins, that the world’s major economies are struggling with burgeoning deficits, unsustainable debt and are ultimately going to crash. According to the Bank for International Settlements, the US bond market debt alone as of mid 2011 was US$35.2 trillion. Global bond market yields are now at historic lows. US ten year bond yields recently reached a 220 year low, UK perpetual bonds a 300 year low and Dutch bonds a 500 year low. Six countries currently in Europe are becoming so desperate they are
offering bonds at negative interest rates. Large investors are getting so worried the Euro-zone is financially disintegrating that they are actually paying the government to keep their money. This phenomenon has happened before. During the Great Depression, US bonds also traded at negative yields when investors began to distrust the banking system and corporate bond markets. More than half the bonds issued by the UK in 2012 were monetized (fraudulently created) and were bought by the UK Government itself.

In November 2012, through fear that the Greek Government would go bankrupt, and depress the Euro-zone still further, two-year German bond yields fell below zero on the belief they would remain a safe haven in any coming financial storm, which is entirely illusionary as they ultimately will not. At present the world is being drowned in a tidal wave of debt. If one looks at the history of the bond market in Greece it is not difficult to predict what is soon going to happen – worldwide!

As governments rapidly print more and more money and water down their currencies to finance their out-of-control deficit spending by dramatically increasing debt, inflation is going to rise exponentially, and to control that, central banks will be forced to ratchet up bond yields and interest rates, creating an inflationary depression, before going broke.

There is an excellent, historical up-to-date graph showing the period between years 2007 – 2012 – how interest rates on Greek bonds initially fell (as they are right now in January 2013 in the UK, Netherlands, Germany, USA etc.) before abruptly turning then rising to unprecedented levels as the crisis gradually worsened.

See: ‘Interest rate of Greek two-year government bonds’ on: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greek_government-debt_crisis The statistics on the Greek government debt crisis plainly show as the Greek financial crisis quickly worsened, the early faith of investors in these supposedly “secure” “government guaranteed bonds” and securities quickly disintegrated. This drop in confidence then caused interest rates on Greek two-year government bonds to rise exponentially with the crisis from 1.78% in 2009 to 177.37% in 2012.

As investors further lost faith in the Greek bonds themselves, the value of the bonds collapsed, and the interest rates on new bonds rose dramatically to reflect the risk. This in turn, had the effect of increasing Greek long term interest rates from 4.88% at September 30, 2008, to 17.96% at October 31, 2012. On February 29, 2012, they actually reached 29.24%. http://ycharts.com/indicators/greece_long_term_interest_rates

An article by Ambrose Evans-Pritchard in The Telegraph on 29 November, 2012, entitled, ‘Greek deal frays as IMF threatens walk-out on debt buy-back impasse read; “... Private investors are furious at demands that they take a second “haircut” of 70pc on residual holdings, after already taking a 53.5pc loss earlier this year, while official creditors still refuse all losses…”

It is only a question of time before the big bond holders (mainly large banks, insurance companies and superannuation funds) are going to finally realize that they will take a 100% loss on all of Euro-land’s debt, wiping most people’s savings out in the process. It is an elementary principle of basic economics that one cannot borrow oneself out of excessive debt, and that
lowering of interest rates will not in the end resolve the fundamental problem of out-of-control spiraling debt. Gradually investors are becoming more concerned about the return ‘of’ their money rather than the return ‘on’ their money.

Further, as major central banks in Europe, the UK and US continue to print more money through what they call “quantitative easing” which is primarily recklessly issuing new bonds as they are at the present, (including New Zealand. The New Zealand Herald, December 19, 2012 p.B2, stated, “The NZ Debt Management Office will issue $14 billion in bonds in the 2012/13 year”) and depress interest rates and yields on bonds even lower – (as did Greece immediately before 2009) – it will now only be a relatively very short space of time before investors begin to wake up, lose faith in these “junk” bonds and currencies now being created. When this sharp drop in confidence eventually happens, bond prices will abruptly turn, the value of the bonds will begin to collapse, and interest rates will rise dramatically, causing global economic chaos and depression in the process.

This worrying development in the global bond markets is yet being further exacerbated by the impending total collapse of the $600 trillion - $1.5 quadrillion global derivatives market which has grown to be nothing less than a giant casino run by the big banks. Most members of the general public simply have absolutely no idea how bad this really is.

This author is not alone in her predictions. A number of other deeply concerned leading bankers are saying much the same thing. Max Keiser, the American former stockbroker and CEO of HSX Holdings/Hollywood Stock Exchange, now living in London, presents a weekly finance program now warning of what is soon to come. Peter Schiff, the perceptive Jewish US author and CEO of Euro Pacific Capital, who has been remarkably accurate in his economic predictions before, has been predicting throughout 2012 why “The Real Crash has yet to come” and is now imminent. http://riacentral.com/2012/06/04/peter-schiff-real-crash/

One does not need to be a senior Wall Street banker to predict that the ‘day of reckoning’ is not that far away. The continuation of running the printing presses 24/7 cannot last forever and global interest rates inevitably are going to dramatically rise in the foreseeable future. The tragedy is the consequence of the accumulation of excessive amounts of debt often means that ultimately the cure ends up almost as bad as the disease.

President Obama’s so-called “fiscal cliff” will not succeed if implemented, but only delay the inevitable. On the one hand if the US Government drastically cuts spending and increases taxes, it will create a depression. On the other hand, if it does nothing and keeps the printing presses running at 24/7 as it is at present, it will create hyperinflation which will be much worse. The underlying problem is too much debt.

While only time will tell if these predictions about bonds and interest rates will prove to be correct or not – with increasing political instability in the Middle East, the acceleration of money printing by the central banks, the likelihood of a string of other highly indebted countries like Greece sliding towards bankruptcy in the near future – to recklessly accrue
excessive sums of debt in this current terribly uncertain global environment therefore is simply nothing less than economic madness and suicide.

Surely, now is a time, both in a private and public context, to try to live within one’s means, and not recklessly continue on to run up unsustainable amounts of debt at the prevailing record low global interest rates, because if the Greek example is anything to go by, interest rates could rise extremely quickly in the future, and create a financial nightmare most people could not even imagine.

But rather than carrying on borrowing like there is no tomorrow, isn’t this a time to act much more prudently and conservatively, at least until the current global economic turbulence has sorted itself out?

Then of course, is the greater concern, that what is happening might be deliberate:

“Owners of Capital will stimulate the working class to buy more and more expensive goods, houses and technology, pushing them to take more and more expensive credits until the debt become unbearable. The unpaid debt will lead to the bankruptcy of banks which will have to be nationalized, and the State will have to take the road which eventually will lead to Communism.”
– Karl Marx, 1867

“There are two ways to conquer and enslave a nation...
One is by sword...
The other is by debt.”
John Adams, 1826

Concluding word

Councils, banks and corporations do not make decisions or run themselves. They are run by living, breathing people – councilors, directors, officers and agents. These people cannot be allowed to continue to claim “indemnity” under the Local Government Act or any similar act for their reckless, incompetent, negligent, or fraudulent actions, but must be brought to full account for their decisions.

Even under New Zealand Common Law, people in positions of responsibility are deemed to have a special “duty of care” in relation to others. This Common Law principle is also enshrined in the New Zealand Companies Act 1993 Section 137. Under this Act, in Section 131 directors must act in “good faith” and in Section 135 they cannot act “recklessly.” While not commonly appreciated, company directors can be sued for damages even after they have resigned or ceased to be a director, if decisions or actions made while they were a director were deemed to be reckless, negligent or fraudulent.
The author is mindful of the dire predicament facing New Zealand’s Kaipara District Council ratepayers at the present. Perhaps this council is at present one of the worst in the world. On top of this year’s oppressive rates demands, rate/tax payers are still facing even bigger demands next year. Plus, they are now expected to pay the four commissioners appointed by the Minister of Local Government to sort the mess out – up to $1400 a day for the privilege of contemptuously telling them they still have to pay the increased rate/tax demands!

Since many other similar councils in New Zealand like Kaipara, also have excessive amounts of debt, clearly, what is happening to Kaipara District Council ratepayers is a “test case” and warning for all citizens and ratepayers throughout the country. What eventually happens to Kaipara ratepayers in the future will create a precedent for everybody else in New Zealand. So please give these citizens the support they deserve, in helping them to succeed in repelling this most vile oppression. The Kaipara Citizens & Ratepayers Association Inc website is: http://www.kcra.org.nz/ and associated website: http://www.kaiparaconcerns.co.nz/

Really, what is unfortunately happening to the citizens and ratepayers in Kaipara, is not only unique just to New Zealand. A similar situation to this is beginning to happen all around the world. So hopefully, the highlighting of this disgraceful situation in New Zealand may also help others similarly affected to deal with their own criminal “soviet” councils in other countries as well. Subsequently, if the Local Government Act 2002 Amendment Bill 2012’s new provisions don’t quickly work to reduce the rates and taxes to an acceptable level for citizens soon, clearly much more radical [peaceful] action will be required by taxpayers.

Then perhaps, the time has sadly arrived, (while it has never been done before in New Zealand’s history) – for “we, the people” to take matters into our own hands to rectify the situation – and a good start would be to have the chief perpetrators including the criminal bankers involved charged under the Crimes Act 1961 Section 98 and brought before a Jury of the High Court of New Zealand – and let the Jury decide what is fair and reasonable!

Citizens should also be aware of the fact. If the defendants are found guilty and they appeal, the New Zealand Chief Justice with the judges of the Supreme Court and Court of Appeal who will hear the case, are puppets overseeing a “kangaroo court” appointed by Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II. The judges are not elected by the people, and traditionally, history has proven they have consistently not at all represented the will of “We, the people.”

And remember, above all, this issue is not only just about excessive property rate/tax rises. It is much greater than that. It is about religious ideologies and belief systems. In the end, it is all about our personal rights, liberties and freedoms – the future for our grand-children. Because the plain facts of the matter are, if we as individuals do not possess the unencumbered free right to own our own homes – then simply put, we are living in a full-blown Soviet Socialist Marxist police state – a United Nations Communist world of impending doom, following in the footsteps of father Zeus and his worshippers – Hitler, Stalin and Mao. For as sure as night follows day, if this insidious process is not stopped soon – it is inevitable that we all face, yet again, another unimaginable holocaust – and it may be much closer than most people think.

-oooOooo-